



TEXAS GENERAL LAND OFFICE
COMMISSIONER DAWN BUCKINGHAM, M.D.

June 19, 2023

Michael Ada
Executive Director
Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission
1908 N Laurent Street, Suite 600A
Victoria, TX 77901

Re: Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) CDBG-MIT Regional Mitigation Program Method of Distribution (MOD) Approval

Mr. Ada:

The Texas General Land Office (GLO) Community Development and Revitalization program is approving the GCRPC Mitigation Method of Distribution (MOD). The MOD delivered to the GLO was initially submitted May 25, 2023, underwent review by GLO staff, and was submitted a final time with corrections made on June 15, 2023.

With this approval, entities receiving funding from the MOD will receive information regarding the application process from the GLO. If you have any questions, or require any additional information, please contact Alex Swift at alex.swift.glo@recovery.texas.gov.

Sincerely,

Alexandra Gamble

Alexandra Gamble, Policy Development Director
Community Development and Revitalization

cc: Heather Lagrone, Community Development and Revitalization Senior Deputy Director
Shawn Strange, Community Development and Revitalization Policy Development Manager

CDBG – REGIONAL MITIGATION PROGRAM FUNDING METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION

MIT-MOD

Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission



TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1. MOD Summary Form..... 1
- 2. Allocation Summary & Worksheet..... 13
 - Added Summary (7 June 2023).....14
- 3. Waiver to Lower Minimum Funding..... 16
- 4. Approved Board Resolution..... 18
- 5. Public Hearings..... 20
 - a. April 2022 Planning..... 20
 - b. September 2022 Hearings..... 47
 - c. May 2023 Comment..... 111
 - Added Meeting Call Sheet (7 June 2023).....112
- 6. Notations of Updates..... 132
- 7. Entity Acknowledgement & Finalization..... 135
 - a. Funding Acknowledgement Summary Documentation..... 136
 - b. Funding Acknowledgement Letters..... 137
 - Added Calhoun County 77979 Letter (7 June 2023).....163
 - c. Received Acknowledgement Summaries..... 164
- 8. Appendix..... 191
 - a. Distribution Factors Explained..... 192
 - b. Public Comment & Response, 2023 (only one received) 194
 - c. Addendum to 2022 Comment Log, Black Responses (7 June 2023).....197
 - d. Public Comment & Response Log, 2022..... 223

MOD Summary

Texas General Land Office
 State of Texas CDBG-Mitigation Regional Mitigation Program
 MIT COG MOD Summary



Delivered to the GLO:

Approved by the GLO:

Council of Governments: Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission

Allocation Calculation Sheet Packet Page Number: _____

HUD MID and State MID Allocations

HUD MID Total	\$42,649,000
State MID Total	\$37,668,000
Grand Total COG Allocation	\$80,317,000.00

Table 1

Funding Limits

Minimum Amount Waiver Requested	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Minimum Amount	\$500,000.00	
Maximum Amount		

Table 2

Regional Risk Mitigation

Explain how the method of distribution reduces regional risks, how it will foster long-term community resilience that is forward-looking and encourages the prioritization of regional investments with regional impacts in risk reduction for hurricanes, tropical storms and depressions, and flooding in the HUD-identified and State-identified most impacted and distressed areas.

GCRPC's MOD utilizes the HUD priority of low-to-moderate income (LMI) populations, the eligible entities total population, the eligible entities social vulnerability index, and their FEMA count destroyed damage assessment to provide each eligible entity a portion of the total allocation based on those factors. By utilizing LMI data for each community to determine their portion of the total allocation, GCRPC's MOD prioritizes the direct benefit to LMI populations within the region. By prioritizing direct benefit to LMI populations, the region is reducing regional risks in communities within the Golden Crescent region for hurricanes, tropical storms and depressions, flooding, and other disasters in the HUD-identified and State-identified most impacted and distressed areas.

GCRPC's MOD fosters long-term community resilience and reduces regional risks by adhering to HUD and GLO funding guidelines for the Mitigation Program. These mandatory limitations on the use of allocated funds ensure entities meet state and federal goals identified in the State Action Plan and Federal Register.

Table 3

Distribution Factors

The COG has selected the following distribution factors:

Distribution Factor*	Weight	Documentation Source	Explanation of Factor Selection and Weighting
Low- to Moderate- Income (LMI)	33%	HUD	HUD's LMI data weighted at 33% because the prioritization of LMI households within the region reduces risks related to disaster
Population	33%	2019 5-Year American Community Survey from the U.S. Census Bureau from the GLO	Each eligible entities total population was also included at 33% in order to provide funding that would adequately address risks related to disaster based on the eligible entities total number of people
Social Vulnerability Index	33%	CDBG-MIT AP Analysis	The Social Vulnerability Index (SoVI) analyzes 29 socioeconomic variables that have proven to influence a community's ability to respond to, prepare for, and recover from hazards. The eligible entities SoVI was weighted at 33%
FEMA damage assessment	1%	FEMA IA Non-PII applicant data	To mitigate repetitive loss, the eligible entities FEMA count destroyed damage assessment data was weighted at 1%

Table 4

*Add more rows if needed

Threshold Factors

If any, please describe threshold factors that were used to allocate funds.

Threshold Factor*	Documentation Source	Explanation of Factor Selection
N/A	N/A	N/A

Table 5

*Add more rows if needed

Eligible Activities

Activities must meet the criteria outlined in the Regional Mitigation Program (COG MODs) section of the State of Texas CDBG Mitigation Action Plan.

The COG has addressed prioritization of eligible activities as follows:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The COG has chosen not to limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities.		
-OR-			
<input type="checkbox"/>	The COG has limited subrecipients in the region to selecting projects meeting the following regional priority activities:		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Flood control and drainage improvement, including the construction or rehabilitation of stormwater management systems	<input type="checkbox"/>	Water and sewer facilities
<input type="checkbox"/>	Natural or green infrastructure	<input type="checkbox"/>	Communications infrastructure
<input type="checkbox"/>	Public Facilities (shelter, library, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Provision of generators
<input type="checkbox"/>	Economic development (assistance to businesses for the installation of disaster mitigation improvements and technologies; financing to support the development of technologies, systems and other measures to mitigate future disaster impacts; “hardening” of commercial areas and facilities; and financing critical infrastructure sectors to allow continued commercial operations during and after disasters)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Removal of debris
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Streets or bridges
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Other infrastructure improvements
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Public Services (<i>within the 15% cap</i>)
		<input type="checkbox"/>	FEMA Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP) cost share for CDBG-MIT eligible project
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Buyouts or Acquisitions with or without relocation assistance, down payment assistance, housing incentives, or demolition
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Activities designed to relocate families outside of floodplains

Table 6

Ineligible Activities

Ineligible activities are outlined in the Regional Mitigation Program section of the State of Texas CDBG Mitigation Action Plan, as amended, and should be referenced accordingly.

Covered Projects

A Covered Project is defined as an infrastructure project having a total project cost of \$100 million or more, with at least \$50 million of CDBG funds, regardless of source (CDBG-DR, CDBG-MIT, or CDBG). Covered projects included in the Regional Mitigation Program must meet specific criteria set forth by HUD’s CDBG-MIT Notice 84 FR 45838 (August 30, 2019) and the State of Texas Mitigation Action Plan. Inclusion of a Covered Project in the MOD does not guarantee funding until a full eligibility review is completed and the subsequent action plan amendment receives HUD approval.

Will the Method of Distribution include a Covered Project?

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
------------------------------	--

Table 7

If yes, please provide the following information:

- The eligible entity benefitting from the project;
- A description of the project and how it meets the definition of a mitigation activity; and
- The cost of the Covered Project.

N/A

Table 8

Low-and Moderate-Income Requirements

Below is the strategic plan of how the method of distribution meets the minimum 50 percent low- and moderate-income (LMI) requirement.

GCRPC's MOD utilized a weighted allocation where each community's LMI population data carried 33 percent of the weight; total populations data, 33 percent; social vulnerability index, 33 percent weight; and FEMA count destroyed damage assessment, a 1 percent weight to reach a reasonable allocation for each community. Each allocation to an eligible entity is coupled with a 50 percent low-to-moderate income (LMI) requirement amount. Thus, each entity allocated funding is aware of the total amount of funding required to either benefit areas that are 51 percent or more LMI populations or populations with incomes below 80 percent of the Area Median Income (AMI).

Each entity responsible for the management and administration of allocated funds is required to generate, maintain, and submit all evidence supporting a project's adherence to their 51 percent LMI requirement.

Table 9

Public Hearing Information

The Action Plan requires at least one Public Planning Meeting prior to submitting the Preliminary MOD to the GLO for review and one Public Hearing before submission of the Preliminary MOD to GLO for final approval. If the COG holds multiple outreach activities, please contact the GLO for additional documentation forms.

Meeting Type	Public Planning Meeting	MOD Public Hearing	Additional Hearing
Date(s):	April 27, 2022	September 19-28	May 10, 2023
Location(s):	Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission 1908 N. Laurent St. Ste. 600 Victoria, TX 77901	Calhoun County, DeWitt County, Goliad County, Gonzales County, Jackson County, Lavaca County, and Victoria County	Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission 1908 N. Laurent St. Ste. 600 Victoria, TX 77901
Total Attendance:	32	300+	15

Table 10

Direct Notice. As required, personal notice was sent to eligible entities at least **five (5)** days in advance of the public hearing using the following method(s) (at least one must be selected):

Method	Public Planning Meeting	MOD Public Hearing	Additional
	Date(s) Sent	Date(s) Sent	Date Sent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Email	April 12, 2022	September 2, 2022	May 3, 2023
<input type="checkbox"/> Fax			
<input type="checkbox"/> Hand Delivery			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail	April 12, 2022		

Table 11

Website Notice. As required, public notice was posted on the COG website at least **five (5)** days in advance:

Website Notice	Public Planning Meeting	MOD Public Hearing	Final Public Hearing (Additional)
Date(s)	April 20, 2022	September 12, 2022	May 1, 2023

Table 12

Published Notice. As required, notice of the public hearing was published in at least one regional newspaper at least **three (3)** days in advance. Notice of the public hearings were published in the following regional newspaper(s):

Newspaper Name	Public Planning Meeting	MOD Public Hearing	Final Public Hearing (Additional)
	Date Published	Date Published	Date Published
Cuero Record	April 20, 2022	September 14, 2022	Did not respond to multiple emails
Goliad Advance Guard	April 21, 2022	September 22, 2022	Did not respond to multiple emails
Gonzales Inquirer	April 14, 2022	September 14, 2022	Did not respond to multiple emails
Hallettsville Tribune Herald	April 20, 2022	September 14, 2022	Did not respond to multiple emails
Jackson County Herald Tribune	April 20, 2022	September 14, 2022	Did not respond to multiple emails
Port Lavaca Wave	April 20, 2022	September 14, 2022	May 10, 2023 (editor did not reply until after the deadline)
Revista	April 20, 2022	September 14, 2022	Did not respond to multiple emails
Victoria Advocate	April 18 – 20, 2022	September 14, 2022	Did not respond to multiple emails

Table 13

Public Comment Period

Provide the dates of the public comment period for the COG MOD.

Start Date:	September 19, 2022	End Date:	October 14, 2022	No. of Days:	25
Start Date:	December 28, 2022	End Date:	January 12, 2023	No. of Days:	15
Start Date:	April 3, 2023	End Date:	April 18, 2023	No. of Days:	15
Start Date:	May 1, 2023	End Date:	May 16, 2023	No. of Days:	16

Table 14

12/28 and 4/3 Comment Periods were used as unofficial opportunities to send in comments remotely; hearings were not held, as these were not used in fulfilling GLO requirements but to aid the process of writing the MOD in accordance with our public's interests and local equity. These served as additional opportunities for public input informally, not as formal, widely posted public notices.

Citizen Participation

Describe how the COG conducted their citizen and non-governmental organization outreach, including any efforts exceeding GLO minimum public participation requirements. These efforts should comply with the Citizen Participation Plan provided to the GLO.

GCRPC engaged in Citizen and Non-Governmental Organization (NGO) outreach through 1) the GCRPC website, 2) public notices, and 3) press releases. GCRPC held a public planning meeting prior to the development of the MOD. Once approved, Citizens and NGOs were encouraged to review the GCRPC Method of Distribution (MOD) made available through the GCRPC website and participation in scheduled public hearings. Citizens and NGOs submitted written comments to the GCRPC via letter or email. Additionally, citizens and NGOs gave comment when attending scheduled public hearings where comments were recorded. The public comment period began the date of our first public hearing and lasted until 15 days after the final public hearing.

In addition to the public planning meeting and the public hearings required by GLO, the GCRPC addressed MOD at its Board of Directors meeting. The meetings wherein the MOD was addressed occurred on the following dates: 11/09/22, 11/21/22, 12/12/22, 02/01/23, 02/27/23, 03/01/23. These meetings are already public meetings offered virtually and recorded for public participation. Any MOD Public Meetings/Hearings occurred immediately following the close of the Board meeting and were also offered virtually and recorded.

GCRPC held public hearings in all 7 counties within the Golden Crescent region to ensure input was received from outlying counties.

Further, an updated draft version of the GCRPC MOD was posted and maintained on the GCRPC website and available at the GCRPC offices for public review.

Table 15

Accommodations. Describe any efforts to notify and accommodate those with modified communication needs, such as posting information and providing interpretive services for persons with Limited English Proficiency and for people with hearing impairments or other access and functional needs (ADA compliance).

Public meeting/hearing locations will be fully accessible to persons with disabilities. Public meeting/hearing announcements will include information on accessibility request for individuals requiring an interpreter, auxiliary aids, or other services. Language will be included in the notices describing the 72 hour notice required for requesting accommodations.

Spanish interpreters may be requested to provide assistance to any member of the public interested in participating in GCRPC's public meeting/hearings. All public notices and postings will include instructions for members of the public to request an interpreter. Language will be included in the notices describing the 72 hour notice required for requesting accommodations.

Published notices were shared bilingually in English and Spanish.

Table 16

Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing (AFFH) Statement

All subrecipients will certify that they will affirmatively further fair housing ("AFFH") in their grant agreements and will receive GLO training and technical assistance in meeting their AFFH obligations. Additionally, all project applications will undergo AFFH review by GLO before approval of projects. Such review will include assessment of a proposed project's area demography, socioeconomic characteristics, housing configuration and needs, educational, transportation, and health care opportunities, environmental hazards or concerns, and all other factors material to the AFFH determination. Applications should show that projects are likely to lessen area racial, ethnic, and low-income concentrations, and/or promote affordable housing in low-poverty, nonminority areas in response to natural hazard related impacts.


COG Principal Contact Information

Contact Name:	Jennifer Putman
Title:	Member Services

Table 17

Contact and Signatory Authority

Attached is a Resolution from the COG approving the method of distribution and authorizing its submittal to the Texas General Land Office. I certify that the contents of this document and all related attachments are complete and accurate.



 Signature

05/24/2023

 Date

Michael Ada

 Printed Name

Executive Director

 Title

michaela@gcrpc.org

 Email Address

361.578.1587

 Telephone Number

Allocation Worksheet & Summary

COG: Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission

Total Allocation: \$80,317,000.00

HUD MID				
Entity	Allocation	Percentage of Total Allocation	LMI Portion	LMI Percentage
Victoria County	\$6,576,000.00	15.42%	\$ 3,288,000.00	50.00%
Victoria	\$19,524,900.00	45.78%	\$ 9,762,450.00	50.00%
77979 Calhoun County	\$7,428,500.00	17.42%	\$ 3,714,250.00	50.00%
Port Lavaca	\$9,119,600.00	21.38%	\$ 4,559,800.00	50.00%
Total	\$42,649,000.00	100.00%	\$ 21,324,500.00	
State MID				
Entity	Allocation	Percentage of Total Allocation	LMI Portion	LMI Percentage
Calhoun County (Excluding Zip 77979 Calhoun County, Point Comfort, and Seadrift)	\$1,353,700.00	3.59%	\$ 676,850.00	50.00%
Point Comfort city	\$663,200.00	1.76%	\$ 331,600.00	50.00%
Seadrift	\$1,736,200.00	4.61%	\$ 868,100.00	50.00%
Dewitt County	\$1,337,900.00	3.55%	\$ 668,950.00	50.00%
Cuero	\$2,511,300.00	6.67%	\$ 1,255,650.00	50.00%
Yoakum	\$2,209,900.00	5.87%	\$ 1,104,950.00	50.00%
Yorktown	\$1,209,700.00	3.21%	\$ 604,850.00	50.00%
Nordheim	\$1,385,400.00	3.68%	\$ 692,700.00	50.00%
Goliad County	\$1,848,500.00	4.91%	\$ 924,250.00	50.00%
Goliad	\$1,483,000.00	3.94%	\$ 741,500.00	50.00%
Gonzales County	\$2,459,200.00	6.53%	\$ 1,229,600.00	50.00%
Gonzales	\$2,999,400.00	7.96%	\$ 1,499,700.00	50.00%
Nixon	\$1,433,500.00	3.81%	\$ 716,750.00	50.00%
Smiley	\$1,475,900.00	3.92%	\$ 737,950.00	50.00%
Waelder	\$1,360,600.00	3.61%	\$ 680,300.00	50.00%
Jackson County	\$1,460,600.00	3.88%	\$ 730,300.00	50.00%
Edna	\$2,124,000.00	5.64%	\$ 1,062,000.00	50.00%
Ganado	\$1,549,300.00	4.11%	\$ 774,650.00	50.00%
La Ward	\$853,100.00	2.26%	\$ 426,550.00	50.00%
Lavaca County	\$2,907,000.00	7.72%	\$ 1,453,500.00	50.00%
Hallettsville	\$1,397,600.00	3.71%	\$ 698,800.00	50.00%
Moulton	\$962,400.00	2.55%	\$ 481,200.00	50.00%
Shiner	\$946,600.00	2.51%	\$ 473,300.00	50.00%
Total	\$37,668,000.00	100.00%	\$ 18,834,000.00	

Minimum Funding Waiver



TEXAS GENERAL LAND OFFICE
GEORGE P. BUSH, COMMISSIONER

May 16, 2022

Michael Ada, Executive Director
Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission
1908 N. Laurent Street, Ste. 600A
Victoria, Texas 77901

Re: Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) CDBG-MIT Regional Mitigation Program waiver request

Dear Mr. Ada:

The Texas General Land Office Community Development and Revitalization division (GLO-CDR) has reviewed the request to reduce the minimum allocation to entities in the GCRPC Method of Distribution (MOD) for the Community Development Block Grant - Mitigation (CDBG-MIT) Regional Mitigation Program from \$1,000,000 to \$500,000. Because the approval of this waiver will allow for additional communities to benefit from the CDBG-MIT funding, we are pleased to inform you the waiver request is approved.

Thank you for your hard work to help the region recover from the many disasters which have recently beset it, as well as better prepare Texas for any future storms. If you have any questions or require additional information, please feel free to contact Margaret Adams at margaret.adams.glo@recovery.texas.gov.

Sincerely,

Alexandra Gamble

Alexandra Gamble, Policy Development Director
Community Development and Revitalization

Cc: Hannah Crone, Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Regional Services Supervisor
Shawn Strange, Texas General Land Office Policy Development Manager

Resolution



A Resolution

of the Board of Directors to adopt the CDBG-MIT Method of Distribution of \$80,317,000,

WHEREAS, the Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission entered into an agreement with the Texas General Land Office on December 13, 2021, to create a method of distribution for \$80,317,000 of Community Development Block Grant – Mitigation (CDBG-MIT) program funding; and


WHEREAS, the Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission held a public meeting on March 1st, 2023 where the Board of Directors approved a final method of distribution for CDBG-MIT program funding based on an approved process; and

WHEREAS, the Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission finds it in the best interest of the citizens of the Golden Crescent region that Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission's CDBG-MIT Method of Distribution be approved to allocate funds to the region's counties and municipalities for the funding of mitigation projects; and


Now, therefore, be it resolved by the Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission;

1. That Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission's CDBG-MIT Method of Distribution is correct, true, and through the approved process, received final approval from the Texas General Land Office;
2. That the Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission's CDBG-MIT Method of Distribution meets the requirements issued by the Texas General Land Office for inclusion in the State Mitigation Action Plan, as amended;
3. That the attached document outlines Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission's CDBG-MIT Method of Distribution for \$80,317,000 for the Golden Crescent Region; and
4. That this Resolution is effective upon its adoption.

Passed and approved by the Board of Directors for the Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission in Victoria, Texas, on this the 10th day of May 2023.

X 
 Councilman Tony Allen
 Board President

ATTEST:

X 
 Alderwoman Robin Alaniz
 Board Secretary-Treasurer











Public Planning

Apr 2022

**GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
PUBLIC PLANNING MEETING
TEXAS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT
REGIONAL MITIGATION PROGRAM METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION**

April 27, 2022; 4:00 pm – 5:00 pm
1908 N. Laurent St., 6th Floor Board Room, Victoria Texas

SIGN-IN SHEET

	Name (Print)	Signature	Email	Phone	Date
1	Kelly R. JANINA			361-782-3541	4-27-22
2	Wayne Bubela			361-771-5693	4/27/22
3	Dennis KARL			(361) 920-2500	4-27-22
4	Gary Reese			361-285-3141	4-27-22
5	KEVIN LAFLEUR			830-857-5755	4-27-22
6	Chris JACKSON			713-501-1929	4/27/2022
7	Sandy Johns			979.241.8834	04/27/22
8	Josephine E. Soliz			361-212-7553	04/27/22
8	Alice J Summers			361-798-4179	04/27/2022
10	Alonzo MORALES JR			361-550-2123	4/27/22

11	CRAIG HUGHES	Craig Hughes		281 686 2610	4/27/22
12	Shannon Longoria	Shannon Longoria		512-696-9471	4/27/22
13	DAVID HALL	DH		361-220-1951	4/27/22
14	Robin Knipling	Robin Knipling	rKnipling@vctx.org	(361) 935-1681	4/27/22
15	Katy Connally		Kconnally@victoriatx.gov	361-485-3082	4/27/20
16	Victoria Reyna		vreyner@victoriatx.gov	361-485-3084	4/27/21
17	KELSIE SMITH	Kelsie P. Smith	KSMITH@VICTORIATX.GOV	361.485.3083	4-27-22
18	Janette Williams	Janette Williams	jwilliams@victoriatx.gov	485-3020	4/27/22
19	Darrek Ferrell	D. Ferrell	dferrell@victoriatx.gov	485-3030	4/21/22
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

Meeting Summary

Total Number of Participants	13
Meeting Title	GLO MIT MOD Public Planning Meeting
Meeting Start Time	4/27/2022, 3:44:43 PM
Meeting End Time	4/27/2022, 4:33:03 PM
Meeting Id	2481447c-1baa-4936-87df-ab55a2e095f6

Full Name	Join Time	Leave Time	Duration	Email	Role	Participant ID (UPN)
Board Room	4/27/2022, 4/27/2022, 35m 42s			BoardRoom@gcrpc.org	Presenter	BoardRoom@gcrpc.org
Jill Phinney	4/27/2022, 4/27/2022, 32m 5s			jill@lcmsinc.com	Presenter	jill@lcmsinc.com
Conference Two	4/27/2022, 4/27/2022, 33m 24s			Conference2@gcrpc.org	Presenter	Conference2@gcrpc.org
Michelle (Guest)	4/27/2022, 4/27/2022, 33m 43s				Presenter	
Andrew Victory	4/27/2022, 4/27/2022, 30m 32s			andrewv@gcrpc.org	Presenter	andrewv@gcrpc.org
Andrew Victory	4/27/2022, 4/27/2022, 18s			andrewv@gcrpc.org	Presenter	andrewv@gcrpc.org
Veronica Pauda (Guest)	4/27/2022, 4/27/2022, 30m 5s				Presenter	
Stacey F	4/27/2022, 4/27/2022, 29m 9s			staceyf@gcrpc.org	Presenter	staceyf@gcrpc.org
Comm. Joel Behrens (Guest)	4/27/2022, 4/27/2022, 26m 41s				Presenter	
Erin Thompson	4/27/2022, 4/27/2022, 22m 15s			erin@lcmsinc.com	Presenter	erin@lcmsinc.com
Sellers, Katy	4/27/2022, 4/27/2022, 34m 53s			katy.sellers@rebuild.nc.gov	Presenter	katy.sellers@rebuild.nc.gov
Carey Buxton (EDG)	4/27/2022, 4/27/2022, 19m 38s			CBuxton@trla.org	Presenter	CBuxton@trla.org
Angela Moya	4/27/2022, 4/27/2022, 16m 43s			angelam@gcrpc.org	Presenter	angelam@gcrpc.org
Becca Taylor - GrantWorks (Guest)	4/27/2022, 4/27/2022, 3m 21s				Presenter	

GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

PUBLIC PLANNING MEETING FOR TEXAS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK

GRANT REGIONAL MITIGATION PROGRAM'S METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION

APRIL 27, 2022

4:00 PM - 5:00 PM

IN-PERSON

1908 N. Laurent St., Suite 600 A
Board Room
Victoria, Texas 77901

REMOTE ACCESS

Join on your computer or mobile app

[Click here to join the meeting](#)

Or call in (audio only)

[+1 806-503-5127,,87840576#](#) United
States, Lubbock

Phone Conference ID: 878 405 76#

AGENDA

1. Call to Order
2. Welcome & Introductions
3. Project Details
4. Eligibility
5. MOD Development Process
6. Proposed Objective Factors
7. Public Comment Summary
8. Open Forum/Public Comments
9. Adjournment



PUBLIC PLANNING MEETING FOR TEXAS COMMUNITY DEELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT REGIONAL MITIGATION PROGRAM'S METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 27, 2022

4:00 PM – 5:00 PM

JOIN VIA MICROSOFT TEAMS:

Click here to join the meeting

Or call in (audio only): +1 806-503-5127

Phone Conference ID: 878 405 76#

MINUTES

Agenda Item 1. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 4:01 PM by Regional Services Manager Hannah Crone

Agenda Item 2. Welcome & Introductions

Hannah started by introducing the Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Staff. Stacey Frazer, Regional Services Program Specialist, Andrew Victory IT Program Specialist, Michael Ada Executive Director of the Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission and Josephine Soliz the Board President of the Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission.

Attendees Present:

Kelly Janica
Wayne Bubela
Dennis Karl
Gary Reese
Kevin LeFleur
Chris Jackson
Sandy Johs
Alice Jo Summers
Alonzo Morales

Craig Hughes
Shannon Longoria
David Hall
Robin Knipling
Katy Connally
Victoria Reyna
Keisha Smith
Danielle Williams
Darrek Ferrell

Attendees Online:

Agenda Item 3. Project Details.

Hannah Crone started the presentation by explaining what exactly the Texas Community Development Block Grant Regional Mitigation Program Method of Distribution is and what the

GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION



intent of the funding is. The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission's region has been allocated \$80,317,000.00

Agenda Item 4. Eligibility

Hannah Crone described the Golden Crescent Region as well as produced a list of all eligible entities within the region. Hannah also wanted to make everyone aware that Special districts were eligible, but a waiver would have to be submitted and approved by the GLO in order to be entered into the distribution formula.

Hannah then presented a list of Eligible activities that this funding could potentially be used for. Also, a list of Ineligible Activities was also presented.

Agenda Item 5. MOD Development Process

Hannah showed attendees a diagram of the MOD process and showed the position in the process that the Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission is currently.

Agenda Item 6. Proposed Objective Factors

Hannah then identified the current factors that could be used in the process if there were no objections. A few examples included Population data, Low- and moderate-income data, social vulnerability index data and resiliency factors to name a few.

Agenda Item 7. Public Comment Summary

Hannah then informed attendees how they could submit public comments weather if be today of in the future. All future comments could be mailed to her at 1908 N. Laurent St. Ste.600, Victoria, TX 77901 or emailed to her at hannahc@gcrpc.org

Agenda Item 8. Open Forum/ Public Comments

Hannah Crone then asked Andrew Victory if there were any questions or comments submitted online.

- *Michelle online asked what kind of communication infrastructure is allowable? Hannah explained that the project details will be worked out with the GLO grant managers. The GLO grant managers will be contacting individual Cities and Counties to help those communities with any questions they have regarding their projects or eligibility of specific projects. **GLO's response to the question: More research will need to be done in order to answer this question.***
- *GCPRC Board Chairman and Mayor Pro Tem from the City of Victoria Josephine Soliz asked if the distribution of the funds will be according to the projects submitted to the GLO or how will that be determined? Hannah represented the proposed objectives that GCRPC could use to create the MOD and that is the purpose of today's public hearing is to determine if there are any certain factors that the region would like GCRPC to specifically use in the MOD, but ultimately it will be formula based. Chairman Soliz then asked if more projects could be added to qualify for the distribution? Hannah explained that the only thing that we are discussing at this time are the factors for the distribution. Hannah then further explained how the factors would affect the communities in and play a part in their portion of the distributed funds. Chairman Soliz also wanted to know if these distribution*

factors were the same as Texas CDBG grant funds? Hannah explained that TX CDBG funds look at LMI as well this data includes more factors than just LMI. Chairman Soliz asked if that would make the area of funding bigger because you are not just using LMI? Hannah responded that it could because the factor used is the 51 % LMI so a project may need to cover more area to qualify.

- Commissioner Gary Reese from Calhoun County asked what if the projects are not recognized by FEMA as heavily LMI but the County itself knows there is a large portion LMI will the projects be able to be submitted? Hannah explained that we will be using the HUD data for the LMI numbers. Commissioner David Hall from Calhoun County then asked if the County would be able to go door to door to collect the LMI data for a project? Hannah assured that she was not sure but would ask the GLO if that would be allowable and let everyone know. **GLO's response to the question: Yes, a community could conduct a survey to prove their LMI numbers are higher than HUD's calculations if the COG wanted to allow them that opportunity. The community would need to follow specific methodology available on the 2018 Infrastructure Competition Page, use our questionnaire, and 2022 HUD Income Limits. Please note if GCRPC allows one community the opportunity to do a survey, you would need to extend that opportunity to all non-LMI communities in your region. Let me know if this is something the COG is interested in doing; we'll need to have an official correspondence so I can get approval and provide you this information on a clean email.**
- Mayor Alice Jo Summers from City of Hallettsville asked if these projects were going to be graded the same as the previous grants? Hannah explained that the first round was competitive and this round you will just need to make sure the project meets the 51% LMI and is an eligible project to use your community's allocation.
- Commissioner Kevin LaFleur asked if on the eligible projects we have Dam Enlargement would dam repairs be covered as well? Hannah assured Commissioner LaFleur from Gonzales County that she would ask the GLO if repairs would be considered. **GLO's response to the question: The Guidance Document page 12 (PDF page 14) outline the requirements for repairs to existing dams and levees. If an eligible entity is interested in repairing an existing dam, those requirements are a first step. The Grant Manager assigned to assist the community will be able to advise them on any additional requirements for the project.**
- Commissioner David Hall from Calhoun County asked if we had an estimated timeline for this process will be? Hannah explained that we hope to be complete with our process this summer hopefully in July, but it will depend on the GLO's process for approving the MOD and however many times that it will need corrected. After we have completed the MOD and it has been approved the GLO will take over the process and the grant managers will be contacting the communities about their funding and projects.
- Councilman Craig Hughes from the City of Moulton asked if this is posted on the website? Hannah confirmed that the recording, and any other information will all be posted online on the GCRPC homepage.

Agenda Item 9. Adjournment

With no further questions or comments from attendees Hannah adjourned the meeting at 4:19 PM.

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

THE STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF DE WITT

§
§
§
§

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, a Notary Public in and for the State of Texas, on this day personally appeared Sonya Timpone, who after being by me duly sworn, upon oath says that he/she is a representative of The Cuervo Record, a newspaper in general circulation in DeWitt County, Texas which newspaper satisfies each of the requirements of Subchapter C, Chapter 2051, as amended, Texas Government Code, so as to constitute an official publication in which legal notices may be published as set forth in said newspaper.


Publisher further deposes and says that the attached notice was published in said newspaper on the following date(s) to wit: 4-20-22

Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Public
Notice - English Version

Sonya Timpone
Sonya Timpone
Editor and Publisher

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me, the undersigned authority, on the 6th day of May, 2022, to verify which witness my hand and seal of office.

Shannon Heindl
Notary Public
State of Texas



AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

THE STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF DE WITT

§
§
§
§

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, a Notary Public in and for the State of Texas, on this day personally appeared Sonya Timpone, who after being by me duly sworn, upon oath says that he/she is a representative of The Cuern Record, a newspaper in general circulation in DeWitt County, Texas which newspaper satisfies each of the requirements of Subchapter C, Chapter 2051, as amended, Texas Government Code, so as to constitute an official publication in which legal notices may be published as set forth in said newspaper.


Publisher further deposes and says that the attached notice was published in said newspaper on the following date(s) to wit: 4-20-22

Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Public
Notice - Spanish Version

Sonya Timpone
Sonya Timpone
Editor and Publisher

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me, the undersigned authority, on the 6th day of May, 2022, to verify which witness my hand and seal of office.

Shannon Heindl
Notary Public
State of Texas



April 21, 2022

Golden Crescent RPC Input CDBG distribution

Coastal Bend PUBLISHING

BEE | GOLIAD | SAN PATRICIO | REFUGIO
KARNES | LIVE OAK & McMULLEN

BEEVILLE Bee-Picayune **GOLIAD** Advance-Guard Live Oak & McMullen **THE PROGRESS** Countywide **KARNES** The News of **SAN PATRICIO** County Press **REFUGIO**

111 N. Washington • PO Box 10 • Beeville TX 78104
361.358.2550 office@mysoutex.com


AFFIDAVIT of PUBLICATION

THE STATE OF TEXAS

COUNTY OF BEE

Before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared Dennis Wade known to me, who, by me duly sworn, on his oath deposes and says that he is the Publisher of the Goliad Advance-Guard, having general circulation in Goliad County, who being by me duly sworn, deposes and says that the foregoing attached notice was published in said newspaper on the following date(s), to wit:


April 21, 2022



Dennis Wade, Publisher

Sworn to and subscribed before me by Dennis Wade this the 21 day of April 2022 AD to certify which witness my hand and official seal.

Mary Virginia Massey
Mary Virginia Massey,
Notary Public in and for the State of Texas



AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

STATE OF TEXAS §

COUNTY OF GONZALES §

Before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared

LEW K. COHN, who being by me duly sworn,
(name of newspaper representative)

deposes and says that (s)he is the PUBLISHER
(title of newspaper representative)

of the GONZALES INQUIRER; that said newspaper is generally circulated
(name of newspaper)

in GONZALES, Texas;
(in the municipality or nearest municipality to the location of the facility or the proposed facility)

that the attached notice was published in said newspaper on the following date(s):


April 14, 2022

[Signature]
(newspaper representative's signature)

Subscribed and sworn to before me this the 4th day of May, 2022,
to certify which witness my hand and seal of office.

[Signature]
Notary Public in and for the State of Texas

(Seal)



Sanya Simmons
Print or Type Name of Notary Public

06/06/2025
My Commission Expires

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

STATE OF TEXAS §

COUNTY OF GONZALES §

Before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared

LEW K. COHN, who being by me duly sworn,
(name of newspaper representative)

deposes and says that (s)he is the PUBLISHER
(title of newspaper representative)

of the GONZALES INQUIRER; that said newspaper is generally circulated
(name of newspaper)

in GONZALES, Texas;
(in the municipality or nearest municipality to the location of the facility or the proposed facility)

that the attached notice was published in said newspaper on the following date(s):

April 14, 2022


Lew K Cohn
(newspaper representative's signature)

Subscribed and sworn to before me this the 4th day of May, 2022,

to certify which witness my hand and seal of office.

Sanya Simmons
Notary Public in and for the State of Texas

(Seal)



Sanya Simmons
Print or Type Name of Notary Public

06/06/2025
My Commission Expires

Por la presente se notifica que la Comisión de Planificación Regional de Golden Crescent (GCRPC) está buscando aportes sobre el método de distribución por \$80,317,000 en Fondos del Programa de Mitigación Regional de Subvenciones en Bloque para el Desarrollo Comunitario (CDBG-MIT).

Los comentarios escritos y orales con respecto al Método de Distribución se tomarán primero en una reunión pública de planificación programada para la siguiente fecha, hora y lugar:

Miércoles 27 de abril de 2022, a las 4:00 p.m., en el GCRPC Sala de juntas dentro de la Torre Victoria ubicada en 1908 N. Laurent, 6th Floor (piso), Victoria, Texas, 77901, o virtualmente. Instrucciones para unirse a la reunión virtual se publicará en nuestro sitio web, www.gcrpc.org, 72 horas antes de la reunión.

Después de la reunión de planificación pública y la aprobación de la Oficina General de Tierras de Texas, el primer borrador del Método de Distribución estará disponible en el sitio web de GCRPC, www.gcrpc.org, así como en las oficinas de GCRPC (1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600, Victoria, Texas, 77901) y se llevarán a cabo audiencias públicas adicionales. El calendario para audiencias públicas adicionales también estará disponible en el sitio web de GCRPC.

Los comentarios por escrito se recibirán desde el miércoles 27 de abril de 2022 hasta el miércoles 29 de junio de 2022. Los comentarios por escrito deben recibirse a más tardar a las 5:00 p.m., el miércoles 29 de junio de 2022. Puede enviar comentarios por correo electrónico a hannahc@gcrpc.org o por correo, Atención: Hannah Crone, Regional Services Manager, 1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600, Victoria, Texas, 77901.

GCRPC proporcionará adaptaciones razonables para las personas que asistan a las audiencias públicas de GCRPC. Las audiencias públicas se llevarán a cabo en inglés. Las solicitudes de personas que necesitan adaptaciones especiales, solicitudes de intérpretes de idiomas o solicitudes de otras necesidades especiales de comunicación deben hacerse al menos 72 horas antes de cada audiencia. Para solicitudes de adaptación o información adicional sobre esta publicación, llame al (361) 578-1587, x206.

ACCEPTING BIDS FOR HAY LEASE AT AIRPORT

The City of Hallettsville will lease approximately 36 acres for hay production at the Hallettsville Municipal Airport US HWY 77 S. Sealed proposals will be accepted at City Hall until 3:00 P.M. on Friday May 6, 2022.

The lease is for two (2) years beginning August 1, 2022 and ending in July 31, 2024, with the Lessee having the option to extend the lease for an additional three (3) year period. Bid packets are available at <https://cityofhallettsville.org/request-for-proposals-bids-grants/> and at City Hall, 101 N. Main Street, Hallettsville, Texas. For more information, contact Grace Ward, City Secretary/Administrator at (361) 798-3681. Upon consideration of the bids, the City of Hallettsville reserves the right to accept or to reject any and all bids and to waive any technicalities.

FOR CEADRE UNIQUE ELLIS WADE, A MINOR, Part of Block 5, Kessli County, Texas, described in Volume 680, Page 2910 Official Records of Pg. 34 value of \$101,069.00, and an Estimated minimum opening bid of \$7,291.8

2020-12-24622CV, Account No(s). 0091488-0052290-RI, LAVACA COUNTY ELAINE MOORE JOHNSON, ET AL, LEASE #0091488, KUDU HUNTER O&G LP, RI 0.000881, ABSTRACT 52, W STRODE SURVEY, RRC 981 TEXAS, with an adjudged value of \$8,700.00, and an Estimated minimum

2020-12-24622CV, Account No(s). 0091148-0052290-RI, LAVACA COUNTY ELAINE MOORE JOHNSON, ET AL, LEASE #0091148, KUDU HUNTER RI 0.000881, ABSTRACT 52, W STRODE SURVEY, RRC 9819, UNIT #990 an adjudged value of \$770.00, and an Estimated minimum opening bid of \$

2020-12-24622CV, Account No(s). 0091141-0052290-RI, LAVACA COUNTY ELAINE MOORE JOHNSON, ET AL, LEASE #0091141, ORYX HUNTER 0.001127, ABSTRACT 52, W STRODE SURVEY, RRC 9701, LAVACA COUNTY of \$36,970.00, and an Estimated minimum opening bid of \$6,039.50;

(any volume and page references, unless otherwise indicated, being to the 1 to which instruments reference may be made for a more complete description of the written request of said defendants or their attorney, a sufficient portion be sold to satisfy said judgment(s), interest, penalties, and cost; and any part of redemption of the defendants or any person having an interest therein, interest therein, within the time and in the manner provided by law, and shall rights to which the defendants or anyone interested therein may be entitled, to be made by me to satisfy the judgment(s) rendered in the above styled a interest, penalties, and costs of suit, and the proceeds of said sales to be a the remainder, if any, to be applied as the law directs.

Dated at Hallettsville, Texas, March 30, 2022

Sheriff Micah Harmon Lavaca County, Texas

By Deputy

Notes:

The Minimum Bid is the lesser of the amount awarded in the judgment plus value. However, the Minimum Bid for a person owning an interest in the property the suit (other than a taxing unit), is the aggregate amount of the judgments suit and sale. ALL SALES SUBJECT TO CANCELLATION WITHOUT NOTICE TAXES DUE ON THE PROPERTY WHICH HAVE BEEN ASSESSED SINCE For more information, contact your attorney or LINEBARGER GOGGAN BL plaintiffs, at (512) 634-3709

NOTICES

NOTICES

ACCEPTING BIDS FOR HAY LEASE

The City of Hallettsville will lease approximately 30 acres for hay production or grazing on CR 201. Sealed proposals will be accepted at City Hall until 3:00 P.M. on Friday, May 6, 2022.

The lease is for two (2) years beginning on August 15, 2022 and ending on August 14, 2024, with the Lessee having the option to extend the lease for an additional three (3) year period. Bid packets are available at <https://cityofhallettsville.org/request-for-proposals-bids-grants/> and at City Hall, 101 N. Main Street, Hallettsville, Texas. For more information, contact Grace Ward, City Secretary/Administrator at (361) 798-3681. Upon consideration of the bids, the City of Hallettsville reserves the right to accept or to reject any and all bids and to waive any technicalities.

DO
NEW
LIKE
IN TH
HALI
TRIBU
TRIBUNEHE

WE ARE
ONLINE

Online
Edition

PUBLISHER'S AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF JACKSON


Personally appeared before the undersigned, a notary public within and for said County and State, Millie Diaz, Editor, of THE JACKSON COUNTY HERALD-TRIBUNE, a newspaper having general circulation in Jackson County, Texas, who, being duly sworn, states on oath that the foregoing attached notice was published in said newspaper on the following date(s) to wit:

Wed. Apr. 20, 2022

Millie Diaz

Millie Diaz, Editor

Subscribed and sworn to me before this 20th day of April, 2022
to certify which witness my hand and seal of office.



Joni Boston

PUBLISHER'S AFFIDAVIT

THE STATE OF TEXAS §
COUNTY OF CALHOUN §

Christy Aguilar, being duly sworn on his/her oath states that he/she is an authorized representative of The Port Lavaca Wave and that said newspaper meets the requirements of Section 2051.044 of the Texas Government code, to wit:

1. It devotes not less than twenty-five percent (25%) of its total column lineage to general interest items;
2. It is published at least once each week;
3. It is entered as second-class postal matter in the county where it is published;
4. It has been published regularly and continuously since 1891;
5. It is generally circulated within Calhoun County.

(CLIPPING)(S)

#2677

Representative further deposes and says that the attached notice was published in said newspaper on the following date(s) to wit:


4/20, A.D. 2022

Christy Aguilar

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN BEFORE ME by


Christy Aguilar

- a) is personally known to me, or
 b) provided the following evidence to establish his or her identity _____



On this the 20 day of Apr, A.D. 2022,
to certify which witness my hand and seal of office

J Marek
Judy Marek
Notary Public, State of Texas



Noticias del Mundo


UCRANIA — Acuerdan abrir un corredor humanitario en Mariúpol para unos 6,000 civiles. El vicecalde de Mariúpol, por su parte, ha tildado de “falsas” las informaciones sobre posibles evacuaciones. La Armada rusa ha dado otro ultimátum a las tropas ucranianas que resisten en Mariúpol. Ya son

5 millones las personas que han tenido que huir de Ucrania por la guerra.

Ucrania ha anunciado hoy haber alcanzado un acuerdo para abrir un corredor humanitario de evacuación desde la asediada Mariúpol, por el que se tratará de sacar de la ciudad del sur del país a unos

6,000 civiles, principalmente mujeres, niños y ancianos.

Así lo ha comunicado la viceprimera ministra de Ucrania, Iryna Vereshchuk, en su canal de Telegram, quien ha señalado que “dada la catastrófica situación humanitaria, vamos a centrar nuestros esfuerzos en evacuar a esas perso-



Mariúpol, Ucrania. Contributed photo.

Por la presente se notifica que la Comisión de Planificación Regional de Golden Crescent (GCRPC) está buscando aportes sobre el método de distribución por \$80,317,000 en Fondos del Programa de Mitigación Regional de Subvenciones en Bloque para el Desarrollo Comunitario (CDBG-MIT).

Los comentarios escritos y orales con respecto al Método de Distribución se tomarán primero en una reunión pública de planificación programada para la siguiente fecha, hora y lugar:

Miércoles 27 de abril de 2023, a las 4:00 p.m., en el GCRPC Sala de Juntas dentro de la Torre Victoria ubicada en 1908 N. Laurent, 6th Floor (plso), Victoria, Texas, 77901, o virtualmente. Instrucciones para unirse a la reunión virtual se publicará en nuestro sitio web, www.gcrpc.org, 72 horas antes de la reunión.

Después de la reunión de planificación pública y la aprobación de la Oficina General de Tierras de Texas, el primer borrador del Método de Distribución estará disponible en el sitio web de GCRPC, www.gcrpc.org, así como en las oficinas de GCRPC (1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600, Victoria, Texas, 77901) y se llevarán a cabo audiencias públicas adicionales. El calendario para audiencias públicas adicionales también estará disponible en el sitio web de GCRPC.

Los comentarios por escrito se recibirán desde el miércoles 27 de abril de 2023 hasta el miércoles 29 de junio de 2023. Los comentarios por escrito deben recibirse a más tardar a las 5:00 p.m., el miércoles 29 de junio de 2023. Puede enviar comentarios por correo electrónico a hannahc@gcrpc.org o por correo, Atención: Hannah Crone, Regional Services Manager, 1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600, Victoria, Texas, 77901.

GCRPC proporcionará adaptaciones razonables para las personas que asistan a las audiencias públicas de GCRPC. Las audiencias públicas se llevarán a cabo en inglés. Las solicitudes de personas que necesitan adaptaciones especiales, solicitudes de intérpretes de idiomas o solicitudes de otras necesidades especiales de comunicación deben hacerse al menos 72 horas antes de cada audiencia. Para solicitudes de adaptación o información adicional sobre esta publicación, llame al (361) 578-1587, x206.

nas”.

En los últimos tres días no se había abierto ningún corredor humanitario, ni en esa ciudad ni en otras partes del este del país, por no existir garantías de seguridad, según Vereshchuk.

Según fuentes de la alcaldía, se espera hacer llegar 90 autobuses a lo largo de este miércoles, para lograr transportar a unas 6,000 personas.

Después, el vicecalde de Mariúpol ha alertado de que hay “muchos” civiles refugiados en la acería Azovstal y ha tildado de “falsas” las informaciones sobre posibles evacuaciones. De esta forma, ha incidido que “todas las noticias sobre la posibilidad de salir, de abandonar Mariúpol o la acería de Azovstal, son falsas”.

Ultimátum hasta las 2:00

La Armada rusa ha dado otro ultimátum a las fuerzas ucranianas

para deponer las armas y retirarse de la planta metalúrgica Azovstal en Mariúpol este miércoles, después de que ayer nadie se entregara en las dos horas dadas por Rusia para hacerlo.

“Las Fuerzas Armadas de Rusia, guiadas por principios puramente humanos, otra vez ofrecen a los militantes de batallones nacionalistas y mercenarios detener el combate y deponer las armas a las 2:00 hora local (1:00 horas en Euskal Herria),” ha señalado el jefe del Centrn de Control de Defensa Nacional, coronel general Mijail Mizintsev.

En la jornada de este martes, Rusia precisó que, durante la activación del alto el fuego para evacuar a civiles, los militares ucranianos no abandonaron la planta.

Por otro lado, el máximo responsable de la Administración Estatal Regional de Donetsk, Pavlo Kirilenko, ha informado de una explosión en la planta, sin precisar víctimas o el origen de la explosión, según ha recogido la ucraniana Cadena 24.

Las fuerzas de la autoproclamada República Popular de Donetsk han anunciado este martes el inicio de una ofensiva contra esta acería.

Los refugiados por la guerra en Ucrania ya ascienden a 5 millones

Las personas que han tenido que huir de Ucrania a consecuencia de la guerra ascienden ya a 5 millones, según el último balance de la Agencia de Naciones Unidas para los Refugiados (ACNUR).

Polonia ha recibido más de la mitad de este éxodo (2.8 millones), y otros países vecinos de Ucrania también han acogido un gran número de refugiados, tales como Rumanía (757,000), Rusia (549,000) y Hungría (471,000).

EMETT

for

SCHOOL BOARD



POL. ADVERTISING PAID FOR BY EMMETT ALVAREZ FOR VISO SCHOOL BOARD CAMPAIGN, C. RAMOS, TREASURER

CITY, from pg. 3
throughout Victoria."

Attracting new business to Victoria is also a key issue to Loffgren, as well as affordable housing.

"Business growth is underway in Victoria, along with what I hope will be higher rates of pay. Although city council has no ability

ity to improve the pay workers receive, we can attempt to attract the best new businesses to Victoria, and I feel that the University of Houston-Victoria is a key player in attracting lucrative business growth here," Loffgren said. "We also have construction underway for affordable housing locally, most notably a large complex on

Ben Jordan."

Lastly, Loffgren feels that the completion of the I-69 corridor through Victoria will be an economic boon to the city. Once I-69 is completed the growth along the former US 59 will be incredible.

"I-69 will bring so many financial opportunities to Victoria that the growth will be incredible. This major United States thoroughfare will eventually run from the Valley all the way to Canada and will be a major change for the Victoria area."

Loffgren, a former store manager for HEB, has lived in Victoria since 1986, and is retired.

April Butler, owner of the Woodhouse Day Spas and The I Fix Computers Guy, has worked in Victoria since 2003, and lived here since 2013. She earned a Bachelor of Arts degree from Texas Tech

University, as well as a bachelor's degree in science and a master's degree in Sociology from the State University of New York.

She said that she attended a city council meeting in 2021 to speak out on local animal control and the high rate of euthanasia of animals in the city limits.

"I remember asking a city council member a question concerning animal control and they told me that this was not a city council issue but a county issue. It was then that I felt that city residents need not only a voice in local issues, but also the right to be heard on issues that affect them. Right then I had an epiphany. Someone had to do something about this, and I felt who better than myself, this motivated me to do more for the community that I live in."

She said that she feels that city council should be working for the betterment of the community. "I feel that we need to work hard to grow Victoria, and I mean all of Victoria. We need a better relationship between city council and the community."

Butler stated, "We need to strive to make Victoria a tourism and activity hub, strive to bring better paying jobs to the city, increase the population, as well as work tirelessly to have local residents spend their dollars here at home, instead of going to larger cities to shop."



April Butler. Contributed photo.

She feels that the increase of broadband for the entire population is a key element to local growth, along with repair to local infrastructure, bringing sidewalks to neighborhoods where they are lacking, and by offering similar tax abatements to local existing businesses that want to expand and increase their presence in Victoria.

"If elected, I welcome feedback from the community. I want the citizens of Victoria to know that I am listening to them, I want them to know what we are doing with what they give us, and I want them to know that my cell phone is on at all times and that I am here to serve them."

"Listen, I have survived both a hurricane and COVID and have managed to grow my local business. I want the community of Victoria to thrive and know that their voice matters to me," said Butler.



WWW.
*Revista de Victoria*tx.com

Notice is hereby given that the Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) is seeking input on the method of distribution for \$80,317,000 in Community Development Block Grant Regional Mitigation Program Funds (CDBG-MIT).

Written and oral comments regarding the Method of Distribution will be taken first at a public planning meeting scheduled for the following date, time, and location:

Wednesday, April 27, 2022, at 4:00 p.m., at the GCRPC Boardroom inside the Victoria Tower located at 1908 N. Laurent, 6th Floor, Victoria, Texas, 77901, or virtually. Instructions to join the meeting virtually will be posted on our website, www.gcrpc.org, 72 hours prior to the meeting.

Following the public planning meeting and the approval of the Texas General Land Office, the first draft Method of Distribution will be made available on the GCRPC website, www.gcrpc.org, as well as at the GCRPC offices (1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600, Victoria, Texas, 77901) and additional public hearings will occur. The schedule for additional public hearings will also be made available on the GCRPC website.

Written comments will be received from Wednesday, April 27, 2022, through Wednesday, June 29, 2022. Written comments must be received no later than 5:00 p.m., on Wednesday, June 29, 2022. You may submit comments via email to hannahc@gcrpc.org or via mail, Attn: Hannah Crane, Regional Services Manager, 1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600, Victoria, Texas, 77901.

GCRPC will provide for reasonable accommodations for persons attending the GCRPC public hearings. Public hearings will be conducted in English. Requests from persons needing special accommodations, requests for language interpreters, or requests for other special communication needs should be made at least 72 hours prior to each hearing. For accommodation requests or additional information about this posting, please call (361) 578-1587, x205.

THE SALVATION ARMY HOUSE OF MINISTRIES

GREAT DEALS TO SUPPORT A GREAT CAUSE

ANTIQUES * BOUTIQUE * CLOTHING * DECOR
FURNITURE * ELECTRONICS & MORE

STORE HOURS:
MONDAY - FRIDAY: 8:30 AM-5:30 PM
SATURDAY & SUNDAY: CLOSED

Accepting boys & girls new (in package) undergarments, socks, & belts for homeless children


1204 N MOODY ST @ 5 POINTS
361-485-0123

All Proceeds Benefit Community Outreach Programs For Homeless Adults, Teens, & Low Income Families

STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF VICTORIA


Before me, a Notary Public in and for said County and State, this day personally appeared PAMELA WILLIAMS, Classified Supervisor for the VICTORIA ADVOCATE published by the VICTORIA ADVOCATE, which has general circulation in VICTORIA County, Texas and distributed in other surrounding Counties (Calhoun, Dewitt, Goliad, Gonzales, Jackson, Karnes, Lavaca, Matagorda, Refugio and Wharton); and who, after being duly sworn, did dispose and say that the following clipping of an advertisement was published in the above named paper on the following dates:

- Monday, April 18th, 2022
- Tuesday, April 19th, 2022
- Wednesday, April 20th, 2022

Signed 

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 20th day of April 2022.

Notary Public 
VICTORIA County, TX


[place notary seal here] 

[attach actual copy of
Newspaper ad clipping]

STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF VICTORIA

Before me, a Notary Public in and for said County and State, this day personally appeared PAMELA WILLIAMS, Classified Supervisor for the VICTORIA ADVOCATE published by the VICTORIA ADVOCATE, which has general circulation in VICTORIA County, Texas and distributed in other surrounding Counties (Calhoun, Dewitt, Goliad, Gonzales, Jackson, Karnes, Lavaca, Matagorda, Refugio and Wharton); and who, after being duly sworn, did dispose and say that the following clipping of an advertisement was published in the above named paper on the following dates:


- Monday, April 18th, 2022
- Tuesday, April 19th, 2022
- Wednesday, April 20th, 2022

Signed 

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 20th day of April 2022.

Notary Public 
VICTORIA County, TX

[place notary seal here]



[attach actual copy of
Newspaper ad clipping]

Notice is hereby given that the Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) is seeking input on the method of distribution for **\$80,317,000 in Community Development Block Grant Regional Mitigation Program Funds (CDBG-MIT)**.

Written and oral comments regarding the Method of Distribution will be taken first at a public planning meeting scheduled for the following date, time, and location:

Wednesday, April 27, 2022, at 4:00 p.m., at the GCRPC Boardroom inside the Victoria Tower located at 1908 N. Laurent, 6th Floor, Victoria, Texas, 77901, or virtually. Instructions to join the meeting virtually will be posted on our website, www.gcrpc.org, 72 hours prior to the meeting.

Following the public planning meeting and the approval of the Texas General Land Office, the first draft Method of Distribution will be made available on the GCRPC website, www.gcrpc.org, as well as at the GCRPC offices (1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600, Victoria, Texas, 77901) and additional public hearings will occur. The schedule for additional public hearings will also be made available on the GCRPC website.

Written comments will be received from Wednesday, April 27, 2022, through Wednesday, June 29, 2022. Written comments must be received no later than 5:00 p.m., on Wednesday, June 29, 2022. You may submit comments via email to hannahc@gcrpc.org or via mail, Attn: Hannah Crone, Regional Services Manager, 1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600, Victoria, Texas, 77901.

GCRPC will provide for reasonable accommodations for persons attending the GCRPC public hearings. Public hearings will be conducted in English. Requests from persons needing special accommodations, requests for language interpreters, or requests for other special communication needs should be made at least 72 hours prior to each hearing. For accommodation requests or additional information about this posting, please call (361) 578-1587, x206.

Hannah Crone

From: Hannah Crone
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:36 AM
To: Blair Duran; Judge Ben Zeller; Judge Daryl Fowler; Judge Jill Sklar; Judge Keith Mudd; Judge Mark Myers; Judge Mike Bennett; Judge Patrick C. Davis; Judge Richard Meyer; Kristi Cline; MaeBelle Cassel; Pamela Martin; Shannon Spree
Cc: Michael Ada
Subject: Upcoming GLO MIT MOD Public Planning Meeting
Attachments: PublicCommentNotice_English.pdf


Good morning,

I just wanted to notify you that we will be holding our first public planning meeting regarding the \$80,317,000 in Community Development Block Grant Regional Mitigation Program Funds (CDBG-MIT) on April 27th at 4:00 PM. This first meeting will be an overview of the program, the method of distribution, and eligibility. We expect to have the distribution done by the end of May, and additional public hearings will follow. You can stay updated on this at our website, www.gcrpc.org.

The public planning meeting on April 27th will occur at our offices at 1908 N. Laurent St. Ste. 6001 Boardroom, or virtually. The link to join virtually will be posted on the website 72 hours prior to the meeting.

Thank you,



Hannah Crone
 Program Manager
 Office 361.578.1587, ext. 206 | Cell 361.648.3251
www.gcrpc.org | Follow us on: 

Confidentiality Note

This email may contain information that is confidential or privileged and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. The information is intended to be solely for the use of the recipient(s) named in the email. If you are not an intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this information is without authorization and prohibited. If you receive this email in error, please notify us by return email and delete this email immediately.

GLO Notices

Interested stakeholders:
becca.taylor@grantworks.net
gbroz@cityofedna.com
jgarza@victoriatx.gov

dferrell@victoriatx.gov
kconnally@victoriatx.gov
rknippling@vctx.org
rstastny@cityofcuero.com

m.darilek@co.jackson.tx.us
david.hall@calhouncotx.org
RickMcBrayer@vctx.org
joel.behrens@calhouncotx.org
mayorprotem@cityofganado.com

Calhoun County	richard.meyer@calhouncotx.org maebelle.cassel@calhouncotx.org
DeWitt County	Daryl.fowler@co.dewitt.tx.us blair_duran@co.dewitt.tx.us
Jackson County	J.sklar@co.jackson.tx.us
Lavaca County	kristicline@co.lavaca.tx.us
Victoria County	bzeller@vctx.org sspree@vctx.org
Goliad County	mbennett@goliadcountytx.gov pmartin@goliadcountytx.gov
Gonzales County	Countyjudge089@co.gonzales.tx.us
City of Port Lavaca	jwhitlow@portlavaca.org jweaver@portlavaca.org
City of Seadrift	e.deforest@seadrifftx.org g.torres@seadrifftx.org
City of Point Comfort	pcomfort@tisd.net
City of Victoria	jgarza@victoriatx.gov ahilbrich@victoriatx.org
City of Goliad	mayormosesofgoliad@goliadtx.net citysecretary@goliadtx.net
City of Cuero	mayor@cityofcuero.com rzella@cityofcuero.com
City of Nordheim	cityofnordheim@yahoo.com cityofnordheim@att.net
City of Yoakum	annier@jacobs-weber.com citymanager@cityofyoakum.org
City of Yorktown	yorktownmayor@sbcglobal.net
City of Waelder	cwaelder@gvtc.com melindac@gvtc.com
City of Smiley	smileytx@gvec.net smileytx@gvec.net
City of Shiner	mayor.hilscher@shinertexas.gov city_of_shiner@sbcglobal.net
City of Nixon	citysecretary@nixon.texas.gov citymanager@nixon.texas.gov
City of Moulton	zim1958@att.net citysec@cityofmoulton.com
City of LaWard	koch_rn@yahoo.com
City of Hallettsville	ajsummers3196@att.net cityadmin@cityofhallettsville.org
City of Gonzales	ckacir@gonzales.texas.gov citysecretary@gonzales.texas.gov
City of Ganado	Ganado@cityofganado.com clintontegler@yahoo.com Ganado@ykc.com
City of Edna	mayorsmiga@cityofedna.com gbroz@cityofedna.com

GCRPC Website
 Victoria Transit
 VCLTRG rick.villa.vcltrg@gmail.com
 104 S. William
 Victoria, TX 77901

 Victoria Economic Development jonastitas@victoriaedc.com
 101 W Goodwin, Suite 322
 Victoria, Texas 77901

 Texas Rio Grande Legal Aide- Victoria Law Center
 121 S. Main
 Victoria, TX 77901

 Gulf Bend Center jtunnell@gulfbend.org
 6502 Nursery Dr
 Victoria, TX 77904

 Bluebonnet Trails Community Services dalia.villa@bbtrails.org
 1009 N. Georgetown St.
 Round Rock, TX 78664

 Devereux Victoria jgrohman@devereux.com
 120 David Wade Dr
 Victoria, TX 77905

 Texas Housers
 1800 West Sixth Street
 Austin, TX 78703

 Texas Appleseed
 1609 Shoal Creek, Suite 201
 Austin, TX 78701

 Calhoun-Port Lavaca Housing Authority plha@tisd.net
 627 W George St #174
 Port Lavaca, Texas 77979

 Yoakum City Housing Authority
 712 FOREST Street
 Yoakum, Texas 77995

 Cuero Housing Authority
 Hope Ortiz, Director
 203 W Church St
 Cuero, Texas 77954

 Yorktown Housing Authority
 406 N. Eckhardt St
 Yorktown TX 78164

 Goliad Housing Authority
 360 N Fort St
 Goliad, TX 77963

 Gonzales Housing Authority info@gonzaleshousingauthority.com
 410 Village Dr
 Gonzales, TX 78629

 Jackson County Mauritz Village Apartments
 600 N. Kleas St.
 Edna TX 77957

 Lavaca County Hallettsville Housing Authority mark@hallettsvillehousingauthority.info
 103 Village Dr
 Hallettsville, TX 77964

 Victoria Housing Authority
 4001 Halsey Street
 Victoria, TX 77901

 Texas Health and Human Services Commission Offices
 City of Port Lavaca
 436 S Hwy 35
 Port Lavaca, Texas 77979

 City of Cuero
 106 E. French St.
 Cuero TX 77954

 City of Victoria
 2306 Leary Ln
 Victoria, TX 77901

 Goliad
 142 S. Market Square
 Goliad, TX 77963

 Gonzlaes
 1600 E. Sarah DeWitt Dr. #200
 Gonzales, TX 78629

 Jackson
 202 E. Main St.
 Edna TX 77957

 Lavaca
 1309 Cemetery Rd
 Hallettsville, TX 77964

Golden Crescent Regional Plan x +

← Not secure | gcrpc.org

AGENDA

Regional Announcements

Community Development Block Grant Regional Mitigation Program Funds for \$80,317,000
GCRPC seeks input on the development of the method of distribution (MOD) for \$80,317,000 in Hurricane Harvey disaster recovery funding.

Golden Crescent Long-Term Care Ombudsman Program Seeks Volunteers
Volunteer advocates empower residents in nursing homes.

Public Notices

Request for Proposals:
 Website Design, Graphic Design, and/or Creative Services
[Click here to learn more.](#)

Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission's Method of Distribution for \$80,317,000 in Community Development Block Grant Regional Mitigation Program Funds

Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission is seeking input on the Method of Distribution for \$80,317,000 in Community Development Block Grant Regional Mitigation Program Funds.

[Click here](#) to watch the recording of the first public planning meeting held Wednesday, April 27, 2022

[Click here](#) to learn more about the MOD process.

Hannah Crone

From: Cherokee McGrath
Sent: Wednesday, April 20, 2022 11:39 AM
To: Hannah Crone
Subject: Accepted: GLO MIT MOD Public Planning Meeting









This has been posted 😊

Public Hearing

Sept 2022

**GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
PUBLIC HEARING #1
TEXAS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT
REGIONAL MITIGATION PROGRAM METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION
Monday, September 19, 2022; 6:00 PM
2300 TX-35, Bauer Community Center, Port Lavaca Texas**











SIGN-IN SHEET










	Name (Print)	Signature	Email	Phone	Date
1	Jody Weaver		jweaver@portlavaca.org	361-827-3601	9/19/2022
2	Richard Koch		Koch_wr@yahoo.com	361-781-2929	9/19/2022
3	DAVID HALL		david.hall@calhounco.tx.gov	361-552-9242	9-19-22
4	Anita Thacker Scroggins		anita.scroggins@vescompanies.com	806-787-7350	9.19.22
5	Gary Reese		gary.reese@calhounco.tx.gov	361-746-1019	9-17-22
6	Katy Sellers		Katy@ksbr-llc.com	903-243-0481	9.19.22
7	Wendie Pande		wendie@ksbr-llc.com	817-856-9021	9.19.22
8	Jill S. Klar		j.sklar@co.jackson.tx.us	361-308-9998	9-19-22
8	Gary Bnoz		gbnoz@portlavaca.com	361-782-3122	9/19/22
10	Joel Behrens		joel.behrens@calhounco.tx.gov	361-920-2173	9/19/22

11	Coloria Crowe	Melie Lee	glocrow@yahoo.com	361-648-0051	9-19-22
12	Susan Tippit	Susan Tippit	catclawmon@aol.com	361-648-3324	9-19-22
13	Allen Tippit	Allen Tippit	atippit@portlandinc.or	361 648 3348	9/19/22
14	Richard Meyer	Richard Meyer	richard.meyer@colbourn.com	361-920-0800	9/19/22
15	SCOTT MASON	Scott Mason	smason@gwengineers.com	361-552-4509	9/19/22
16	CINDY KRAUSE	Crause		361-893-5467	
17	Alysa Jarvis	Alysa Jarvis	veritatis@att.net	832 474.0886	9/19/22
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
PUBLIC HEARING #1
TEXAS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT
REGIONAL MITIGATION PROGRAM METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION
 Tuesday, September 20, 2022; 6:00 PM
 115 W. Main St., Jackson County Courthouse, Edna, Texas 77957


SIGN-IN SHEET




	Name (Print)	Signature	Email	Phone	Date
1	Cindy Janica		janicacindy@hotmail.com	361-771-3968	9/20/22
2	Kelly B JANICA			361 771 5449	9-20-22
3	Julio Espinosa			361-782-1897	9/20/22
4	Gary Braz		gbraz@cittofedna.com	761-782-3122	9/20/22
5	Wayne Hunt		w.hunt@co.jackson.tx.us	361-781-4960	9/20/22
6	Cindy Krupke		KrupkeCindy@ydrac.com	361-182-1659	9/20/2022
7	Allen Pippert		Buckg2Tip@gmail.com	361 648 3348	9/20/22
8	Anita Scroggins		anita.scroggins@vcscompanies.com	806 787 350	9/20/22
8	Geoff Ebert		GEORGE.EBERT@MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS.COM	241-802-2643	9/20/22
10	Wayne Bubel		wbubelajc2@ykc.com	361-771-5693	9/20/22

11	Gary Crane		hbsnahlol@yahoo.com	361-648-3113	9/20/22
12	Jill S. Sklar		j.sklar@co.jackson.tx.us	361-308-9998	9/20/22
13	Rick Boone		rboone@cityofedwa.com	979-541-9555	9/20/22
14	Kurt Janica		KJanica@JCSO3.org	361-771-6211	9/20/22
15	Craig Brooks		CRAIGBROOKS1@TSP.NET	713-569-4001	
16	Barbara Marten	Barbara Marten	barglen@sbcglobal.net	361-782-8421	9/20/22
17	Glenn Marten	GLENN MARTEN		361-782-1286	9/20/22
18	Chris Jackson			713-501-1929	9/20/22
19	Dennis Karl			(361) 920-2500	9/20/22
20	Scott Mason		smason@gwengineers.com	361-552-4509	09/20/22
21	David Sheblak		david.sheblak@lynagroup.com	361-782-7121	9/20/22
22					
23					
24					
25					

GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
PUBLIC HEARING #1
TEXAS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT
REGIONAL MITIGATION PROGRAM METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION
 Wednesday, September 21, 2022; 6:00 PM
 1908 N. Laurent St., 6th Floor Board Room, Victoria Texas 77901







SIGN-IN SHEET

	Name (Print)	Signature	Email	Phone	Date
1	James Foote		jfoote@victoriatx.gov	361-485-3140	9/21/22
2	Richard Kell				
3	Gary D. Reese		gary_reese@osthurncoTX.org	361-746-1619	9/21-22
4	Richard Meyer		richard.meyer@cathancotx.org	361-553-4600	9/21/22
5	SCOTT MASON		smason@gwengineers.com	361-552-4509	9/21/22
6	Chris Jackson		chris.jackson@tigerrental.com	713-501-1929	9/21/22
7	Jennifer Hartman		jennifer.hartman@vesco.companies.com	361-570-9310	9-21-2022
8	Allen Tippit		atippit@midcoastfamily.org	361-648-3348	9/21/22
8	Jena West		JenaWest@vctx.org	361-676-1400	9/21/22
10	Robin Knipling		rknipping@vctx.org	361-580-6050	9/21/22

11	GARY BROZ		gbroz@cityofelva.com	361-782-2122	9/21/22
12	Tammy Saliz		tsaliz@PaintComfort.org	361-987-2661	9/21/22
13	Isabel Saliz		imartinez@paintcomfort.org	361-987-2661	9/21/22
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

**GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
PUBLIC HEARING #1
TEXAS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT
REGIONAL MITIGATION PROGRAM METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION
Thursday, September 22, 2022 at 6:00 PM
101 N. Main St., Hallettsville City Hall, Hallettsville, TX 77964**

SIGN-IN SHEET

	Name (Print)	Signature	Email	Phone	Date
1	GARY BROZ		gbroz@cityofedna.com	361-782-3122	9/22/22
2	CRAIG HUGHES	Craig Hughes	CRAIG.HUGHES113@HOTMAIL.COM	281 686-2610	9/22/22
3	Mark Myers	Ms My	judgemyers@co.lavaca.tx.us	361 798-2301	9/22/22
4	Alice Summers		ajsunners3190@att.net	361-772-4842	9/22/22
5	Michael Wick		mike.wick@vcscompanies	361-798-6495	9/22/22
6	Audrey Barrere		audreybarrere@sbeglobal.net	361-772-2499	9/22/22
7	Billy Barrera		bjbr292@gmail.com	361-77-2498	9-22-2022
8	Grace Ward		cityadmin@cityofhallettsville.org	361 772 5083	9/22/22
8					
10					

GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
PUBLIC HEARING #1
TEXAS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT
REGIONAL MITIGATION PROGRAM METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION
 Monday, September 26, 2022 at 6:00 PM
 414 N. Joseph St., Gonzales County Courthouse, Gonzales, TX 77964

SIGN-IN SHEET

	Name (Print)	Signature	Email	Phone	Date
1	Laura Zella		lzella@gonzales.texas.gov	830-672-7815	9-26-22
2	Joe F. Solansky		joe@capitolmonument.com	830-857-4450	9-26-22
3	Pat Davis		CountyJudge089@co.gonzales.tx.us	830-672-2327	09-26-22
4	Kent Bollich		KentBollich.SCTW@aig	979-236-5633	9-26-22
5	William Bosfield Jr		wbosfieldjr@yahoo.com	830-263-1106	9-26-22
6	JOHN CORES		N/A	830-437-5757	9-26-22
7	Lisa Benavidez		lisabenavidez15@gmail.com	830-887-6226 830-203-7250	
8	Michael Wick		mike.wick@vescompanies	361-798-6495	9-26-22
8	Dionicio Benitez		tynertrucker@yahoo.com	830-203-0667	9-26-22
10	Collie Boatright		c11boat@aol.com	830 534 3167	9-26-22

11	Daisy Scheske Fraun	<i>[Signature]</i>	—	—	9-26-22
12	Lore Ray B. Menning	<i>[Signature]</i>	—	—	9-26-22
13	MICHELLE BAIRD	<i>[Signature]</i>			
14	Angelo U'Beke	<i>[Signature]</i>			
15	Wayne Sijmann				
16	Brian Baird	<i>[Signature]</i>			
17	Mary Ann Menning	Mary Ann Menning			
18	<i>[Signature]</i>	DIANA P. MAREO			
19	Elisa Douglas	ELISA Douglas			
20	Laura Kaspar	<i>[Signature]</i>	lykaspar@sbcglobal.net		
21	<i>[Signature]</i>				
22	Kevin LaFleur				
23	Jennifer Ruddock		jenni.ruddock@gmail.com		
24	<i>[Signature]</i>				
25	John Adams		johna.adams1971@gmail.com		

Jacy Robbins

Jay Nelson

jrobbins2005@gmail.com

281-702-7435

9/26/22

Michelle Smith

Michelle Smith

michellems92@yahoo.com

830-481-7803

9/26/22

LINDA Delton Bishop

delton.bishop@gmail.com

210-389-0795

don@riveracresrvpark.com

210.389.7598

Don Rochelle

christie@ortmanbelliavelaw.com

830 857 0887

Christie Fullilove





montgomery2@gvta.com

(830) 377-5053

Grady Montgomery










GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
PUBLIC HEARING #1
TEXAS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT
REGIONAL MITIGATION PROGRAM METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION
 Tuesday, September 27, 2022 at 6:00 PM
 127 N. St Courthouse Square, Goliad, TX 77963

SIGN-IN SHEET

	Name (Print)	Signature	Email	Phone	Date
1	Brendal Moses		mayormosesofgoliad@goliadtx.net	361 454726	9/27/2022
2	Jason Moses		jeanmosses@jason-moses.com		9-27-22
3	Alonzo MORALES		alanzcitycouncil@goliadtx.net	361 5502123	9-27-22
4	Robin Alan		robinalan@goliadtx.net	713-677 4570	9/22/22
5					
6					
7					
8					
8					
10					

GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
PUBLIC HEARING #1
TEXAS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT
REGIONAL MITIGATION PROGRAM METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION
 Wednesday, September 28, 2022 at 6:00 PM
 212 E. Main St., Cuero, TX 77954

SIGN-IN SHEET

	Name (Print)	Signature	Email	Phone	Date
1	Rhonda Stastny		rstastny@cityofcuero.com	361-275-6114	9/28/22
2	Jennifer Urban		jurban@dcdd1.com	361-275-0945	9/28/22
3	Sara Meyer		mayor@cityofcuero.com	361-275-6114	9/28/22
4	DARYL FOWLER		daryl.fowler@dmwotx.org	225-0916	
5	Charles Payne		cp@payce		
6	Connie Hayes		chayes@cityofcuero.com	361-275-1414	9/28/22
7	Kather Payne			361-938-745	9/28/20
8	Derek Brown			361-938-5223	9/28/20
8	Alton Tippitt		atippitt@portlavaca.org	361-648-3348	9/28/2022
10					

GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

PUBLIC HEARING #1 FOR TEXAS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT

REGIONAL MITIGATION PROGRAM'S METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 19, 2022

CALHOUN COUNTY BAUER COMMUNITY CENTER

2300 TX-35, PORT LAVACA, TX 77979

6:00 PM



AGENDA

1. Call to Order
2. Welcome & Introductions
3. Draft GCRPC TxCDBG MIT Funding Method of Distribution Summary
4. Public Comment Summary
5. Open Forum/Public Comments
6. Additional Public Hearings
 - a. Jackson County
 - i. Tuesday, September 20, 2022 at Jackson County Courthouse
 - b. Victoria County
 - i. Wednesday, September 21, 2022 at GCRPC Boardroom
 - c. Lavaca County
 - i. Thursday, September 22, 2022 at Hallettsville City Hall
 - d. Gonzales County
 - i. Monday, September 26, 2022 at Gonzales County Courthouse
 - e. Goliad County
 - i. Tuesday, September 27, 2022 at Goliad County Courthouse
 - f. DeWitt County
 - i. Wednesday, September 28, 2022 at Cuero City Hall
7. Adjournment

GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

PUBLIC HEARING #1 FOR TEXAS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT

REGIONAL MITIGATION PROGRAM'S METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 27, 2022

CUERO CITY HALL

212 E. MAIN ST., CUERO, TX 77954

6:00 PM



AGENDA

1. Call to Order
2. Welcome & Introductions
3. Draft GCRPC TxCDBG MIT Funding Method of Distribution Summary
4. Public Comment Summary
5. Open Forum/Public Comments
6. Additional Public Hearings
 - a. TBD
7. Adjournment

GCRPC

**GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL
PLANNING COMMISSION**

GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

PUBLIC HEARING #1 FOR TEXAS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT

REGIONAL MITIGATION PROGRAM'S METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 27, 2022

GOLIAD COUNTY COURTHOUSE

127 N. ST COURTHOUSE SQUARE, GOLIAD, TX 77963

6:00 PM



AGENDA

1. Call to Order
2. Welcome & Introductions
3. Draft GCRPC TxCDBG MIT Funding Method of Distribution Summary
4. Public Comment Summary
5. Open Forum/Public Comments
6. Additional Public Hearings
 - a. DeWitt County
 - i. Wednesday, September 28, 2022 at Cuero City Hall
7. Adjournment

GCRPC
GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL
PLANNING COMMISSION

GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

PUBLIC HEARING #1 FOR TEXAS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT

REGIONAL MITIGATION PROGRAM'S METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 26, 2022

GONZALES COUNTY COURTHOUSE

414 N. ST JOSEPH ST., GONZALES, TX 78629

6:00 PM



AGENDA

1. Call to Order
2. Welcome & Introductions
3. Draft GCRPC TxCDBG MIT Funding Method of Distribution Summary
4. Public Comment Summary
5. Open Forum/Public Comments
6. Additional Public Hearings
 - a. Goliad County
 - i. Tuesday, September 27, 2022 at Goliad County Courthouse
 - b. DeWitt County
 - i. Wednesday, September 28, 2022 at Cuero City Hall
7. Adjournment

GCRPC
GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL
PLANNING COMMISSION

GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

PUBLIC HEARING #1 FOR TEXAS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT

REGIONAL MITIGATION PROGRAM'S METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 20, 2022

JACKSON COUNTY COURTHOUSE

115 W. MAIN ST., EDNA, TX 77957

6:00 PM



AGENDA

1. Call to Order
2. Welcome & Introductions
3. Draft GCRPC TxCDBG MIT Funding Method of Distribution Summary
4. Public Comment Summary
5. Open Forum/Public Comments
6. Additional Public Hearings
 - a. Victoria County
 - i. Wednesday, September 21, 2022 at GCRPC Boardroom
 - b. Lavaca County
 - i. Thursday, September 22, 2022 at Hallettsville City Hall
 - c. Gonzales County
 - i. Monday, September 26, 2022 at Gonzales County Courthouse
 - d. Goliad County
 - i. Tuesday, September 27, 2022 at Goliad County Courthouse
 - e. DeWitt County
 - i. Wednesday, September 28, 2022 at Cuero City Hall
7. Adjournment

GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

PUBLIC HEARING #1 FOR TEXAS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT

REGIONAL MITIGATION PROGRAM'S METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 22, 2022

HALLETTSVILLE CITY HALL

101 N. MAIN ST., HALLETTSVILLE, TX 77964

6:00 PM



AGENDA

1. Call to Order
2. Welcome & Introductions
3. Draft GCRPC TxCDBG MIT Funding Method of Distribution Summary
4. Public Comment Summary
5. Open Forum/Public Comments
6. Additional Public Hearings
 - a. Gonzales County
 - i. Monday, September 26, 2022 at Gonzales County Courthouse
 - b. Goliad County
 - i. Tuesday, September 27, 2022 at Goliad County Courthouse
 - c. DeWitt County
 - i. Wednesday, September 28, 2022 at Cuero City Hall
7. Adjournment

**GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL
PLANNING COMMISSION**

GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

PUBLIC HEARING #1 FOR TEXAS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT

REGIONAL MITIGATION PROGRAM'S METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 21, 2022

VICTORIA TOWERS, 6TH FLOOR BOARD ROOM

1908 N. LAURENT ST. STE. 600, VICTORIA, TX 77901

6:00 PM



AGENDA

1. Call to Order
2. Welcome & Introductions
3. Draft GCRPC TxCDBG MIT Funding Method of Distribution Summary
4. Public Comment Summary
5. Open Forum/Public Comments
6. Additional Public Hearings
 - a. Lavaca County
 - i. Thursday, September 22, 2022 at Hallettsville City Hall
 - b. Gonzales County
 - i. Monday, September 26, 2022 at Gonzales County Courthouse
 - c. Goliad County
 - i. Tuesday, September 27, 2022 at Goliad County Courthouse
 - d. DeWitt County
 - i. Wednesday, September 28, 2022 at Cuero City Hall
7. Adjournment



**GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
PUBLIC HEARING #1 FOR TEXAS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT REGIONAL MITIGATION PROGRAM'S METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION**

**MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 19, 2022
CALHOUN COUNTY BAUER COMMUNITY CENTER
2300 TX-35, PORT LAVACA, TX 77979
6 :00 PM**

PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES

Agenda Item 1. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 6:03 PM by Regional Services Manager Hannah Crone.

Agenda Item 2. Welcome and Introductions

Hannah started by introducing herself as the Regional Service Program Manager for Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission and stated that she will be the main point of contact for any questions regarding this program. Hannah then introduced Kristan Garza as the Regional Program Specialist for GCRPC and stated that she may also be reached for questions.

Attendees Present:

<i>Jody Weaver</i>	<i>Richard Koch</i>	<i>David Hall</i>
<i>Anita Scroggins</i>	<i>Gary Reese</i>	<i>Katy Sellers</i>
<i>Veronica Pande</i>	<i>Jills Sklar</i>	<i>Gary Broz</i>
<i>Joel Behrens</i>	<i>Gloria Crone</i>	<i>Susan Tippit</i>
<i>Allen Tippit</i>	<i>Richard Meyer</i>	<i>Scott Mason</i>
<i>Cindy Kravse</i>	<i>Alysa Jarvis</i>	

GCRPC Staff Present:

Hannah Crone and Kristan Garza

Agenda Item 3. Draft GCRPC TXCDBG MIT Funding Method of Distribution Summary

Hannah Crone described the MOD development process as follows:

GLO established this regional mitigation program to the councils of governments through the Texas CDBG action plan. GCRPC is required to develop a method of distribution for \$80,317,000.00 in mitigation funds. We started earlier in 2022, and held our first planning meeting in April, developed the preliminary mod, sent to GLO for approval, received GLO's Conditional approval, and here we are today.

Hannah then presented the MOD development process slide and brought attention to the yellow box of which shows where we are within the process. Hannah also reminded attendees that the public comments made and received may change the MOD, therefor the process may stay at the current stage as we may go back and forth on these two steps, adjust, and reach a final MOD. Hannah stated those who received funding acknowledgement letters, will receive a new one with a final number at the end of this process.

Hannah went on to describe the MOD development requirements as follows:

The COG must use a direct allocation technique based on objective, replicable, and verifiable data that accounts for vulnerable populations and potential impacts from future disaster to distribute funds. GLO will provide data that may be used.

Allocate a minimum of \$1,000,000 in CDBG-MIT funds to each local entity receiving funding through the MOD, unless Subrecipient is granted a lower minimum funding threshold through a waiver with the GLO.

Hannah then informed attendees that GCRPC requested a waiver to lower the minimum threshold to \$500,000 to allow cities and counties within the region benefit from these funds. GCRPC could not allocate to every eligible entity without this minimum funding threshold waiver. Other factors included, to ensure a minimum percentage of funds are allocated to Hurricane Harvey HUD Most Impacted and Distressed (MID) counties and ZIP codes, as applicable;(HUD MID counties and ZIP codes for the Golden Crescent Region are as follows: Victoria, and 77979 (Calhoun County). Also, to develop a strategic plan to meet the 50-percent low-and moderate-income (LMI) benefit requirement. The rest of the cities/counties within the region are considered State most impacted and distressed. As noticed the 50% low to moderate income benefit requirement in the summary, 50% of each entity's allocation must directly benefit those low to moderate income populations.

Hannah then presented the distribution summary, stating that we allocated 80% of the total allocation to the department of housing and urban development's most impacted and distressed entities. A weighted factor allocation method was used where LMI carries a 60% weight, and the total population carries a 40% weight. The allocation was presented in an excel spreadsheet of which has been posted to the GCRPC website, Hannah proceeded to review the excel spreadsheet with the attendees.

Hannah then stated that the LMI portion of each entities total allocation represents 50% of the entities total allocation which must directly benefit LMI populations. The first slide represented the HUD MID communities, their total allocation is the 80% of the total amount allocated to the region. The following slide started the other entities within the region who are represented as state most impacted and distressed, their percent of the total allocation is 20%. The next slide outlined Calhoun County (outside the 77979-zip code and the city of port Lavaca) and DeWitt County. Following a slide that outlined the allocation amounts for Goliad and Gonzales counties. The final slide outlined Jackson and Lavaca Counties, also showing the 16-million-dollar total is 20% of the \$80 million dollars allocated to the region.

Agenda Item 4. Public Comment Summary

Hannah Crone then provided attendees information on how to submit comments which included an email and mailing address and reminded attendees to include a good contact for correspondence. Hannah also informed attendees that comments will be accepted until close of business on October 14²⁰²².

Hannah then reminded attendees that comments should focus on the factors utilized based on HUD's priorities for these funds. We did not receive any public comments from the initial public planning meeting, so the preliminary MOD is just a starting point based primarily on HUD's priorities. Hannah also asked if communities of whom do not wish to utilize these funds, please let GCRPC know as soon as possible. Those communities will be taken out of the formula distribution and funds will be redistributed to the region. Any changes or adjustments made will extend the timeframe.

Agenda Item 5. Open Forum/Public Comments

Hannah opened the floor for questions.

Hannah then asked if anyone would like to come up for a public comment.

Scott Mason with G & W Engineers then introduced himself and started his comment with income vs. population, stating that Victoria has areas of LMI as well so of the smaller communities and wanted to know if there is any factor into that because we have quite a few entire cities within other county regions, for instance the city of LaWard that is city wide LMI. Also, Scott wanted to know if the city population of Victoria that work in surrounding counties, specifically Calhoun County of which Victoria residents eat, work, and stay in Calhoun, leaving our Coastal Counties without resiliency. Scott added that although Victoria has a large population, it is convenient, unlike the rural areas with lack of housing. He also claims families stay in Victoria for the amenities, and he feels like residents would leave Victoria if they didn't have a place to work in the local rural areas.

Agenda Item 6. Additional Public Hearings

a. Jackson County



- i. Tuesday, September 20, 2022, at Jackson County Courthouse*
- b. Victoria County*
 - i. Wednesday, September 21, 2022, at GCRPC Boardroom*
- c. Lavaca County*
 - i. Thursday, September 22, 2022, at Hallettsville City Hall*
- d. Gonzales County*
 - i. Monday, September 26, 2022, at Gonzales County Courthouse*
- e. Goliad County*
 - i. Tuesday, September 27, 2022, at Goliad County Courthouse*
- f. DeWitt County*
 - i. Wednesday, September 28, 2022, at Cuero City Hall*

Agenda Item 7. Adjournment

With no further questions or comments from attendees Hannah Crone adjourned the meeting at 6:30 PM.



**GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING
COMMISSION
PUBLIC HEARING #7 FOR TEXAS
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT
REGIONAL MITIGATION PROGRAM'S
METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION
WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 28, 2022
CUERO CITY HALL
212 E. MAIN ST
CUERO, TX 77954**

PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES

Agenda Item 1. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 6:01 PM by Regional Services Manager Hannah Crone.

Agenda Item 2. Welcome and Introductions

Hannah Crone welcomed the attendees to the public hearing, following with the introduction of Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission staff members who were present. Hannah informed the attendees that while she was the project's point of contact, any of the present staff members may also be contacted for of assists.

Attendees Present:

<i>Rhonda Stastny</i>	<i>Charles Papncer</i>	<i>Derick Brown</i>
<i>Jennifer Urban</i>	<i>Connie Hawes</i>	<i>Allen Tippit</i>
<i>Sara Meyer</i>	<i>Kathern Payne</i>	<i>Daryl Fowler</i>

GCRPC Staff Present:

Hannah Crone (Reginal Service Manager) and Kristan Garza (Regional Services Specialist),

Agenda Item 3. Draft GCRPC TXCDBG MIT Funding Method of Distribution Summary

Hannah Crone described the MOD development process as follows:

GLO established this regional mitigation program to the councils of governments through the Texas CDBG action plan. GCRPC is required to develop a method of distribution for \$80,317,000.00 in mitigation funds. We started earlier in 2022, and held our first planning meeting in April, developed the preliminary mod, sent to GLO for approval, received GLO's Conditional approval, and here we are today.

Hannah then presented the MOD development process slide and brought attention to the yellow box of which shows where we are within the process. Hannah also reminded attendees that the public comments made and received may change the MOD, therefore the process may stay at the current stage as we may go back and forth on these two steps, adjust, and reach a final MOD. Hannah stated those who received funding acknowledgement letters, will receive a new one with a final number at the end of this process.

Hannah went on to describe the MOD development requirements as follows:

The COG must use a direct allocation technique based on objective, replicable, and verifiable data that accounts for vulnerable populations and potential impacts from future disaster to distribute funds. GLO will provide data that may be used.

Allocate a minimum of \$1,000,000 in CDBG-MIT funds to each local entity receiving funding through the MOD, unless Subrecipient is granted a lower minimum funding threshold through a waiver with the GLO.

Hannah then informed attendees that GCRPC requested a waiver to lower the minimum threshold to \$500,000 to allow cities and counties within the region benefit from these funds. GCRPC could not allocate to every eligible entity without this minimum funding threshold waiver. Other factors included, to ensure a minimum percentage of funds are allocated to Hurricane Harvey HUD Most Impacted and Distressed (MID) counties and ZIP codes, as applicable;(HUD MID counties and ZIP codes for the Golden Crescent Region are as follows: Victoria, and 77979 (Calhoun County). Also, to develop a strategic plan to meet the 50-percent low-and moderate-income (LMI) benefit requirement. The rest of the cities/counties within the region are considered State most impacted and distressed. As noticed the 50% low to moderate income benefit requirement in the summary, 50% of each entity's allocation must directly benefit those low to moderate income populations.

Hannah then presented the distribution summary, stating that we allocated 80% of the total allocation to the department of housing and urban development's most impacted and distressed entities. A weighted factor allocation method was used where LMI carries a 60% weight, and the total population carries a 40% weight. The allocation was presented in an excel spreadsheet of which has been posted to the GCRPC website, Hannah proceeded to review the excel spreadsheet with the attendees.

Hannah then stated that the LMI portion of each entities total allocation represents 50% of the entities total allocation which must directly benefit LMI populations. The first slide represented the HUD MID communities, their total allocation is the 80% of the total amount allocated to the region. The following slide started the other entities within the

region who are represented as state most impacted and distressed, their percent of the total allocation is 20%. The next slide outlined Calhoun County (outside the 77979-zip code and the city of port Lavaca) and DeWitt County. Following a slide that outlined the allocation amounts for Goliad and Gonzales counties. The final slide outlined Jackson and Lavaca Counties, also showing the 16-million-dollar total is 20% of the \$80 million dollars allocated to the region.

Agenda Item 4. Public Comment Summary

Hannah Crone then provided attendees information on how to submit comments which included an email and mailing address. The attendees were reminded to include a good contact for correspondence, and we do have public comment forms available. Hannah Crone also informed attendees that comments will be accepted until close of business on October 14,2022.

Hannah Crone reminded the attendees their comment should focus on the factors that were utilized to develop the method of distribution the eligible entities. If any communities know right now that they do not wish to use their funds, please let us know because that will also affect the distribution. Those communities will be taken out of the formula distribution and funds will be redistributed to the region. Any changes or adjustments made will extend the timeframe.

Agenda Item 5. Open Forum/Public Comments

A question was asked about the timeline in which the projects would be complete, Hannah explained that the GLO would work with communities through grant managers after a final MOD is issued.

A question was asked if the funds were going to be reimbursed or directly issued, Hannah stated that she will make a point to ask the GLO how the funds will be disbursed.

Flood prevention was mentioned as a project of interest, Hannah provided feedback.

A comment was made to clarify the importance of written comments, Hannah shared information that may be helpful to include.

Hannah informed attendees that after the final MOD is complete GCRPC will step out and GLO will assist throughout the rest of the process.

Agenda Item 6. Additional Public Hearings

Hannah Crone thanked the attendees for their time and expressed her appreciation for the comments made. Hannah Crone reminded the attendees who did speak to complete the public comment form and where the form can be found. Hannah Crone informed the attendees that any additional hearings at TBD.

Agenda Item 7. Adjournment

With there being no further questions Hannah Crone adjourned the public hearing at 6:22 PM.



**GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING
COMMISSION
PUBLIC HEARING #6 FOR TEXAS
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT
REGIONAL MITIGATION PROGRAM'S
METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION**

**GOLIAD COUNTY
GOLIAD COUNTY COURTHOUSE
127 N. COURTHOUSE SQUARE, GOLIAD, TX 77963
SEPTEMBER 27, 2022
6:00 PM**

PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES

Agenda Item 1. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 6:00 PM by Regional Services Manager Hannah Crone.

Agenda Item 2. Welcome and Introductions

Hannah started by introducing herself as the Regional Service Program Manager for Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission and stated that she will be the main point of contact for any questions regarding this program. Hannah then introduced Kristan Garza as the Regional Program Specialist for GCRPC and stated that she may also be reached for questions.

Attendees Present:

*Brenda Moses Alonzo Morales
Jason Moses Robin Alanis*

GCRPC Staff Present:

Hannah Crone and Kristan Garza

Hannah Crone provided a brief summary of the GLO project and described slide #7 of the public hearing PowerPoint handout. The Texas General Land Office established this

regional mitigation program to the Councils of Government do the Texas Community Development Block Grant Action plan. Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission is required to develop a method of distribution for \$80,317,000 of mitigation funds. Hannah Crone informed the attendees that the process started earlier in 2022, a planning meeting was held back in April, and then preliminary mod was developed. The preliminary mod was sent to the GLO for their review and approval. GCRPC received GLO approval last month. Hannah Crone informed the attendees after the public hearing GCRPC would move on the next steps. Hannah Crone reminded the attendees their public comments they make between now and the end of the public comment period may change the method of distribution. Hannah Crone explained the yellow and the fist gray square on the PowerPoint handouts and how there may be a back and forth for a little while until a final mod can be reached. Hannah Crone informed those who received funding acknowledgement letters for their city or county, will receive a new one with a final number once it is reached.

Agenda Item 3. Draft GCRPC TXCDBG MIT Funding Method of Distribution Summary

Hannah Crone directed the attendee's attention to slide #9 of the PowerPoint handouts, to go over some of the requirements of the funds. One of the requirements is, the COG must use a direct allocation technique based on objective, replicable, and verifiable data that accounts for vulnerable populations and potential impacts from future disasters to distribute the funds. The General Land Office did provide this data and sent several Excel spreadsheets of data sets. Another requirement is to allocate a minimum of \$1,000,000 of the funds to each entity receiving funding unless we requested a waiver to lower that amount, which GCRPC did.

Hannah Crone directed the attendees to slide #10 of the PowerPoint handouts, which is a copy of the approval letter from GLO to lower the minimum amount to \$500,000. Hannah Crone explained this was necessary in order to allow every city and county in the region to benefit from these funds. Without the threshold waiver, GCRPC would not have been able to distribute funds to all eligible entities. Hannah Crone explained a minimum percentage of the funds had to be allocated to Hurricane Harvey, Department of Housing, Urban Development, most impacted in distressed, HUD mid counties and zip codes. This includes Victoria, the city of Victoria, and the zip code 77979, which includes portions of Calhoun County as well as the city of Port Lavaca. Hannah Crone informed the attendees she did speak to the General Land Office after last night public hearing. Hannah Crone informed the attendees the minimum amounts and percentage that are reference is different for every cog and it was not outlined in the contract. Since GCRPC developed the preliminary mod, GLO stated the lowest amount we can go is 53.1% or \$42,649,000. Another requirement is developing a strategic plan to meet the 50% low to moderate income benefit requirement. The rest of the cities and counties within the region are considered state most impacted and distressed. On the allocation spreadsheet there's a 50% low to moderate-income benefit requirement, where we've taken 50% of your total

allocation and separated it out. Hannah Crone explained that the current preliminary mod, we've allocated 80% of the total allocation to those HUD mid designated communities, which is about \$ 64,000,000. The state mid community has received 20%, which is about \$16,000,000. The factors that were utilized included low to moderate income persons and total population. The reasoning behind this decision is when the public planning meeting was held, we did not receive any comments during that initial public planning process. Since no comments were received, we defaulted back to Hud's priorities. However, keep in mind these things can change and we'll go back and forth between those steps until we reach a final now. Hannah Crone advised the attendees to contact her by email to request a copy of the excel spreadsheet, which is included in the PowerPoint handouts. Hannah Crone reviewed the Distribution summary spreadsheet.

Agenda Item 4. Public Comment Summary

Hannah Crone then provided attendees information on how to submit comments which included an email and mailing address. The attendees were reminded to include a good contact for correspondence, and we do have public comment forms available. Hannah Crone also informed attendees that comments will be accepted until close of business on October 14,2022.

Hannah Crone reminded the attendees their comment should focus on the factors that were utilized to develop the method of distribution the eligible entities. If any communities know right now that they do not wish to use their funds, please let us know because that will also affect the distribution. Those communities will be taken out of the formula distribution and funds will be redistributed to the region. Any changes or adjustments made will extend the timeframe.

Agenda Item 5. Open Forum/Public Comments

Mayor Moses asked for clarification regarding the Public Comment form.

Hannah then informed the attendees that GCRPC will be taken out of the process after a final MOD is met.

A conversation was then had regarding the allocation split and what can be done to change the current MOD.

Agenda Item 6. Additional Public Hearings

Hannah Crone thanked the attendees for their time and expressed her appreciation for the comments made. Hannah Crone reminded the attendees who did speak to complete the public comment form and where the form can be found. Hannah Crone informed the attendees of the upcoming scheduled public hearings, which is also located in the PowerPoint handout.

a. DeWitt County

Wednesday, September 28, 2022, at 6:00 pm, at Cuero City Hall located at 212 E. Main St., Cuero, TX 77954

Agenda Item 7. Adjournment

With there being no further questions Hannah Crone adjourned the public hearing at 6:20PM.



**GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING
COMMISSION
PUBLIC HEARING #5 FOR TEXAS
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT
REGIONAL MITIGATION PROGRAM'S
METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION
GONZALES COUNTY COURTHOUSE
414 N. ST. JOSEPH ST.
GONZALES, TX 78629
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 26, 2022**

PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES

Agenda Item 1. Call to Order

Hannah Crone, GCRPC Regional Services Manger called the GLO public hearing to order at 6:03 PM.

Agenda Item 2. Welcome and Introductions

Hannah Crone welcomed the attendees to the public hearing, following with the introduction of Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission staff members who were present. Hannah informed the attendees that while she was the project's point of contact, any of the present staff members may also be contacted for questions.

Attendees Present:

<i>Laura Zella</i>	<i>William Brasfield Jr</i>	<i>D Benavidez</i>	<i>Michelle Baird</i>
<i>Joe Solanslay</i>	<i>John Cores</i>	<i>Collie Boatright</i>	<i>Angela McGehee</i>
<i>Pat Davis</i>	<i>Lisa Benavidez</i>	<i>Daisy Scheske F</i>	<i>Mary Ann Menning</i>
<i>Kent Bollich</i>	<i>Michael Wick</i>	<i>LeeRoy C Menning</i>	<i>Diana P. Moreno</i>
<i>Elisa Douglas</i>	<i>Laura Kasper</i>	<i>Kevin L</i>	<i>Jennifer Ruddock</i>
<i>Donnie</i>	<i>John Adams</i>		

GCRPC Staff Present:

Hannah Crone (Reginal Service Manager), Kristan Garza (Regional Services Specialist), and Andrew Deras (Transportation Planner)

Agenda Item 3. Draft GCRPC TXCDBG MIT Funding Method of Distribution Summary

Hannah Crone described the MOD development process as follows:

GLO established this regional mitigation program to the councils of governments through the Texas CDBG action plan. GCRPC is required to develop a method of distribution for \$80,317,000.00 in mitigation funds. We started earlier in 2022, and held our first planning meeting in April, developed the preliminary mod, sent to GLO for approval, received GLO's Conditional approval, and here we are today.

Hannah then presented the MOD development process slide and brought attention to the yellow box of which shows where we are within the process. Hannah also reminded attendees that the public comments made and received may change the MOD, therefore the process may stay at the current stage as we may go back and forth on these two steps, adjust, and reach a final MOD. Hannah stated those who received funding acknowledgement letters, will receive a new one with a final number at the end of this process.

Hannah went on to describe the MOD development requirements as follows:

The COG must use a direct allocation technique based on objective, replicable, and verifiable data that accounts for vulnerable populations and potential impacts from future disaster to distribute funds. GLO will provide data that may be used.

Allocate a minimum of \$1,000,000 in CDBG-MIT funds to each local entity receiving funding through the MOD, unless Subrecipient is granted a lower minimum funding threshold through a waiver with the GLO.

Hannah then informed attendees that GCRPC requested a waiver to lower the minimum threshold to \$500,000 to allow cities and counties within the region benefit from these funds. GCRPC could not allocate to every eligible entity without this minimum funding threshold waiver. Other factors included, to ensure a minimum percentage of funds are allocated to Hurricane Harvey HUD Most Impacted and Distressed (MID) counties and ZIP codes, as applicable;(HUD MID counties and ZIP codes for the Golden Crescent Region are as follows: Victoria, and 77979 (Calhoun County). Also, to develop a strategic plan to meet the 50-percent low-and moderate-income (LMI) benefit requirement. The rest of the cities/counties within the region are considered State most impacted and distressed. As noticed the 50% low to moderate income benefit requirement in the summary, 50% of each entity's allocation must directly benefit those low to moderate income populations.

Hannah then presented the distribution summary, stating that we allocated 80% of the total allocation to the department of housing and urban development's most impacted and distressed entities. A weighted factor allocation method was used where LMI carries a 60% weight, and the total population carries a 40% weight. The allocation was presented in an excel spreadsheet of which has been posted to the GCRPC website, Hannah proceeded to review the excel spreadsheet with the attendees.

Hannah then stated that the LMI portion of each entities total allocation represents 50% of the entities total allocation which must directly benefit LMI populations. The first slide represented the HUD MID communities, their total allocation is the 80% of the total amount allocated to the

region. The following slide started the other entities within the region who are represented as state most impacted and distressed, their percent of the total allocation is 20%. The next slide outlined Calhoun County (outside the 77979-zip code and the city of port Lavaca) and DeWitt County. Following a slide that outlined the allocation amounts for Goliad and Gonzales counties. The final slide outlined Jackson and Lavaca Counties, also showing the 16-million-dollar total is 20% of the \$80 million dollars allocated to the region.

Agenda Item 4. Public Comment Summary

Hannah Crone then provided attendees information on how to submit comments which included an email and mailing address. The attendees were reminded to include a good contact for correspondence, and we do have public comment forms available. Hannah Crone also informed attendees that comments will be accepted until close of business on October 14,2022.

Hannah Crone reminded the attendees their comment should focus on the factors that were utilized to develop the method of distribution the eligible entities. If any communities know right now that they do not wish to use their funds, please let us know because that will also affect the distribution. Those communities will be taken out of the formula distribution and funds will be redistributed to the region. Any changes or adjustments made will extend the timeframe.

Agenda Item 5. Open Forum/Public Comments

Hannah then opened the floor for questions and public comments.

A concern was made in reference to the dams in the area, Hannah then emphasized on the importance of public comment forms.

A question was asked about what data is needed to change to baseline of the distribution, Hannah reiterated the importance of public comment forms.

Lastly Hannah thanked attendees for their attendance and comments.

Agenda Item 6. Additional Public Hearings

Hannah Crone thanked the attendees for their time and expressed her appreciation for the comments made. Hannah Crone reminded the attendees who did speak to complete the public comment form and where the form can be found. Hannah Crone informed the attendees of the upcoming scheduled public hearings, which is also located in the PowerPoint handout.

a. Goliad County

Tuesday, September 27, 2022, at 6:00 pm, at the Goliad County Courthouse located at 127 N. Courthouse Square, Goliad, TX 77963

b. DeWitt County

Wednesday, September 28, 2022, at 6:00 pm, at Cuero City Hall located at 212 E. Main St., Cuero, TX 77954

Agenda Item 7. Adjournment

With there being no further questions Hannah Crone adjourned the public hearing at 6:48 PM.



**GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING
COMMISSION
PUBLIC HEARING #2 FOR TEXAS
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT
REGIONAL MITIGATION PROGRAM'S
METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION**

**TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 20, 2022
JACKSON COUNTY COURTHOUSE
115 W. MAIN ST., EDNA, TX 77957
6:00 PM**

PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES

Agenda Item 1. Call to Order

Hannah Crone, GCRPC Regional Services Manger called the GLO public hearing to order at 6:00 PM.

Agenda Item 2. Welcome and Introductions

Hannah Crone welcomed the attendees to the public hearing, following with the introduction of Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission staff members who were present. Hannah informed the attendees that while she was the project's point of contact, any of the present staff members may also be contacted for questions.

Attendees Present:

<i>Cindy Janica</i>	<i>Allen Tippit</i>	<i>Rick Boone</i>	<i>Dennis Karl</i>
<i>Kelly R. Janica</i>	<i>Anita Scroggins</i>	<i>Kurt Janica</i>	<i>Scott Mason</i>
<i>Julio Espinosa</i>	<i>Craig Brooks</i>	<i>David Shebla</i>	<i>Anita Thakar Scroggins</i>
<i>Gary Broz</i>	<i>Wayne Bubela</i>	<i>Barbara Martin</i>	
<i>Wanye Hunt</i>	<i>Gary Crone</i>	<i>Glenn Martin</i>	
<i>Cindy Kruppa</i>	<i>Jill S. Sklar</i>	<i>Chris Jackson</i>	

GCRPC Staff Present:

Hannah Crone, Cherokee Myers (Member Services & Human Resources), Kristan Garza (Regional Services), and Destany Franklin (Regional Services)

Hannah Crone provided a brief summary of the GLO project and described slide #7 of the public hearing PowerPoint handout. The Texas General Land Office established this regional mitigation program to the Councils of Government do the Texas Community Development Block Grant Action plan. Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission is required to develop a method of distribution for \$80,317,000 of mitigation funds. Hannah Crone informed the attendees that the process started earlier in 2022, a planning meeting was held back in April, and then preliminary mod was developed. The preliminary mod was sent to the GLO for their review and approval. GCRPC received GLO approval last month. Hannah Crone informed the attendees after the public hearing GCRPC would move on the next steps. Hannah Crone reminded the attendees their public comments they make between now and the end of the public comment period may change the method of distribution. Hannah Crone explained the yellow and the fist gray square on the PowerPoint handouts and how there may be a back and forth for a little while until a final mod can be reached. Hannah Crone informed those who received funding acknowledgement letters for their city or county, will receive a new one with a final number once it is reached.

Agenda Item 3. Draft GCRPC TXCDBG MIT Funding Method of Distribution Summary

Hannah Crone directed the attendee's attention to slide #9 of the PowerPoint handouts, to go over some of the requirements of the funds. One of the requirements is, the COG must use a direct allocation technique based on objective, replicable, and verifiable data that accounts for vulnerable populations and potential impacts from future disasters to distribute the funds. The General Land Office did provide this data and sent several Excel spreadsheets of data sets. Another requirement is to allocate a minimum of \$1,000,000 of the funds to each entity receiving funding unless we requested a waiver to lower that amount, which GCRPC did.

Hannah Crone directed the attendees to slide #10 of the PowerPoint handouts, which is a copy of the approval letter from GLO to lower the minimum amount to \$500,000. Hannah Crone explained this was necessary in order to allow every city and county in the region to benefit from these funds. Without the threshold waiver, GCRPC would not have been able to distribute funds to all eligible entities. Hannah Crone explained a minimum percentage of the funds had to be allocated to Hurricane Harvey, Department of Housing, Urban Development, most impacted in distressed, HUD mid counties and zip codes. This includes Victoria, the city of Victoria, and the zip code 77979, which includes portions of Calhoun County as well as the city of Port Lavaca. Hannah Crone informed the attendees she did speak to the General Land Office after last night public hearing. Hannah Crone informed the attendees the minimum amounts and percentage that are

reference is different for every cog and it was not outlined in the contract. Since GCRPC developed the preliminary mod, GLO stated the lowest amount we can go is 53.1% or \$42,649,000. Another requirement is developing a strategic plan to meet the 50% low to moderate income benefit requirement. The rest of the cities and counties within the region are considered state most impacted and distressed. On the allocation spreadsheet there's a 50% low to moderate-income benefit requirement, where we've taken 50% of your total allocation and separated it out. Hannah Crone explained that the current preliminary mod, we've allocated 80% of the total allocation to those HUD mid designated communities, which is about \$ 64,000,000. The state mid community has received 20%, which is about \$16,000,000. The factors that were utilized included low to moderate income persons and total population. The reasoning behind this decision is when the public planning meeting was held, we did not receive any comments during that initial public planning process. Since no comments were received, we defaulted back to Hud's priorities. However, keep in mind these things can change and we'll go back and forth between those steps until we reach a final now. Hannah Crone advised the attendees to contact her by email to request a copy of the excel spreadsheet, which is included in the PowerPoint handouts. Hannah Crone reviewed the Distribution summary spreadsheet.

Agenda Item 4. Public Comment Summary

Hannah Crone then provided attendees information on how to submit comments which included an email and mailing address. The attendees were reminded to include a good contact for correspondence, and we do have public comment forms available. Hannah Crone also informed attendees that comments will be accepted until close of business on October 14,2022.

Hannah Crone reminded the attendees their comment should focus on the factors that were utilized to develop the method of distribution the eligible entities. If any communities know right now that they do not wish to use their funds, please let us know because that will also affect the distribution. Those communities will be taken out of the formula distribution and funds will be redistributed to the region. Any changes or adjustments made will extend the timeframe.

Agenda Item 5. Open Forum/Public Comments

Hannah Crone informed the attendees she will start with questions and then move into official public comment.

Hannah asked if anyone would like to make a public comment further clarifying that if attendees would like to formalize, make official, and or make impacts on the distribution, to please come up to the front and make their comment. Hannah then informed the attendees that they can fill out the form before or after, we will record the comment for record as well.

Jill Sklar, Jackson County Judge, stated that she feels that we just need a more equitable distribution, and the 80/20 split does not work for the smaller counties. She also added that the split does not work for some of the counties that are about the same size as Calhoun County. Chris Jackson believes it is more of the 53.1% works great and added that the Harvey DR was 51.12 and 48% of which may be a good starting point. Judge Sklar went on to add that the minimum of the 500,000 is fair and gives flexibility for the smaller counties to be able to combine their resources for projects instead of one entity spending the full 1,000,000 and by splitting 50/50 gives midsize entities a fairer share. Lastly, adding that changing the state and the HUD formula would be a good place to start.

Hannah thanked Judge Sklar for her comment.

Chris Jackson, Councilmember District 3, agreed and added that the current model is not fair.

Judge Sklar came back to ask if counties can keep an open mind and flexibility when it comes to what the money is spent on, adding that there is a lot of different things that happen in disasters and a lot of things we need to start to prepare for. Judge Sklar also added that the communication issue in Jackson County is one of the issues they are looking to fix because they are unable to communicate during a disaster, there for nothing can get responded too.

Hannah then thanked Judge Sklar for her comment and referenced the mitigation form located on GCRPC's website of which states we opted to not limit the projects. She also clarified that although we did not limit the projects, we do not make the decision on what projects will be funded. The appointed GLO grant manager will assist with the application while also making sure the project is eligible before submitting.

Scott Mason with G and W Engineers, followed up in stating that a communication project would automatically cost millions of dollars considering the infrastructure of the lines that will need to be ran and other similar expenses. Scott also added that Calhoun County does not hold a storm shelter of which would add to the budget, which would cost millions of dollars with the price of inflation.

Hannah thanked Scott for his comment.

Chief Janessa with Jackson County fire added that during Harvey all communications were lost because of the lack of infrastructure and stated that he will submit his comments in writing. He feels that that 80% is unfair to the rural communities that don't have the infrastructure, population, nor tax base.

Hannah thanked Chief Janessa for his comment and asked if there were any other attendees that would like to make an official comment.

Craig Brooks Chief of the Carancahua fire department added to Judge Sklar's comment and stated that at least four of the counties do not have waterfront footage, and his entire emergency services district fronts the bay, all low ground, and heavily populated. He also added that good communications is essential in reaching citizen as well as getting them out.

Hannah thanked Chief Brooks for his comment.

Rick Boone Chief of Edna police made the final comment bringing attention to the evacuation maps that bring citizens through Jackson County, of which is one of the main evacuation routes for the Southern counties. He added that the radio is a must when bringing in DPS and outside agencies. He stressed how important communication is because of the amount of traffic that comes through Jackson County, and being unable to communicate with DPS, Matagorda, and Wharton is a dangerous safety issue.

Agenda Item 6. Additional Public Hearings

Hannah Crone thanked the attendees for their time and expressed her appreciation for the comments made. Hannah Crone reminded the attendees who did speak to complete the public comment form and where the form can be found. Hannah Crone informed the attendees of the upcoming scheduled public hearings, which is also located in the PowerPoint handout.

a. Victoria County

Wednesday, September 21, 2022, at 6:00 pm, at Victoria Towers, 6th floor board room, 1908 N. Laurent St. Ste. 600, Victoria, TX 77901

b. Lavaca County

Thursday, September 22, 2022, at 6:00 pm, at Hallettsville City Hall located at 101 N. Main St. Hallettsville, TX 77964

c. Gonzales County

Monday, September 26, 2022, at 6:00 pm, at the Gonzales County Courthouse located at 414 N. St. Joseph St., Gonzales, TX 78629

d. Goliad County

Tuesday, September 27, 2022, at 6:00 pm, at the Goliad County Courthouse located at 127 N. Courthouse Square, Goliad, TX 77963

e. DeWitt County

Wednesday, September 28, 2022, at 6:00 pm, at Cuero City Hall located at 212 E. Main St., Cuero, TX 77954

Agenda Item 7. Adjournment

With there being no further questions Hannah Crone adjourned the public hearing at 6:28 PM.



**GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING
COMMISSION
PUBLIC HEARING #3 FOR TEXAS
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT
REGIONAL MITIGATION PROGRAM'S
METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION**

**TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 21, 2022
VICTORIA COUNTY
1908 LAURENT ST. STE. 600
VICTORIA, TX 77901
VICTORIA TOWERS
6TH FLOOR BOARD ROOM
6:00 PM**

PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES

Agenda Item 1. Call to Order

Hannah Crone, GCRPC Regional Services Manger called the GLO public hearing to order at 6:02 PM.

Agenda Item 2. Welcome and Introductions

Hannah Crone welcomed the attendees to the public hearing, following with the introduction of Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission staff members who were present. Hannah informed the attendees that while she was the project's point of contact, any of the present staff members may also be contacted for assistance.

Attendees Present:

<i>James Foote</i>	<i>Jennifer Hartman</i>	<i>Tammy Soliz</i>
<i>Gary Reese</i>	<i>Allen Tippit</i>	<i>Isabel Solis</i>
<i>Richard Meyers</i>	<i>Jena West</i>	
<i>Scott Mason</i>	<i>Robin Knipling</i>	
<i>Chris Jackson</i>	<i>Gary Broz</i>	

GCRPC Staff Present:

Hannah Crone, Cherokee Myers (Member Services & Human Resources), Andrew Deras (Public Transportation Planner)

Agenda Item 3. Draft GCRPC TXCDBG MIT Funding Method of Distribution Summary

Hannah Crone described the MOD development process as follows:

GLO established this regional mitigation program to the councils of governments through the Texas CDBG action plan. GCRPC is required to develop a method of distribution for \$80,317,000.00 in mitigation funds. We started earlier in 2022, and held our first planning meeting in April, developed the preliminary mod, sent to GLO for approval, received GLO's Conditional approval, and here we are today.

Hannah then presented the MOD development process slide and brought attention to the yellow box of which shows where we are within the process. Hannah also reminded attendees that the public comments made and received may change the MOD, therefor the process may stay at the current stage as we may go back and forth on these two steps, adjust, and reach a final MOD. Hannah stated those who received funding acknowledgement letters, will receive a new one with a final number at the end of this process.

Hannah went on to describe the MOD development requirements as follows:

The COG must use a direct allocation technique based on objective, replicable, and verifiable data that accounts for vulnerable populations and potential impacts from future disaster to distribute funds. GLO will provide data that may be used.

Allocate a minimum of \$1,000,000 in CDBG-MIT funds to each local entity receiving funding through the MOD, unless Subrecipient is granted a lower minimum funding threshold through a waiver with the GLO.

Hannah then informed attendees that GCRPC requested a waiver to lower the minimum threshold to \$500,000 to allow cities and counties within the region benefit from these funds. GCRPC could not allocate to every eligible entity without this minimum funding threshold waiver. Other factors included, to ensure a minimum percentage of funds are allocated to Hurricane Harvey HUD Most Impacted and Distressed (MID) counties and ZIP codes, as applicable;(HUD MID counties and ZIP codes for the Golden Crescent Region are as follows: Victoria, and 77979 (Calhoun County). Also, to develop a strategic plan to meet the 50-percent low-and moderate-income (LMI) benefit requirement. The rest of the cities/counties within the region are considered State most impacted

and distressed. As noticed the 50% low to moderate income benefit requirement in the summary, 50% of each entity's allocation must directly benefit those low to moderate income populations. Hannah then presented the distribution summary, stating that we allocated 80% of the total allocation to the department of housing and urban development's most impacted and distressed entities. A weighted factor allocation method was used where LMI carries a 60% weight, and the total population carries a 40% weight. The allocation was presented in an excel spreadsheet of which has been posted to the GCRPC website, Hannah proceeded to review the excel spreadsheet with the attendees.

Hannah then stated that the LMI portion of each entities total allocation represents 50% of the entities total allocation which must directly benefit LMI populations. The first slide represented the HUD MID communities, their total allocation is the 80% of the total amount allocated to the region. The following slide started the other entities within the region who are represented as state most impacted and distressed, their percent of the total allocation is 20%. The next slide outlined Calhoun County (outside the 77979-zip code and the city of port Lavaca) and DeWitt County. Following a slide that outlined the allocation amounts for Goliad and Gonzales counties. The final slide outlined Jackson and Lavaca Counties, also showing the 16-million-dollar total is 20% of the \$80 million dollars allocated to the region.

Agenda Item 4. Public Comment Summary

Hannah Crone then provided attendees information on how to submit comments which included an email and mailing address and reminded attendees to include a good contact for correspondence. Hannah also informed attendees that comments will be accepted until close of business on October 14

Hannah then reminded attendees that comments should focus on the factors utilized based on HUD's priorities for these funds. We did not receive any public comments from the initial public planning meeting, so the preliminary MOD is just a starting point based primarily on HUD's priorities. Hannah also asked if communities of whom do not wish to utilize these funds, please let GCRPC know as soon as possible. Those communities will be taken out of the formula distribution and funds will be redistributed to the region. Any changes or adjustments made will extend the timeframe.

Agenda Item 5. Open Forum/Public Comments

Gary Broz made a comment in reference to the allocation.

Hannah thanked Gary for his comment.

No further questions or comments.

Agenda Item 6. Additional Public Hearings

Hannah Crone thanked the attendees for their time and expressed her appreciation for the comments made. Hannah Crone then reminded the attendees who spoke to complete the public

comment form and informed the attendees of the upcoming scheduled public hearings, of which is also located in the PowerPoint handout.

a. Victoria County

Wednesday, September 21, 2022, at 6:00 pm, at Victoria Towers, 6th floor board room, 1908 N. Laurent St. Ste. 600, Victoria, TX 77901

b. Lavaca County

Thursday, September 22, 2022, at 6:00 pm, at Hallettsville City Hall located at 101 N. Main St. Hallettsville, TX 77964

c. Gonzales County

Monday, September 26, 2022, at 6:00 pm, at the Gonzales County Courthouse located at 414 N. St. Joseph St., Gonzales, TX 78629

d. Goliad County

Tuesday, September 27, 2022, at 6:00 pm, at the Goliad County Courthouse located at 127 N. Courthouse Square, Goliad, TX 77963

e. DeWitt County

Wednesday, September 28, 2022, at 6:00 pm, at Cuero City Hall located at 212 E. Main St., Cuero, TX 77954

Agenda Item 7. Adjournment

With there being no further questions Hannah Crone adjourned the public hearing at 6:12 PM.

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

THE STATE OF TEXAS §
COUNTY OF DE WITT §
 §
 §

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, a Notary Public in and for the State of Texas, on this day personally appeared Sonya Timpone, who after being by me duly sworn, upon oath says that he/she is a representative of The Cuervo Record, a newspaper in general circulation in DeWitt County, Texas which newspaper satisfies each of the requirements of Subchapter C, Chapter 2051, as amended, Texas Government Code, so as to constitute an official publication in which legal notices may be published as set forth in said newspaper.


Publisher further deposes and says that the attached notice was published in said newspaper on the following date(s) to wit: 4-20-22

Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Public
Notice - English Version

Sonya Timpone
Sonya Timpone
Editor and Publisher

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me, the undersigned authority, on the 6th day of May, 2022, to verify which witness my hand and seal of office.

Shannon Heindl
Notary Public
State of Texas



AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

THE STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF DE WITT

§
§
§
§

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, a Notary Public in and for the State of Texas, on this day personally appeared Sonya Timpone, who after being by me duly sworn, upon oath says that he/she is a representative of The Cuern Record, a newspaper in general circulation in DeWitt County, Texas which newspaper satisfies each of the requirements of Subchapter C, Chapter 2051, as amended, Texas Government Code, so as to constitute an official publication in which legal notices may be published as set forth in said newspaper.


Publisher further deposes and says that the attached notice was published in said newspaper on the following date(s) to wit: 4-20-22

Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Public
Notice - Spanish Version

Sonya Timpone
Sonya Timpone
Editor and Publisher

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me, the undersigned authority, on the 6th day of May, 2022, to verify which witness my hand and seal of office.

Shannon Heindl
Notary Public
State of Texas



April 21, 2022

Golden Crescent RPC Input CDBG distribution

Coastal Bend PUBLISHING

BEE | GOLIAD | SAN PATRICIO | REFUGIO
KARNES | LIVE OAK & McMULLEN

BEEVILLE Bee-Picayune **GOLIAD** Advance-Guard Live Oak & McMullen **THE PROGRESS** Countywide **KARNES** The News of **SAN PATRICIO** County Press **REFUGIO**

111 N. Washington • PO Box 10 • Beeville TX 78104
361.358.2550 office@mysoutex.com

AFFIDAVIT of PUBLICATION

THE STATE OF TEXAS

COUNTY OF BEE

Before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared Dennis Wade known to me, who, by me duly sworn, on his oath deposes and says that he is the Publisher of the Goliad Advance-Guard, having general circulation in Goliad County, who being by me duly sworn, deposes and says that the foregoing attached notice was published in said newspaper on the following date(s), to wit:


April 21, 2022



Dennis Wade, Publisher

Sworn to and subscribed before me by Dennis Wade this the 21 day of April 2022 AD to certify which witness my hand and official seal.

Mary Virginia Massey
Mary Virginia Massey,
Notary Public in and for the State of Texas



AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

STATE OF TEXAS §

COUNTY OF GONZALES §

Before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared

LEW K. COHN, who being by me duly sworn,
(name of newspaper representative)

deposes and says that (s)he is the PUBLISHER
(title of newspaper representative)

of the GONZALES INQUIRER; that said newspaper is generally circulated
(name of newspaper)

in GONZALES, Texas;
(in the municipality or nearest municipality to the location of the facility or the proposed facility)

that the attached notice was published in said newspaper on the following date(s):


April 14, 2022

Lew K Cohn
(newspaper representative's signature)

Subscribed and sworn to before me this the 4th day of May, 2022,
to certify which witness my hand and seal of office.

Sanya Simmons
Notary Public in and for the State of Texas

(Seal)



Sanya Simmons
Print or Type Name of Notary Public

06/06/2025
My Commission Expires

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

STATE OF TEXAS §

COUNTY OF GONZALES §

Before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared

LEW K. COHN, who being by me duly sworn,
(name of newspaper representative)

deposes and says that (s)he is the PUBLISHER
(title of newspaper representative)

of the GONZALES INQUIRER; that said newspaper is generally circulated
(name of newspaper)

in GONZALES, Texas;
(in the municipality or nearest municipality to the location of the facility or the proposed facility)

that the attached notice was published in said newspaper on the following date(s):

April 14, 2022


Lew K Cohn
(newspaper representative's signature)

Subscribed and sworn to before me this the 4th day of May, 2022,

to certify which witness my hand and seal of office.

Sanya Simmons
Notary Public in and for the State of Texas

(Seal)



Sanya Simmons
Print or Type Name of Notary Public

06/06/2025
My Commission Expires

Por la presente se notifica que la Comisión de Planificación Regional de Golden Crescent (GCRPC) está buscando aportes sobre el método de distribución por \$80,317,000 en Fondos del Programa de Mitigación Regional de Subvenciones en Bloque para el Desarrollo Comunitario (CDBG-MIT).

Los comentarios escritos y orales con respecto al Método de Distribución se tomarán primero en una reunión pública de planificación programada para la siguiente fecha, hora y lugar:

Miércoles 27 de abril de 2022, a las 4:00 p.m., en el GCRPC Sala de juntas dentro de la Torre Victoria ubicada en 1908 N. Laurent, 6th Floor (piso), Victoria, Texas, 77901, o virtualmente. Instrucciones para unirse a la reunión virtual se publicará en nuestro sitio web, www.gcrpc.org, 72 horas antes de la reunión.

Después de la reunión de planificación pública y la aprobación de la Oficina General de Tierras de Texas, el primer borrador del Método de Distribución estará disponible en el sitio web de GCRPC, www.gcrpc.org, así como en las oficinas de GCRPC (1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600, Victoria, Texas, 77901) y se llevarán a cabo audiencias públicas adicionales. El calendario para audiencias públicas adicionales también estará disponible en el sitio web de GCRPC.

Los comentarios por escrito se recibirán desde el miércoles 27 de abril de 2022 hasta el miércoles 29 de junio de 2022. Los comentarios por escrito deben recibirse a más tardar a las 5:00 p.m., el miércoles 29 de junio de 2022. Puede enviar comentarios por correo electrónico a hannahc@gcrpc.org o por correo, Atención: Hannah Crone, Regional Services Manager, 1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600, Victoria, Texas, 77901.

GCRPC proporcionará adaptaciones razonables para las personas que asistan a las audiencias públicas de GCRPC. Las audiencias públicas se llevarán a cabo en inglés. Las solicitudes de personas que necesitan adaptaciones especiales, solicitudes de intérpretes de idiomas o solicitudes de otras necesidades especiales de comunicación deben hacerse al menos 72 horas antes de cada audiencia. Para solicitudes de adaptación o información adicional sobre esta publicación, llame al (361) 578-1587, x206.

ACCEPTING BIDS FOR HAY LEASE AT AIRPORT

The City of Hallettsville will lease approximately 36 acres for hay production at the Hallettsville Municipal Airport US HWY 77 S. Sealed proposals will be accepted at City Hall until 3:00 P.M. on Friday May 6, 2022.

The lease is for two (2) years beginning August 1, 2022 and ending in July 31, 2024, with the Lessee having the option to extend the lease for an additional three (3) year period. Bid packets are available at <https://cityofhallettsville.org/request-for-proposals-bids-grants/> and at City Hall, 101 N. Main Street, Hallettsville, Texas. For more information, contact Grace Ward, City Secretary/Administrator at (361) 798-3681. Upon consideration of the bids, the City of Hallettsville reserves the right to accept or to reject any and all bids and to waive any technicalities.

FOR CEADRE UNIQUE ELLIS WADE, A MINOR, Part of Block 5, Kessli County, Texas, described in Volume 630 Page 190 of Official Records of Page 98 value of \$101,069.00, and an Estimated minimum opening bid of \$7,291.8

2020-12-24622CV, Account No(s). 0091488-0052290-RI, LAVACA COUNTY ELAINE MOORE JOHNSON, ET AL, LEASE #0091488, KUDU HUNTER O&G LP, RI 0.000881, ABSTRACT 52, W STRODE SURVEY, RRC 981 TEXAS, with an adjudged value of \$8,700.00, and an Estimated minimum

2020-12-24622CV, Account No(s). 0091148-0052290-RI, LAVACA COUNTY ELAINE MOORE JOHNSON, ET AL, LEASE #0091148, KUDU HUNTER RI 0.000881, ABSTRACT 52, W STRODE SURVEY, RRC 9819, UNIT #990 an adjudged value of \$770.00, and an Estimated minimum opening bid of \$

2020-12-24622CV, Account No(s). 0091141-0052290-RI, LAVACA COUNTY ELAINE MOORE JOHNSON, ET AL, LEASE #0091141, ORYX HUNTER 0.001127, ABSTRACT 52, W STRODE SURVEY, RRC 9701, LAVACA COUNTY of \$36,970.00, and an Estimated minimum opening bid of \$6,039.50;

(any volume and page references, unless otherwise indicated, being to the 1 to which instruments reference may be made for a more complete description of the written request of said defendants or their attorney, a sufficient portion be sold to satisfy said judgment(s), interest, penalties, and cost; and any part of redemption of the defendants or any person having an interest therein, interest therein, within the time and in the manner provided by law, and shall rights to which the defendants or anyone interested therein may be entitled, to be made by me to satisfy the judgment(s) rendered in the above styled a interest, penalties, and costs of suit, and the proceeds of said sales to be a the remainder, if any, to be applied as the law directs.

Dated at Hallettsville, Texas, March 30, 2022

Sheriff Micah Harmon Lavaca County, Texas

By Deputy

Notes:

The Minimum Bid is the lesser of the amount awarded in the judgment plus value. However, the Minimum Bid for a person owning an interest in the property the suit (other than a taxing unit), is the aggregate amount of the judgments suit and sale. ALL SALES SUBJECT TO CANCELLATION WITHOUT NOTICE TAXES DUE ON THE PROPERTY WHICH HAVE BEEN ASSESSED SINCE For more information, contact your attorney or LINEBARGER GOGGAN BL plaintiffs, at (512) 634-3709

NOTICES

NOTICES

ACCEPTING BIDS FOR HAY LEASE

The City of Hallettsville will lease approximately 30 acres for hay production or grazing on CR 201. Sealed proposals will be accepted at City Hall until 3:00 P.M. on Friday, May 6, 2022.

The lease is for two (2) years beginning on August 15, 2022 and ending on August 14, 2024, with the Lessee having the option to extend the lease for an additional three (3) year period. Bid packets are available at <https://cityofhallettsville.org/request-for-proposals-bids-grants/> and at City Hall, 101 N. Main Street, Hallettsville, Texas. For more information, contact Grace Ward, City Secretary/Administrator at (361) 798-3681. Upon consideration of the bids, the City of Hallettsville reserves the right to accept or to reject any and all bids and to waive any technicalities.

DO
NEW
LIKE
IN TH
HALI
TRIBU
TRIBUNEHE

WE ARE
ONLINE

Online
Edition

PUBLISHER'S AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF JACKSON


Personally appeared before the undersigned, a notary public within and for said County and State, Millie Diaz, Editor, of THE JACKSON COUNTY HERALD-TRIBUNE, a newspaper having general circulation in Jackson County, Texas, who, being duly sworn, states on oath that the foregoing attached notice was published in said newspaper on the following date(s) to wit:

Wed. Apr. 20, 2022

Millie Diaz

Millie Diaz, Editor

Subscribed and sworn to me before this 20th day of April, 2022
to certify which witness my hand and seal of office.



Joni Boston

PUBLISHER'S AFFIDAVIT

THE STATE OF TEXAS §
COUNTY OF CALHOUN §

Christy Aguilar, being duly sworn on his/her oath states that he/she is an authorized representative of The Port Lavaca Wave and that said newspaper meets the requirements of Section 2051.044 of the Texas Government code, to wit:

- 1. It devotes not less than twenty-five percent (25%) of its total column lineage to general interest items;
- 2. It is published at least once each week;
- 3. It is entered as second-class postal matter in the county where it is published;
- 4. It has been published regularly and continuously since 1891;
- 5. It is generally circulated within Calhoun County.

(CLIPPING)(S)

#2677

Representative further deposes and says that the attached notice was published in said newspaper on the following date(s) to wit:


4/20, A.D. 2022

Christy Aguilar

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN BEFORE ME by


Christy Aguilar

- a) is personally known to me, or
- b) provided the following evidence to establish his or her identity _____



On this the 20 day of Apr, A.D. 2022,
to certify which witness my hand and seal of office

J Marek
Judy Marek
Notary Public, State of Texas



Noticias del Mundo


UCRANIA — Acuerdan abrir un corredor humanitario en Mariúpol para unos 6,000 civiles. El vicecalde de Mariúpol, por su parte, ha tildado de “falsas” las informaciones sobre posibles evacuaciones. La Armada rusa ha dado otro ultimátum a las tropas ucranianas que resisten en Mariúpol. Ya son

5 millones las personas que han tenido que huir de Ucrania por la guerra.

Ucrania ha anunciado hoy haber alcanzado un acuerdo para abrir un corredor humanitario de evacuación desde la asediada Mariúpol, por el que se tratará de sacar de la ciudad del sur del país a unos

6,000 civiles, principalmente mujeres, niños y ancianos.

Así lo ha comunicado la viceprimera ministra de Ucrania, Iryna Vereshchuk, en su canal de Telegram, quien ha señalado que “dada la catastrófica situación humanitaria, vamos a centrar nuestros esfuerzos en evacuar a esas perso-



Mariúpol, Ucrania. Contributed photo.

Por la presente se notifica que la Comisión de Planificación Regional de Golden Crescent (GCRPC) está buscando aportes sobre el método de distribución por \$80,317,000 en Fondos del Programa de Mitigación Regional de Subvenciones en Bloque para el Desarrollo Comunitario (CDBG-MIT).

Los comentarios escritos y orales con respecto al Método de Distribución se tomarán primero en una reunión pública de planificación programada para la siguiente fecha, hora y lugar:

Miércoles 27 de abril de 2022, a las 4:00 p.m., en el GCRPC Sala de Juntas dentro de la Torre Victoria ubicada en 1908 N. Laurent, 6th Floor (plso), Victoria, Texas, 77901, o virtualmente. Instrucciones para unirse a la reunión virtual se publicará en nuestro sitio web, www.gcrpc.org, 72 horas antes de la reunión.

Después de la reunión de planificación pública y la aprobación de la Oficina General de Tierras de Texas, el primer borrador del Método de Distribución estará disponible en el sitio web de GCRPC, www.gcrpc.org, así como en las oficinas de GCRPC (1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600, Victoria, Texas, 77901) y se llevarán a cabo audiencias públicas adicionales. El calendario para audiencias públicas adicionales también estará disponible en el sitio web de GCRPC.

Los comentarios por escrito se recibirán desde el miércoles 27 de abril de 2022 hasta el miércoles 29 de junio de 2022. Los comentarios por escrito deben recibirse a más tardar a las 5:00 p.m., el miércoles 29 de junio de 2022. Puede enviar comentarios por correo electrónico a hannahc@gcrpc.org o por correo, Atención: Hannah Crone, Regional Services Manager, 1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600, Victoria, Texas, 77901.

GCRPC proporcionará adaptaciones razonables para las personas que asistan a las audiencias públicas de GCRPC. Las audiencias públicas se llevarán a cabo en inglés. Las solicitudes de personas que necesitan adaptaciones especiales, solicitudes de intérpretes de idiomas o solicitudes de otras necesidades especiales de comunicación deben hacerse al menos 72 horas antes de cada audiencia. Para solicitudes de adaptación o información adicional sobre esta publicación, llame al (361) 578-1587, x206.

nas”.

En los últimos tres días no se había abierto ningún corredor humanitario, ni en esa ciudad ni en otras partes del este del país, por no existir garantías de seguridad, según Vereshchuk.

Según fuentes de la alcaldía, se espera hacer llegar 90 autobuses a lo largo de este miércoles, para lograr transportar a unas 6,000 personas.

Después, el vicecalde de Mariúpol ha alertado de que hay “muchos” civiles refugiados en la acería Azovstal y ha tildado de “falsas” las informaciones sobre posibles evacuaciones. De esta forma, ha incidido que “todas las noticias sobre la posibilidad de salir, de abandonar Mariúpol o la acería de Azovstal, son falsas”.

Ultimátum hasta las 2:00

La Armada rusa ha dado otro ultimátum a las fuerzas ucranianas

para deponer las armas y retirarse de la planta metalúrgica Azovstal en Mariúpol este miércoles, después de que ayer nadie se entregara en las dos horas dadas por Rusia para hacerlo.

“Las Fuerzas Armadas de Rusia, guiadas por principios puramente humanos, otra vez ofrecen a los militantes de batallones nacionalistas y mercenarios detener el combate y deponer las armas a las 2:00 hora local (1:00 horas en Euskal Herria),” ha señalado el jefe del Centrn de Control de Defensa Nacional, coronel general Mijail Mizintsev.

En la jornada de este martes, Rusia precisó que, durante la activación del alto el fuego para evacuar a civiles, los militares ucranianos no abandonaron la planta.

Por otro lado, el máximo responsable de la Administración Estatal Regional de Donetsk, Pavlo Kirilenko, ha informado de una explosión en la planta, sin precisar víctimas o el origen de la explosión, según ha recogido la ucraniana Cadena 24.

Las fuerzas de la autoproclamada República Popular de Donetsk han anunciado este martes el inicio de una ofensiva contra esta acería.

Los refugiados por la guerra en Ucrania ya ascienden a 5 millones

Las personas que han tenido que huir de Ucrania a consecuencia de la guerra ascienden ya a 5 millones, según el último balance de la Agencia de Naciones Unidas para los Refugiados (ACNUR).

Polonia ha recibido más de la mitad de este éxodo (2.8 millones), y otros países vecinos de Ucrania también han acogido un gran número de refugiados, tales como Rumanía (757,000), Rusia (549,000) y Hungría (471,000).

EMETT

for

SCHOOL BOARD



POL. ADVERTISING PAID FOR BY EMMETT ALVAREZ FOR VISO SCHOOL BOARD CAMPAIGN, C. RAMOS, TREASURER

CITY, from pg. 3
throughout Victoria."

Attracting new business to Victoria is also a key issue to Loffgren, as well as affordable housing.

"Business growth is underway in Victoria, along with what I hope will be higher rates of pay. Although city council has no ability

ity to improve the pay workers receive, we can attempt to attract the best new businesses to Victoria, and I feel that the University of Houston-Victoria is a key player in attracting lucrative business growth here," Loffgren said. "We also have construction underway for affordable housing locally, most notably a large acquisition on

Ben Jordan."

Lastly, Loffgren feels that the completion of the I-69 corridor through Victoria will be an economic boon to the city. Once I-69 is completed the growth along the former US 59 will be incredible.

"I-69 will bring so many financial opportunities to Victoria that the growth will be incredible. This major United States thoroughfare will eventually run from the Valley all the way to Canada and will be a major change for the Victoria area."

Loffgren, a former store manager for HEB, has lived in Victoria since 1986, and is retired.

April Butler, owner of the Woodhouse Day Spas and The I Fix Computers Guy, has worked in Victoria since 2003, and lived here since 2013. She earned a Bachelor of Arts degree from Texas Tech

University, as well as a bachelor's degree in science and a master's degree in Sociology from the State University of New York.

She said that she attended a city council meeting in 2021 to speak out on local animal control and the high rate of euthanasia of animals in the city limits.

"I remember asking a city council member a question concerning animal control and they told me that this was not a city council issue but a county issue. It was then that I felt that city residents need not only a voice in local issues, but also the right to be heard on issues that affect them. Right then I had an epiphany. Someone had to do something about this, and I felt who better than myself, this motivated me to do more for the community that I live in."

She said that she feels that city council should be working for the betterment of the community. "I feel that we need to work hard to grow Victoria, and I mean all of Victoria. We need a better relationship between city council and the community."

Butler stated, "We need to strive to make Victoria a tourism and activity hub, strive to bring better paying jobs to the city, increase the population, as well as work tirelessly to have local residents spend their dollars here at home, instead of going to larger cities to shop."



April Butler. Contributed photo.

She feels that the increase of broadband for the entire population is a key element to local growth, along with repair to local infrastructure, bringing sidewalks to neighborhoods where they are lacking, and by offering similar tax abatements to local existing businesses that want to expand and increase their presence in Victoria.

"If elected, I welcome feedback from the community. I want the citizens of Victoria to know that I am listening to them, I want them to know what we are doing with what they give us, and I want them to know that my cell phone is on at all times and that I am here to serve them."

"Listen, I have survived both a hurricane and COVID and have managed to grow my local business. I want the community of Victoria to thrive and know that their voice matters to me," said Butler.



WWW.
*Revista de Victoria*tx.com

Notice is hereby given that the Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) is seeking input on the method of distribution for \$80,317,000 in Community Development Block Grant Regional Mitigation Program Funds (CDBG-MIT).

Written and oral comments regarding the Method of Distribution will be taken first at a public planning meeting scheduled for the following date, time, and location:

Wednesday, April 27, 2022, at 4:00 p.m., at the GCRPC Boardroom inside the Victoria Tower located at 1908 N. Laurent, 6th Floor, Victoria, Texas, 77901, or virtually. Instructions to join the meeting virtually will be posted on our website, www.gcrpc.org, 72 hours prior to the meeting.

Following the public planning meeting and the approval of the Texas General Land Office, the first draft Method of Distribution will be made available on the GCRPC website, www.gcrpc.org, as well as at the GCRPC offices (1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600, Victoria, Texas, 77901) and additional public hearings will occur. The schedule for additional public hearings will also be made available on the GCRPC website.

Written comments will be received from Wednesday, April 27, 2022, through Wednesday, June 29, 2022. Written comments must be received no later than 5:00 p.m., on Wednesday, June 29, 2022. You may submit comments via email to hannahc@gcrpc.org or via mail, Attn: Hannah Crane, Regional Services Manager, 1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600, Victoria, Texas, 77901.

GCRPC will provide for reasonable accommodations for persons attending the GCRPC public hearings. Public hearings will be conducted in English. Requests from persons needing special accommodations, requests for language interpreters, or requests for other special communication needs should be made at least 72 hours prior to each hearing. For accommodation requests or additional information about this posting, please call (361) 578-1587, x205.

THE SALVATION ARMY HOUSE OF MINISTRIES

GREAT DEALS TO SUPPORT A GREAT CAUSE

ANTIQUES * BOUTIQUE * CLOTHING * DECOR
FURNITURE * ELECTRONICS & MORE

STORE HOURS:
MONDAY - FRIDAY: 8:30 AM-5:30 PM
SATURDAY & SUNDAY: CLOSED

Accepting boys & girls new (in package) undergarments, socks, & belts for homeless children


1204 N MOODY ST @ 5 POINTS
361-485-0123

All Proceeds Benefit Community Outreach Programs For Homeless Adults, Teens, & Low Income Families

STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF VICTORIA


Before me, a Notary Public in and for said County and State, this day personally appeared PAMELA WILLIAMS, Classified Supervisor for the VICTORIA ADVOCATE published by the VICTORIA ADVOCATE , which has general circulation in VICTORIA County, Texas and distributed in other surrounding Counties (Calhoun, Dewitt, Goliad, Gonzales, Jackson, Karnes, Lavaca, Matagorda, Refugio and Wharton); and who, after being duly sworn, did dispose and say that the following clipping of an advertisement was published in the above named paper on the following dates:

- Monday, April 18th, 2022
- Tuesday, April 19th, 2022
- Wednesday, April 20th, 2022

Signed 

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 20th day of April 2022.

Notary Public 
VICTORIA County, TX


[place notary seal here 

[attach actual copy of
Newspaper ad clipping]


STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF VICTORIA

Before me, a Notary Public in and for said County and State, this day personally appeared PAMELA WILLIAMS, Classified Supervisor for the VICTORIA ADVOCATE published by the VICTORIA ADVOCATE, which has general circulation in VICTORIA County, Texas and distributed in other surrounding Counties (Calhoun, Dewitt, Goliad, Gonzales, Jackson, Karnes, Lavaca, Matagorda, Refugio and Wharton); and who, after being duly sworn, did dispose and say that the following clipping of an advertisement was published in the above named paper on the following dates:


- Monday, April 18th, 2022
- Tuesday, April 19th, 2022
- Wednesday, April 20th, 2022

Signed 

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 20th day of April 2022.

Notary Public 
VICTORIA County, TX

[place notary seal here]



[attach actual copy of
Newspaper ad clipping]

Notice is hereby given that the Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) is seeking input on the method of distribution for **\$80,317,000 in Community Development Block Grant Regional Mitigation Program Funds (CDBG-MIT)**.

Written and oral comments regarding the Method of Distribution will be taken first at a public planning meeting scheduled for the following date, time, and location:

Wednesday, April 27, 2022, at 4:00 p.m., at the GCRPC Boardroom inside the Victoria Tower located at 1908 N. Laurent, 6th Floor, Victoria, Texas, 77901, or virtually. Instructions to join the meeting virtually will be posted on our website, www.gcrpc.org, 72 hours prior to the meeting.

Following the public planning meeting and the approval of the Texas General Land Office, the first draft Method of Distribution will be made available on the GCRPC website, www.gcrpc.org, as well as at the GCRPC offices (1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600, Victoria, Texas, 77901) and additional public hearings will occur. The schedule for additional public hearings will also be made available on the GCRPC website.

Written comments will be received from Wednesday, April 27, 2022, through Wednesday, June 29, 2022. Written comments must be received no later than 5:00 p.m., on Wednesday, June 29, 2022. You may submit comments via email to hannahc@gcrpc.org or via mail, Attn: Hannah Crone, Regional Services Manager, 1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600, Victoria, Texas, 77901.

GCRPC will provide for reasonable accommodations for persons attending the GCRPC public hearings. Public hearings will be conducted in English. Requests from persons needing special accommodations, requests for language interpreters, or requests for other special communication needs should be made at least 72 hours prior to each hearing. For accommodation requests or additional information about this posting, please call (361) 578-1587, x206.

Hannah Crone

From: Hannah Crone
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:36 AM
To: Blair Duran; Judge Ben Zeller; Judge Daryl Fowler; Judge Jill Sklar; Judge Keith Mudd; Judge Mark Myers; Judge Mike Bennett; Judge Patrick C. Davis; Judge Richard Meyer; Kristi Cline; MaeBelle Cassel; Pamela Martin; Shannon Spree
Cc: Michael Ada
Subject: Upcoming GLO MIT MOD Public Planning Meeting
Attachments: PublicCommentNotice_English.pdf


Good morning,

I just wanted to notify you that we will be holding our first public planning meeting regarding the \$80,317,000 in Community Development Block Grant Regional Mitigation Program Funds (CDBG-MIT) on April 27th at 4:00 PM. This first meeting will be an overview of the program, the method of distribution, and eligibility. We expect to have the distribution done by the end of May, and additional public hearings will follow. You can stay updated on this at our website, www.gcrpc.org.

The public planning meeting on April 27th will occur at our offices at 1908 N. Laurent St. Ste. 6001 Boardroom, or virtually. The link to join virtually will be posted on the website 72 hours prior to the meeting.

Thank you,



Hannah Crone
Program Manager
Office 361.578.1587, ext. 206 | Cell 361.648.3251
www.gcrpc.org | Follow us on: 

Confidentiality Note

This email may contain information that is confidential or privileged and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. The information is intended to be solely for the use of the recipient(s) named in the email. If you are not an intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this information is without authorization and prohibited. If you receive this email in error, please notify us by return email and delete this email immediately.

GLO Notices

Interested stakeholders: becca.taylor@grantworks.net gbroz@cityofedna.com jgarza@victoriatx.gov	Calhoun County	richard.meyer@calhouncotx.org maebelle.cassel@calhouncotx.org	GCRPC Website Victoria Transit	
	DeWitt County	Daryl.fowler@co.dewitt.tx.us blair.duran@co.dewitt.tx.us	VCLTRG	rick.villa.vcltrg@gmail.com 104 S. William Victoria, TX 77901
dferrell@victoriatx.gov kconnally@victoriatx.gov rknippling@vctx.org rstastny@cityofcuero.com	Jackson County	J.sklar@co.jackson.tx.us		
m.darilek@co.jackson.tx.us david.hall@calhouncotx.org RickMcBrayer@vctx.org joel.behrens@calhouncotx.org mayorprotem@cityofganado.com	Lavaca County	kristicline@co.lavaca.tx.us	Victoria Economic Development	jonastitas@victoriaedc.com 101 W Goodwin, Suite 322 Victoria, Texas 77901
	Victoria County	bzeller@vctx.org	Texas Rio Grande Legal Aide- Victoria Law Center	121 S. Main Victoria, TX 77901
	Goliad County	sspree@vctx.org mbennett@goliadcountytx.gov pmartin@goliadcountytx.gov	Gulf Bend Center	jtunnell@gulfbend.org 6502 Nursery Dr Victoria, TX 77904
	Gonzales County	Countyjudge089@co.gonzales.tx.us	Bluebonnet Trails Community Services	dalia.villa@bbtrails.org 1009 N. Georgetown St. Round Rock, TX 78664
	City of Port Lavaca	jwhitlow@portlavaca.org jweaver@portlavaca.org	Devereux Victoria	fgrohman@devereux.com 120 David Wade Dr Victoria, TX 77905
	City of Seadrift	e.deforest@seadrifftx.org g.torres@seadrifftx.org	Texas Housers	1800 West Sixth Street Austin, TX 78703
	City of Point Comfort	pcomfort@tisd.net	Texas Appleseed	1609 Shoal Creek, Suite 201 Austin, TX 78701
	City of Victoria	jgarza@victoriatx.gov ahilbrich@victoriatx.org	Calhoun-Port Lavaca Housing Authority	plha@tisd.net 627 W George St #174 Port Lavaca, Texas 77979
	City of Goliad	mayormosesofgoliad@goliadtx.net citysecretary@goliadtx.net	Yoakum City Housing Authority	712 FOREST Street Yoakum, Texas 77995
	City of Cuero	mayor@cityofcuero.com rzella@cityofcuero.com	Cuero Housing Authority	Hope Ortiz, Director 203 W Church St Cuero, Texas 77954
	City of Nordheim	cityofnordheim@yahoo.com cityofnordheim@att.net	Yorktown Housing Authority	406 N. Eckhardt St Yorktown TX 78164
	City of Yoakum	annier@jacobs-weber.com citymanager@cityofyoakum.org	Goliad Housing Authority	360 N Fort St Goliad, TX 77963
	City of Yorktown	yorktownmayor@sbcglobal.net	Gonzales Housing Authority	info@gonzaleshousingauthority.com 410 Village Dr Gonzales, TX 78629
	City of Waelder	cwaelder@gvtc.com melindac@gvtc.com	Jackson County Mauritz Village Apartments	600 N. Kleas St. Edna TX 77957
	City of Smiley	smileytx@gvec.net smileytx@gvec.net	Lavaca County Hallettsville Housing Authority	mark@hallettsvillehousingauthority.info 103 Village Dr Hallettsville, TX 77964
	City of Shiner	mayor.hilscher@shinertexas.gov city_of_shiner@sbcglobal.net	Victoria Housing Authority	4001 Halsey Street Victoria, TX 77901
	City of Nixon	citysecretary@nixon.texas.gov citymanager@nixon.texas.gov	Texas Health and Human Services Commission Offices	
	City of Moulton	zim1958@att.net citysec@cityofmoulton.com	City of Port Lavaca	436 S Hwy 35 Port Lavaca, Texas 77979
	City of LaWard	koch_rn@yahoo.com	City of Cuero	106 E. French St. Cuero TX 77954
	City of Hallettsville	a summers3196@att.net	City of Victoria	2306 Leary Ln Victoria, TX 77901
	City of Gonzales	cityadmin@cityofhallettsville.org ckacir@gonzales.texas.gov citysecretary@gonzales.texas.gov	Goliad	142 S. Market Square Goliad, TX 77963
	City of Ganado	Ganado@cityofganado.com clintontegler@yahoo.com	Gonzlaes	1600 E. Sarah DeWitt Dr. #200 Gonzales, TX 78629
	City of Edna	Ganado@ykc.com mayorsmiga@cityofedna.com gbroz@cityofedna.com	Jackson	202 E. Main St. Edna TX 77957
			Lavaca	1309 Cemetery Rd Hallettsville, TX 77964

Golden Crescent Regional Plan x +

← Not secure | gcrpc.org

AGENDA

Regional Announcements

Community Development Block Grant Regional Mitigation Program Funds for the MR
GCRPC seeks input on the development of the method of distribution (MOD) for \$80,317,000 in Hurricane Harvey disaster recovery funding.

Golden Crescent Long-Term Care Ombudsman Program Seeks Volunteers
Volunteer advocates empower residents in nursing homes.

Public Notices

Request for Proposals:
 Website Design, Graphic Design, and/or Creative Services
[Click here to learn more.](#)

Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission's Method of Distribution for \$80,317,000 in Community Development Block Grant Regional Mitigation Program Funds

Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission is seeking input on the Method of Distribution for \$80,317,000 in Community Development Block Grant Regional Mitigation Program Funds.
[Click here](#) to watch the recording of the first public planning meeting held Wednesday, April 27, 2022
[Click here](#) to learn more.
[Click here](#) to watch the recording of the first public planning meeting held Wednesday, April 27, 2022
[Click here](#) to learn more.

Hannah Crone

From: Cherokee McGrath
Sent: Wednesday, April 20, 2022 11:39 AM
To: Hannah Crone
Subject: Accepted: GLO MIT MOD Public Planning Meeting

This has been posted 😊

Public Comment

May 2023

PLEASE SIGN IN

	Name		Title	Present	Date	
1	Alaniz	Robin	<i>BOD Secretary-Treasurer</i>	Alderwoman	Remote	10-May-23
2	Allen	Tony	<i>BOD President</i>	Councilperson		
3	Baker	Bill	<i>BOD Representative</i>	Mayor		
4	Deforest	Elmer	<i>BOD Citizen-at-Large</i>	Mayor		
5	Espinosa	Julio	<i>BOD Director-at-Large</i>	Judge	Remote	10-May-23
6	Fagg	Kevin	<i>BOD Representative</i>	Commissioner		
7	Faircloth	Wayne	<i>BOD Representative</i>	Commissioner	In-Person	10-May-23
8	Hughes	Craig	<i>BOD Representative</i>	Councilmember	Remote	10-May-23
9	Jackson	Chris	<i>BOD Director-at-Large</i>	Councilmember	In-Person	10-May-23
10	Johs	Sandy	<i>BOD Representative</i>	Director		
11	Karl	Dennis	<i>BOD Representative</i>	Commissioner		
12	LaFleur	Kevin	<i>BOD Representative</i>	Commissioner		
13	Loffgren	Mark	<i>BOD Representative</i>	Councilperson		
14	Morales	Alonzo	<i>BOD Representative</i>	Fire Chief		
15	Papacek	Charles	<i>BOD Representative</i>	Board Secretary		
16	Perez	Raymond	<i>BOD Citizen-at-Large</i>	Judge		
17	Reese	Gary	<i>BOD Representative</i>	Commissioner		
18	Shoemate	Robert	<i>BOD Representative</i>	Chairman		
19	Soliz	Josephine	<i>BOD Immediate Past President</i>	Councilperson	In-Person	10-May-23

PLEASE SIGN IN

	Name			Title	Present	Date
20	Sucher	Steve	<i>BOD Representative</i>	Mayor		
21	Summers	Alice Jo	<i>BOD 1st VP</i>	Mayor	In-Person	10-May-23
22	Tegeler	Clinton	<i>BOD 2nd VP</i>	Mayor		
23	Tippit	Allen	<i>BOD Representative</i>	Councilmember		
24	Tunnell	Jeff	<i>BOD Director-at-Large</i>	Executive Director	In-Person	10-May-23
25	Zeller	Ben	<i>BOD Representative</i>	Judge		
26	Alex Swift				<i>Remote</i>	10-May-23
27	<i>No further attendees</i>					

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE - 5/10

PURSUANT TO V.T.C.A., TEXAS GOVERNMENT CODE, SECTION 551.041

NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETING
OF THE
GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
Executive Committee

Wednesday, May 10, 2023
5:00 PM

Online

Join on your computer, mobile app or room device

[Click here to join the meeting](#)

Meeting ID: 215 551 379 056

Passcode: PEPiNY

[Download Teams](#) | [Join on the web](#)

Or call in (audio only)

[+1 806-503-5127,,955555548#](#)

In-Person

Victoria Laurent Tower
1908 N. Laurent St. Ste. 600A
Victoria, TX 77901



AGENDA

- 1) Call Meeting to Order.
 - Pledge of Allegiance to the American and Texas Flags.
 - Invocation.
- 2) Consider approval of Distribution Factors for the Hurricane Harvey Community Development Block Grant – Disaster Recovery Local Infrastructure Program Method of Distribution.
 - Action Item
- 3) Open Forum.

Opportunity for citizens to address the Board. Should citizen comments include complaints or charges against a GCRPC officer or employee, the Board may adjourn to a closed meeting, under Section 551.074 of the Texas Government Code, to hear such complaints or charges. This closed meeting may occur at any point during the meeting.

 - Open Public Comment Meeting for the Community Development Block Grant – Regional Mitigation Program Funding Method of Distribution.
- 4) Consider approval of the Resolution for the Community Development Block Grant – Regional Mitigation Program Funding Method of Distribution.
 - Action Item
- 5) Adjournment.

I certify that I have reviewed this document and that it conforms to all applicable Texas Register filing requirements.
Michael Ada, Certifying Official and Jennifer Putman, Agency Liaison

**GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
BOARD OF DIRECTORS
Meeting Minutes**

Wednesday, May 10, 2023
5:00 PM

In-Person

Victoria Laurent Tower
1908 N. Laurent St. Ste. 600A
Victoria, TX 77901

Online

Microsoft Teams meeting
Join on your computer or mobile app
Click here to join the meeting
Or call in (audio only)
+1 806-503-5127,, 955 555 548#

MINUTES

Members Present: (in person)

Councilman Chris Jackson
Mayor Alice Jo Summers
Jeff Tunnell
Josephine E. Soliz
Wayne Faircloth

Members Present: (Online/Phone)

Alderwoman Robin Alaniz
Judge Julio Espinosa

Quorum met (6/8 voting members)

- 1) Call Meeting to Order.
Meeting called to order at 5:00 PM, by Mayor Alice Jo Summers, 1st Vice-President, Board of Directors Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC)
 - Pledge of Allegiance to the American and Texas Flags.
 - Invocation.
- 2) Consider approval of Distribution Factors for the Hurricane Harvey Community Development Block Grant – Disaster Recovery Local Infrastructure Program Method of Distribution.

Mr. Michael Ada presented the Board with an update from the Texas GLO in regard to the Hurricane Harvey Community Development Block Grant – Disaster Recovery Local Infrastructure Program Method of Distribution. This update came directly from the GLO with recommendation to adjust the factors to include Flood Risk to Critical Infrastructure (20%), Unmet Needs (15%), FEMA Risk Assessment (15%). Mr. Ada explained that the percentage tied to each of these was in effort to keep the funding amounts to each community similar to what was originally provided to the Board.

However, if the Board felt it necessary, adjustments could be made. He left the floor open to comments or questions, hearing none, Mayor Summers called for a motion.

Motion to approve the Texas GLO Hurricane Harvey CDBG Infrastructure Method of Distribution as presented, by Councilwoman Soliz,

- i. 2nd, Commission Faircloth.*
 - 1. Vote in favor, unanimous.*
 - 2. Opposed, none.*
 - 3. Vote in favor, Online/Phone (Alderman Alaniz and Judge Espinosa).*
 - 4. Opposed, Online/Phone (None).*

Motion carried.

3) Open Forum.

Opportunity for citizens to address the Board. Should citizen comments include complaints or charges against a GCRPC officer or employee, the Board may adjourn to a closed meeting, under Section 551.074 of the Texas Government Code, to hear such complaints or charges. This closed meeting may occur at any point during the meeting.

No comments were presented to the Board.

MIT MOD PUBLIC COMMENT
OCCURRED IN OPEN FORUM

4) Consider approval of the Resolution for the Community Development Block Grant – Regional Mitigation Program Funding Method of Distribution.

Mr. Ada presented the Board with the final approved method of distribution that has been approved by the Texas GLO and all numbers have been reviewed to ensure that they are correct. Approval of this Resolution would allow the funding to move forward in the GLO process.

Motion to the Resolution of the Texas GLO Regional Mitigation program funding as presented, by Councilman Jackson,

- i. 2nd, Councilwoman Soliz.*
 - 1. Vote in favor, unanimous.*
 - 2. Opposed, none.*
 - 3. Vote in favor, Online/Phone (Alderman Alaniz and Judge Espinosa).*
 - 4. Opposed, Online/Phone (None).*

Motion carried.

5) Adjournment.

Motion to adjourn meeting, by Commission Faircloth,

- i. 2nd, Mr. Tunnell.*
 - 1. Vote in favor, unanimous.*
 - 2. Opposed, none.*
 - 3. Vote in favor, Online/Phone (Alderman Alaniz and Judge Espinosa).*
 - 4. Opposed, Online/Phone (None).*

Motion carried.

Public Notices

GCRPC's Method of Distribution for \$80,317,000 in CDBG Regional Mitigation Program Fund

Public Comments Sought - Round 4 (Posted 05/01/2023)

GCRPC is seeking a fourth round of public input to confirm the Method of Distribution for \$80,317,000 in Community Development Block Grant Regional Mitigation Program Funds.

Opens: 12:00 PM, on May 1, 2023

Closes: 12:00 PM, on May 16, 2023.

[Click here to view the Current Draft MIT-MOD Allocation Summary](#)

[Click here to view the Current Draft MIT-MOD Allocation Detail](#)

[Current Draft MIT-MOD Methodology Summary](#)

Send comments to mitmod@gcrpc.org or submit your comment via the contact form.

MIT MOD Public Comment, Round 4

* INDICATES REQUIRED FIELD

NAME *

First Last

I AM REPRESENTING: * 

EMAIL *

PHONE NUMBER *

ZIP CODE *

COMMENT *

SUBMIT

ENGLISH

For Release: 7 May 2023

Region to Receive Funding for Post-Harvey Disaster Mitigation

Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC), in cooperation with 27 area cities and counties, seeks your participation in finalizing one of the last major infusions of funding allocated in response to Hurricane Harvey. The public comment period runs through 12:00 PM, on May 16, 2023.

The funding comes from the federal Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and the Texas General Land Office (GLO), through the State of Texas CDBG Mitigation (CDBG-MIT) Action Plan: Building Stronger for a Resilient Future. Councils of Government (COG) across the region impacted by Hurricane Harvey in 2017 have received funds to help protect our communities from future natural disasters. GCRPC received \$80,317,000 to distribute across its seven-county jurisdiction. HUD/GLO require 50% of each funding allotment to benefit persons with low- to moderate-income (LMI).

GCRP has run the opportunity through three previous rounds of public commentary in an effort to equitably share resources in our region, according to the opinions and priorities of the people who live here. Allocations to county and city governments were determined based on four distribution factors: population, persons with low- to moderate-income (LMI), Social Vulnerability Index scores, and FEMA damage assessments.

After months of collaboration between GCRPC, GLO, and our local governments, we're pleased to present this latest set of numbers. Chief elected officials from all 27 cities and counties have accepted the funds; with our community's approval, we will move to finalize the allocation of that \$80,317,000 within the Golden Crescent region.

Participate in person, by mail, or online:

- Join us Wednesday, May 10th, at 5pm at the GCRPC offices in Laurent Tower, on the 6th floor of 1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600, Victoria, Texas, 77901.
- Mail comments to Attn: Jenn Putman, Member Services Coordinator, 1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600, Victoria, Texas, 77901.
- Visit GCRPC.org and scroll down to the form or email mitmod@gcrpc.org to submit comments online.

GCRPC will provide for reasonable accommodations for persons attending GCRPC public hearings. Public hearings will be conducted in English. To ask about accommodations, requests for language interpreters, or communication needs contact GCRPC at least 72 hours prior to each hearing. For accommodation requests or additional information about this posting, please call (361) 578-1587.

Review the MOD at GCRPC.org to learn more.

PRESS CONTACT:

Jenn Putman

jenniferp@gcrpc.org

361-578-1587 x312

EN ESPAÑOL

Para publicación: 7 de mayo de 2023

Región recibirá financiamiento para mitigación de desastres post-Harvey

La Comisión de Planificación Regional Golden Crescent (GCRPC), en colaboración con 27 ciudades y condados de la zona, solicita su participación en la finalización de una de las últimas grandes infusiones de financiamiento asignadas en respuesta al huracán Harvey. El período de comentarios públicos se extiende hasta las 12:00 PM del 16 de mayo de 2023.

Los fondos provienen del Departamento Federal de Vivienda y Desarrollo Urbano (HUD) y de la Oficina General de Tierras de Texas (GLO), a través del Plan de Acción CDBG Mitigation (CDBG-MIT) del Estado de Texas: Construyendo más fuerte para un futuro resistente. Los Consejos de Gobierno (COG) en la región afectada por el huracán Harvey en 2017 han recibido fondos para ayudar a proteger nuestras comunidades de futuros desastres naturales. GCRPC recibió \$80,317,000 para distribuir en su jurisdicción de siete condados. HUD/GLO requieren que el 50% de cada asignación de fondos beneficie a personas con ingresos bajos a moderados (LMI).

GCRPC ha pasado la oportunidad por tres rondas previas de comentarios públicos en un esfuerzo por compartir equitativamente los recursos en nuestra región, de acuerdo con las opiniones y prioridades de las personas que viven aquí. Las asignaciones a gobiernos de condados y ciudades se determinaron en base a cuatro factores de distribución: población, personas con ingresos bajos a moderados (LMI), puntajes del Índice de Vulnerabilidad Social y evaluaciones de daños de FEMA.

Después de meses de colaboración entre GCRPC, GLO y nuestros gobiernos locales, nos complace presentar este último conjunto de números. Los electos principales de gobierno de las 27 ciudades y condados han aceptado los fondos; con la aprobación de nuestra comunidad, procederemos a finalizar la asignación de esos \$80,317,000 dentro de la región Golden Crescent.

Participe en persona, por correo o en línea:

- Únase a nosotros el miércoles 10 de mayo a las 5 pm en las oficinas de GCRPC en Laurent Tower, en el sexto piso de 1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600, Victoria, Texas, 77901.
- Envíe comentarios por correo a Attn: Jenn Putman, Coordinadora de Servicios para Miembros, 1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600, Victoria, Texas, 77901.
- Visite GCRPC.org y desplácese hacia abajo hasta el formulario o envíe un correo electrónico a mitmod@gcrpc.org para enviar comentarios en línea.

GCRPC proporcionará acomodaciones razonables para las personas que asistan a las audiencias públicas de GCRPC. Las audiencias públicas se llevarán a cabo en inglés. Para preguntas sobre acomodaciones, solicitudes de intérpretes de idiomas o necesidades de comunicación, comuníquese con GCRPC al menos 72 horas antes de cada audiencia. Para solicitudes de acomodación o información adicional sobre esta publicación, llame al (361) 578-1587.

Revisa la MOD en [GCRPC.org](https://www.gcrpc.org) para obtener más información.

CONTACTO DE PRENSA:

Jenn Putman

jenniferp@gcrpc.org

361-578-1587 x312

Entity	Title	Name	Email
City Of Edna			abrzowski@cityofedna.com
City of Hallettsville	Mayor	Alice Jo Summers	ajsummers3196@att.net
Calhoun County			anna.goodman@calhouncotx.org atippit@portlavaca.org
United Way			bethany@unitedwaycrossroads.org
St. Peter's Lutheran Church			beyerfam@hughes.net
City of Yorktown	Mayor	Bill Baker	bill.baker@yorktowntx.gov bmatthys@cityofcuero.com
Detar Hospital			britney.scott@detar.com
Cuero Economic Development Corporation			bseiferman@cityofcuero.com
Victoria County	County Judge	Ben Zeller	bzeller@vctx.org
Bluebonnet Trails Community Services			CareCoordinators@bbtrails.org
City of Edna			chris.jackson@tigerrentals.com
Friends Of Elder Citizens (Jackson & Matagorda County)			christyelliott@foecinc.us
City of Yoakum			citymanager@cityofyoakum.org
City of Nixon	Mayor	Dorothy Riojas	citymanager@nixon.texas.gov
City of Nordheim	City Secretary	Katy Garcia	cityofnordheim@att.net citysec@cityofmoulton.com
City of Nixon	City Secretary	Tanya Torres	citysecretary@nixon.texas.gov
City of Ganado	Mayor	Clinton Tegeler	clintontegeler@yahoo.com
Goliad Indigent Health Office or Veteran Services			cmackey@goliadcountytexas.gov
City of Cuero			cmerzbacher@cityofcuero.com
DeWitt County			commish1@co.dewitt.tx.us
Lavaca County			commpct2@co.lavaca.tx.us
City of Yoakum	Mayor	Carl O'Neil	coneill@cityofyoakum.org
Gonzales County	County Judge	Patrick C. Davis	countyjudge089@co.gonzales.tx.us
Cuero Regional Hospital			cpap@papacek.net craig.hughes@att.net
City of Waelder			cwaelder@gvtc.com

City of Waelder	Mayor	Roy Tovar	cwaelder@gvtc.com; info@cityofwaelder.org
City of Victoria			cyouker@victoriatx.gov
Meals On Wheels Of Victoria			dan@mowvictoria.org
DeWitt County	County Judge	Daryl Fowler	daryl.fowler@co.dewitt.tx.us
Jackson County Hospital			dcoleman@jchd.org
Gonzales County			djohnson@co.gonzales.tx.us
			dlesak@victoriatx.org
United Way Jackson County			dmartino@inteplast.com
City of Seadrift	Mayor	Elmer DeForest	e.deforest@seadrifftx.org
City of Edna			efs1@att.net
Lavaca County			fairway2526@gmail.com
			garciamalmanza@yahoo.comdco
Calhoun County			gary.reese@calhouncotx.org
City Of Edna			gbroz@cityofedna.com
Gonzales County Christian Assistance Ministries			gcamdirector@yahoo.com
Gccoad			glenadry@gmail.com
City Of Hallettsville			hallettsvilleedc@gmail.com
Workforce Solutions Golden Crescent			henryguajardo@gcworkforce.org
Cuero Housing Authority			hope.ortiz@sbcgolbal.net
City of Waelder			info@cityofwaelder.org
Gonzales Housing Authority			info@gonzaleshousingauthority.com
Texas Appleseed			info@texasappleseed.net
City of Victoria	Mayor	Jeff Bauknight	jbauknight@victoriatx.org
			jcarpenter@portlavaca.org
			jdodson27@gmail.com
Gulf Bender Center			jeff1896@gulfbend.org
Victoria Chamber Of Commerce			jefflyon@victoriachamber.org
Victoria College			jennifer.kent@victoriacollege.edu
			jennifer@portofwestcalhoun.com
City of Victoria			jgarza@victoriatx.gov
			jhall@co.gonzales.tx.us

			jirkovskyd12@uhv.edu
Republic Services			jkeene@republicservices.com
			jmoreno@co.gonzales.tx.us
			johnd@tbardranch.com
			jonastitas@victoriaedc.com
Jackson County			jsklar@co.jackson.tx.us
			jsudik@jchd.org
Lavaca County	County Judge	Keith Mudd	judgemudd@co.lavaca.tx.us
			jwest@victoriatxoem.org
City of Port Lavaca	Mayor	Mayor Jack Whitlow	jwhitlow@portlavaca.org
Gonzales County Senior Citizens Association			karibreitschopf@yahoo.com
			kelly.phelps@co.dewitt.tx.us
Goliad County			kfagg@goliadcountytexas.gov
City of La Ward	Mayor	Mayor William R. Koch	koch_wr@yahoo.com
Gonzales County STRAC			ktlafleur@yahoo.com
			kyle.jacobson@strac.org
Lavaca County			kyledenney@co.lavaca.tx.us
			l.kallus@live.com
Calhoun County			ladonna.thigpen@calhouncotx.org
Mid-Coast Family Services			lduenes@midcoastfamily.org
Gulf Bend Crisis Services			leigha5310@gulfbend.org
			m.luera@co.jackson.tx.us
Jackson County	County Judge	Jill Sklar	m.matejek@co.jackson.tx.us
Cuero Home Health			margek@cuerohospital.org
			mari.0326@yahoo.com
Lavaca County Hallettsville Housing Authority			mark@kenedyhousing.info
City of Victoria			mark116@icloud.com
			mary.gleinser@goliadtx.net
City of Shiner	Mayor	Fred Hilscher	mayor.hilscher@shinertexas.gov
City of Cuero	Mayor	Sara Post-Meyer	mayor@cityofcuero.com
City of Goliad	Mayor	Brenda Moses	mayormosesofgoliad@goliadtx.net
City of Edna	Mayor	Lance Smiga	mayorsmiga@cityofedna.com

City of Yoakum			mbennett@cityofyoakum.org
Goliad County	County Judge	Mike Bennet	mbennett@goliadcountytx.gov
Victoria Metropolitan Planning Organization			mbergeron@victoriatx.gov
			mbeyer@goliadcountytx.gov
City of Waelder	City Secretary	Melinda Caballero	melindac@gvtc.com
Hope Of South Tx			mgumm@hopecenterstx.org
Hope Of South Texas (Victims Services)			monica@hopecenterstx.org
			monmac@tisd.net
			morrison77901@suddenlink.net
Yoakum Police Department			mpierman@cityofyoakum.org
City of Victoria			mrendon@victoriatx.gov
The Harbor			mwalton@theharborvc.org
City of Shiner	City Secretary	Natalie Fric	natalie.fric@shinertexas.gov
Vic Office of Emergency Management			oem@vctx.org
Nation Low Income Housing Coalition			outreach@nlihc.org
			p.guenther@co.jackson.tx.us
Lavaca-Navidad River Authority			pbrzozowski@lnra.org
Calhoun County			perezrb40@yahoo.com
Port O'Connor Mudd			pocpena@pocid.org
Mid-Coast Family Services			pross@midcoastfamily.org
Jackson County SWCD			pshoeaggie@yahoo.com
City of Goliad			ralanizcitycouncil@goliadtx.net
Victoria economic Development			raquelgarza@victoriaedc.com
Calhoun County Senior Citizens Association			rebecca@tisd.net
			resse1108@yahoo.com
Victoria County			reuben.ybarra@gmail.com
Calhoun County	County Judge	Richard Meyer	richard.meyer@calhouncotx.org

**Victoria County Ltrg Long Term
Recovery Group**

rick.villa.vcltrg@gmail.com

rknipling@vctx.org

Victoria County

rmcbrayer@victoriatoem.org

Victoria Housing Authority

rrivera@victoriahousing.org

Calhoun County

sara.rodriquez@calhouncotx.org

**Community Connections Of
Lavaca County**

sencit@co.lavaca.tx.us

City of Yoakum

sflessner@cityofyoakum.org

sgbone76@yahoo.com

shannon.longoria.glo@recovery.texas.gov

Goliad County

sheriff@goliadcountytexas.gov

**University Of Houston -
Victoria**

shookh@uhv.edu

**Lavaca-Navidad River
Authority**

sjohs@lnra.org

City of Point Comfort

Mayor

Stephen Lambden

slambden@pointcomfort.org

Waste Management

smart10@wm.com

Mid-Coast Family Services

smcintosh@midcoastfamily.org

City of Smiley

Mayor

Lisa Benavidez

smileytx@gvec.net

White Trash Services

snelson@whitetrashservices.com

City of Victoria

solo520esc@hotmail.com

spillerl@uthscsa.edu

Hallettsville Police Department

srangel@cityofhallettsville.org

City of Edna

srobinson@cityofedna.com

Yoakum City Housing Authority

srphol@sbcglobal.net

City of Gonzales

Mayor

Steve Sucher

ssucher@gonzales.texas.gov

swilson@mhm.org

Volunteers Of America

szrivera@voatx.org

City of Cuero

tallen@cityofcuero.com

**Calhoun-Port Lavaca Housing
authority**

taylor@tisd.net

			tcarroll@stevenshealthcare.com
City of Gonzales			tcrow@gonzales.texas.gov
			tdent@portlavaca.org
DeWitt County			terri.rogers@co.dewitt.tx.us
Mid-Coast Family Services			tharvey@midcoastfamily.org
Dewitt County Sheriff's Office			thomas.eisman@co.dewitt.tx.us
DeWitt County			timothy.poynter@co.dewitt.tx.us
Aarp Foundation			tperez@aarp.org
United Way Calhoun County			uwcc@tisd.net
			vern.lyssy@calhouncotx.org
Community Action Committee Of Victoria			vickismith@cacv.us
Victoria Long Term Recovery Group			victorialtrg@gmail.com
			w.bubela@co.jackson.tx.us
Mid-Coast Family Services			wduvall@midcoastfamily.org
			wgwendlandt@gmail.com
Victoria Sales Tax Development Corporation			wrb@wblanchard.com
City Of Port Lavaca			wshaffer@portlavac.org
			ybarbojoann@gmail.com
Yorktown Housing Authority			yhatx@sbcglobal.net
			youngle@uhv.edu
City of Moulton	Mayor	Mark Zimmerman	zim1958@att.net
City of Nordheim	Mayor	Katherine Payne	
Goliad Housing Authority			
Jackson County Moritz Village Apartments			
Devereux Victoria			
THHS Port Lavaca			
THHS Cuero			

THHS Victoria

Texas Rio Grande Legal Aid

**Calhoun County Senior Citizens
Center**

CITIES OF JACKSON CO

DeWitt County

Jackson County

PUBLISHER'S AFFIDAVIT

THE STATE OF TEXAS §
COUNTY OF CALHOUN §

SCHELLY BARTELS, being duly sworn on his/her oath states that he/she is an authorized representative of The Port Lavaca Wave and that said newspaper meets the requirements of Section 2051.044 of the Texas Government code, to wit:

1. It devotes not less than twenty-five percent (25%) of its total column lineage to general interest items;
2. It is published at least once each week;
3. It is entered as second-class postal matter in the county where it is published;
4. It has been published regularly and continuously since 1891;
5. It is generally circulated within Calhoun County.

(CLIPPING)(S)
2931

RECEIVED
MAY 12 2023
11 21 AM


Representative further deposes and says that the attached notice was published in said newspaper on the following date(s) to wit:

5/10, A.D. 2023

Shelly Bartels

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN BEFORE ME by
SCHELLY BARTELS

X a) is personally known to me, or
b) provided the following evidence to establish his or her identity _____



On this the 10 day of May, A.D. 2023,
to certify which witness my hand and seal of office

J Marek
Judy Marek
Notary Public, State of Texas

CLASSIFIEDS

950
PUBLIC NOTICES950
PUBLIC NOTICES950
PUBLIC NOTICES950
PUBLIC NOTICES950
PUBLIC NOTICES950
PUBLIC NOTICES950
PUBLIC NOTICES950
PUBLIC NOTICES950
PUBLIC NOTICES

CAUSE NO. PW23-0261

IN THE ESTATE OF
DAVID H. BURROW, DECEASED
IN THE COUNTY COURT OF
GONZALES COUNTY, TEXAS

NOTICE TO CREDITORS

Notice to All Persons Having Claims Against the Estate of David H. Burrow, Deceased. Notice is hereby given that on April 26, 2023 the Honorable County Court of Gonzales County, Texas entered an order admitting the Last Will of David H. Burrow to probate and appointing and issuing original Letters Testamentary to Justin D. Burrow and Christy Burrow Smidt as Independent Co-Executors of the Estate of David H. Burrow, Deceased, in Cause Number PW23-0261, which is currently pending upon the docket of said Court.

All persons having claims against this Estate, which is currently being administered, are required to present them within the time and in the manner prescribed by law to: Justin D. Burrow and Christy Burrow Smidt, Independent Co-Executors of the Estate of David H. Burrow, Deceased, c/o Victoria G. Whiddon, Sovany Law Firm, 3700 Buffalo Speedway, Suite 650, Houston, Texas 77098, Telephone Number: 713-300-5156, Fax No. 713-229-8545.

/s/ Victoria G. Whiddon, State Bar No: 24103474.

NOTICE FOR PROPOSALS

The Gonzales Central Appraisal District is seeking proposals for Group Health/Life/AD&D Insurance. A statement of the benefit specifications may be obtained from the district office free of charge.

Proposals must be received by the Gonzales Central Appraisal District office, Physical Address: 1709 E. Sarah Dewitt Drive, Unit B, Gonzales, Texas 78629, Mailing Address: P.O. Box 867, Gonzales, Texas 78629, no later than 12:00 Noon on Thursday, May 18, 2023.

All proposals must be addressed to Lauren Clampit, Chairman, Board of Directors. All proposals must be sealed and marked on the outside of the envelope "Group Health/Life/AD&D Insurance".

Proposals will be opened and the contract awarded at the Board of Directors meeting to be held at 5:30 p.m. on Thursday, May 18, 2023 at 1709 E. Sarah Dewitt Drive, Unit B, Gonzales, Texas. All persons submitting proposals are welcome to attend.

The Board reserves the right to reject any and all proposals. If a proposal is accepted it will be the proposal considered most advantageous to the Gonzales Central Appraisal District. All parties submitting proposals shall use lump sum pricing. The method of payment will not be more often than monthly from the District's General Operating Fund. No bond is required.


Notice to Creditors

Notice is hereby given that original Letters Testamentary for the Estate of WAYNE ARNOLD SIEPMANN, Deceased, were issued on April 12, 2023, in Cause No. PW23-0259, pending in the County Court of Gonzales County, Texas, to: KIMBERLY MARIE FALL.

All persons having claims against this Estate which is currently being administered are required to present them to the undersigned within the time and in the manner prescribed by law.

KIMBERLY MARIE FALL
c/o Norman L. Burns
Attorney at Law
1606 N. Sarah DeWitt Drive
Gonzales, TX 78629

Dated the 4th day of May, 2023.



NORMAN L. BURNS
Attorney for Kimberly Marie Fall
State Bar No.: 03448500
1606 N. Sarah DeWitt Drive
GONZALES, TX 78629
Telephone: (830) 672-9511
Facsimile: (830) 672-7638
E-mail: nburns@munsonburns.com

One Spot.
Lots of
Possibilities!



CARS, TRUCKS,
MOTORCYCLES & MORE



HOUSES FOR
SALE & FOR RENT



LOCAL & REGIONAL JOBS



PETS FOR ADOPTION



GARAGE SALES



BARGAINS GALORE

AND MUCH,
MUCH MORE.

Reach potential buyers
when you advertise
in the Classifieds!

Call
830-672-2861
or visit
www.gonzalesinquirer.com
to place your ad today!

Construction Advertisement and Invitation for Bids

The City of Nixon will receive bids for CDBG-MIT Plant Improvements, GLO Contract No. 22-085-071-D330; Parts 4 & 6 until 10:00 AM on Friday, May 19, 2023 at 302 E. Central Ave., Nixon, Texas 78140. The bids will be publicly opened and read aloud at 10:00 AM on Friday, May 19, 2023 at 302 E. Central Ave., Nixon, Texas 78140.

Bids are invited for several items of work as follows:

1. Addition of a stationary generator at Water Well No. 6
2. Addition of a stationary generator at the Wastewater Treatment Plant
3. Addition of autodialers to certain aspects of the Wastewater Treatment Plant

Bid/Contract Documents, including Drawings and Technical Specifications are on file at BEFCO Engineering, Inc., 485 N. Jefferson, La Grange, TX 78945 (979) 968-6474.

Copies of the Bid/Contract Documents may be obtained by depositing \$100.00 with the Engineer for each set of documents obtained. The deposit will not be refunded. Electronic copies may be obtained at no charge by request at office@befcoengineering.com.

A bid bond in the amount of 5 percent of the bid issued by an acceptable surety shall be submitted with each bid. A certified check or bank draft payable to the City of Nixon or negotiable U.S. Government Bonds (as par value) may be submitted in lieu of the Bid Bond.

Attention is called to the fact that not less than, the federally determined prevailing (Davis-Bacon and Related Acts) wage rate, as issued by the Department of Labor and contained in the contract documents, must be paid on this project. In addition, the successful bidder must ensure that employees and applicants for employment are not discriminated against because of race, color, religion, sex, sexual identity, gender identity, or national origin.

The City of Nixon reserves the right to reject any or all bids or to waive any informalities in the bidding. Bids may be held by City of Nixon for a period not to exceed 60 days from the date of the bid opening for the purpose of reviewing the bids and investigating the bidder's qualifications prior to the contract award.

City of Nixon
Name of Grant Recipient Harold Rice, City Manager Date

All contractors/subcontractors must not be debarred, suspended or otherwise excluded from or ineligible for participation in the System for Award Management (SAM.gov).

Construction Advertisement and Invitation for Bids

The City of Nixon will receive bids for CDBG-MIT Lift Station Improvements, GLO Contract No. 22-085-071-D330; Parts 3 & 5 until 10:30 AM on Friday, May 19, 2023 at 302 E. Central Ave., Nixon, Texas 78140. The bids will be publicly opened and read aloud at 10:30 AM on Friday, May 19, 2023 at 302 E. Central Ave., Nixon, Texas 78140.

Bids are invited for several items of work as follows:

1. Renovations to the 8th Avenue Wastewater Lift Station
2. Renovations to the Wastewater Treatment Plant Lift Station

Bid/Contract Documents, including Drawings and Technical Specifications are on file at BEFCO Engineering, Inc., 485 N. Jefferson, La Grange, TX 78945 (979) 968-6474.

Copies of the Bid/Contract Documents may be obtained by depositing \$100.00 with the Engineer for each set of documents obtained. The deposit will not be refunded. Electronic copies may be obtained at no charge by request at office@befcoengineering.com.

A bid bond in the amount of 5 percent of the bid issued by an acceptable surety shall be submitted with each bid. A certified check or bank draft payable to the City of Nixon or negotiable U.S. Government Bonds (as par value) may be submitted in lieu of the Bid Bond.

Attention is called to the fact that not less than, the federally determined prevailing (Davis-Bacon and Related Acts) wage rate, as issued by the Department of Labor and contained in the contract documents, must be paid on this project. In addition, the successful bidder must ensure that employees and applicants for employment are not discriminated against because of race, color, religion, sex, sexual identity, gender identity, or national origin.

The City of Nixon reserves the right to reject any or all bids or to waive any informalities in the bidding. Bids may be held by City of Nixon for a period not to exceed 60 days from the date of the bid opening for the purpose of reviewing the bids and investigating the bidder's qualifications prior to the contract award.

City of Nixon
Name of Grant Recipient Harold Rice, City Manager Date

All contractors/subcontractors must not be debarred, suspended or otherwise excluded from or ineligible for participation in the System for Award Management (SAM.gov).

Region to Receive Funding for Post-Harvey Disaster Mitigation

Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC), in cooperation with 27 area cities and counties, seeks your participation in finalizing one of the last major infusions of funding allocated in response to Hurricane Harvey. The public comment period runs through 12:00 PM, on May 16, 2023.

The funding comes from the federal Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and the Texas General Land Office (GLO), through the State of Texas CDBG Mitigation (CDBG-MIT) Action Plan: Building Stronger for a Resilient Future. Councils of Government (COG) across the region impacted by Hurricane Harvey in 2017 have received funds to help protect our communities from future natural disasters. GCRPC received \$80,317,000 to distribute across its seven-county jurisdiction. HUD/GLO require 50% of each funding allotment to benefit persons with low- to moderate-income (LMI).

GCRPC has run the opportunity through three previous rounds of public commentary in an effort to equitably share resources in our region, according to the opinions and priorities of the people who live here. Allocations to county and city governments were determined based on four distribution factors: population, persons with low- to moderate-income (LMI), Social Vulnerability Index scores, and FEMA damage assessments.

After months of collaboration between GCRPC, GLO, and our local governments, we're pleased to present this latest set of numbers. Chief elected officials from all 27 cities and counties have accepted the funds; with our community's approval, we will move to finalize the allocation of that \$80,317,000 within the Golden Crescent region.

Participate in person, by mail, or online:

- Join us Wednesday, May 10th, at 5pm at the GCRPC offices in Laurent Tower, on the 6th floor of 1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600, Victoria, Texas, 77901.
- Mail comments to Attn: Jenn Putman, Member Services Coordinator, 1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600, Victoria, Texas, 77901.
- Visit GCRPC.org and scroll down to the form or email mitmod@gcrpc.org to submit comments online.

GCRPC will provide for reasonable accommodations for persons attending GCRPC public hearings. Public hearings will be conducted in English. To ask about accommodations, requests for language interpreters, or communication needs contact GCRPC at least 72 hours prior to each hearing. For accommodation requests or additional information about this posting, please call (361) 578-1587.

Review the MOD at GCRPC.org to learn more.

PRESS CONTACT:
Jenn Putman
jenniferp@gcrpc.org
361-578-1587 x312

Región recibirá financiamiento para mitigación de desastres post-Harvey

La Comisión de Planificación Regional Golden Crescent (GCRPC), en colaboración con 27 ciudades y condados de la zona, solicita su participación en la finalización de una de las últimas grandes infusiones de financiamiento asignadas en respuesta al huracán Harvey. El período de comentarios públicos se extiende hasta las 12:00 PM del 16 de mayo de 2023.

Los fondos provienen del Departamento Federal de Vivienda y Desarrollo Urbano (HUD) y de la Oficina General de Tierras de Texas (GLO), a través del Plan de Acción CDBG Mitigación (CDBG-MIT) del Estado de Texas: Construyendo más fuerte para un futuro resistente. Los Consejos de Gobierno (COG) en la región afectada por el huracán Harvey en 2017 han recibido fondos para ayudar a proteger nuestras comunidades de futuros desastres naturales. GCRPC recibió \$80,317,000 para distribuir en su jurisdicción de siete condados. HUD/GLO requieren que el 50% de cada asignación de fondos beneficie a personas con ingresos bajos a moderados (LMI).

GCRPC ha pasado la oportunidad por tres rondas previas de comentarios públicos en un esfuerzo por compartir equitativamente los recursos en nuestra región, de acuerdo con las opiniones y prioridades de las personas que viven aquí. Las asignaciones a gobiernos de condados y ciudades se determinaron en base a cuatro factores de distribución: población, personas con ingresos bajos a moderados (LMI), puntajes del Índice de Vulnerabilidad Social y evaluaciones de daños de FEMA.

Después de meses de colaboración entre GCRPC, GLO y nuestros gobiernos locales, nos complace presentar este último conjunto de números. Los electos principales de gobierno de las 27 ciudades y condados han aceptado los fondos; con la aprobación de nuestra comunidad, procederemos a finalizar la asignación de esos \$80,317,000 dentro de la región Golden Crescent.

Participe en persona, por correo o en línea:

- Únase a nosotros el miércoles 10 de mayo a las 5 pm en las oficinas de GCRPC en Laurent Tower, en el sexto piso de 1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600, Victoria, Texas, 77901.
- Envíe comentarios por correo a Attn: Jenn Putman, Coordinadora de Servicios para Miembros, 1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600, Victoria, Texas, 77901.
- Visite GCRPC.org y desplácese hacia abajo hasta el formulario o envíe un correo electrónico a mitmod@gcrpc.org para enviar comentarios en línea.

GCRPC proporcionará acomodaciones razonables para las personas que asistan a las audiencias públicas de GCRPC. Las audiencias públicas se llevarán a cabo en inglés. Para preguntas sobre acomodaciones, solicitudes de intérpretes de idiomas o necesidades de comunicación, comuníquese con GCRPC al menos 72 horas antes de cada audiencia. Para solicitudes de acomodación o información adicional sobre esta publicación, llame al (361) 578-1587.

Revisa la MOD en GCRPC.org para obtener más información.

CONTACTO DE PRENSA:
Jenn Putman
jenniferp@gcrpc.org
361-578-1587 x312

YOUR
NEWS.
YOUR
WAY.

Find out
what
all your
friends
are talking
about

Follow us on
Facebook for
easy access to
The Gonzales
Inquirer!

f GONZALESINQUIRER

VISIT US ONLINE AT
GONZALESINQUIRER.COM

Public Notice



Jennifer Putman

To Jennifer Putman

Bcc: ● pwilliams@vicad.com; ● kamry@cuerorecord.com; ● sales5@mysoutex.com; ● sanya.simmons@gonzalesinquirer.com; ● advertising@jacksonconews.com; ● tribuneherald@sbcglobal.net; ● caguilar@plwave.com; ● revista@att.net

You replied to this message on 5/8/2023 8:23 AM.
This message was sent with High importance.



GCRPC MIT-MOD, 7 June 2023 | Pg. 130
 Reply Reply All Forward

Thu 5/4/2023 3:54 PM

Good afternoon!

I'm managing some of the regional government funding opportunities here at GCRPC, and our funder requires we post **public** notices. I'd like the attached to run in the Sunday paper, but if your journalists might be interested in running a larger story, I'd love to chat. It's an exciting funds package that will be a big help in preparing our communities for future disasters like another Hurricane Harvey or otherwise.

If y'all can run this, could I please get a quote for the posting?

I will also need a publisher's affidavit.

Thank you!



Jenn Putman

Member Services Coordinator

Office 361.578.1587, ext. 312

www.gcrpc.org | Follow us on:

Confidentiality Note

This email may contain information that is confidential or privileged and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. The information is intended to be solely for the use of the recipient(s) named in the email. If you are not an intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this information is without authorization and prohibited. If you receive this email in error, please notify us by return email and delete this email immediately.

RE: Public Notice



Jennifer Putman

To Jennifer Putman

Bcc pwilliams@vicad.com; kamry@cuerorecord.com; sales5@mysoutex.com; advertising@jacksonconews.com; tribuneherald@sbcglobal.net



Reply

Reply All

Forward



GCRPC MIT-MOD, 7 June 2023, Pg. 131

Mon 5/8/2023 8:24 AM

Good morning! Just following up on the below ad copy -



Jenn Putman

Member Services Coordinator

Office 361.578.1587, ext. 312

www.gcrpc.org | Follow us on:

Confidentiality Note

This email may contain information that is confidential or privileged and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. The information is intended to be solely for the use of the recipient(s) named in the email. If you are not an intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this information is without authorization and prohibited. If you receive this email in error, please notify us by return email and delete this email immediately.

From: Jennifer Putman

Sent: Thursday, May 4, 2023 3:54 PM

To: Jennifer Putman <jenniferp@gcrpc.org>

Subject: Public Notice

Importance: High

Good afternoon!

I'm managing some of the regional government funding opportunities here at GCRPC, and our funder requires we post public notices. I'd like the attached to run in the Sunday paper, but if your journalists might be interested in running a larger story, I'd love to chat. It's an exciting funds package that will be a big help in preparing our communities for future disasters like another Hurricane Harvey or otherwise.

If y'all can run this, could I please get a quote for the posting?

I will also need a publisher's affidavit.

Thank you!



Jenn Putman

Member Services Coordinator

Office 361.578.1587, ext. 312

www.gcrpc.org | Follow us on:

Confidentiality Note

This email may contain information that is confidential or privileged and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. The information is intended to be solely for the use of the recipient(s) named in the email. If you are not an intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this information is without authorization and prohibited. If you receive this email in error, please notify us by return email and delete this email immediately.

Updates

Timeline with detail

- 19 Sept 2022: Public Hearing, Calhoun County
- 20 Sept 2022: Public Hearing, Jackson County
 - o As of this date: Distribution: 40-60, Population-LMI
- 21 Sept 2022: Public Hearing, Victoria County
- 26 Sept 2022: Public Hearing, Gonzales County
- 27 Sept 2022: Public Hearing, Goliad County
- 28 Sept 2022: Public Hearing, DeWitt County
- 09 Nov 2022: Feedback from the hearings/comments were taken to the Board of Directors as proposed adjustments.
- 17 Nov 2022: GLO provided corrections to the datasets and GCRPC made those corrections and resubmitted.
 - o Distribution: 40-60, Population-LMI
- 21 Nov 2022: Those data corrections were provided back to the Board of Directors, and an informal vote occurred.
- 22 Nov 2022: GCRPC requested to retract the preliminary MIT MOD and replace it with a Second Draft version based on the Board's informal vote on 22 Nov.
- 09 Dec 2022: A Board of Directors meeting was scheduled but canceled due to improper notice.
- 12 Dec 2022: This Board meeting was rescheduled to 12 Dec, where the Board made their final vote.
- 13 Dec 2022: GCRPC submitted the approved draft spreadsheet and GLO responded with data revisions same-day.
- 15 Dec 2023: GCRPC made the necessary revisions and submitted back to the GLO and received their approval same-day.
- 28 Dec 2022 – 12 Jan 2023: The approved spreadsheet was posted for public comment on GCRPC's website.
- 01 Feb 2023: Board meeting, where the Board was asked to approve a Resolution to provide final submission to the GLO. GCRPC did not provide the board with a copy of the approved spreadsheet on 01 Feb 2023.
- 01 Feb 2023: BOD approved and signed a Resolution.
- 08 Feb 2023: GLO requires returned and signed copies of Funding Acknowledgement Letters from each entity prior to final MOD submission. GCRPC mailed Funding Acknowledgement Letters to each entity on 08 Feb. The deadline to return those letters signed is 22 Feb.
- 07 Mar 2023: First allocation worksheet submission to second, per GLO/Alex Swift's comments:
 - o Allocation worksheet
 - Update the labels in row 2:
 - "SOVL" should be "SoVI"
 - "FEMA" should be "FEMA damage assessment"
 - Remove the floor calculation section, as it was not used (columns X, Y, and Z)
 - Take the calculations and insert them into the summary sheet attached. As a reminder, the final totals need to be rounded to the nearest \$100.
 - o Summary Sheet
 - Update the distribution factors on page 3
 - Update the distribution factors on page 6

- Include information for all hearings held on pages 7 and 8
- Data updated between 3/15 and 3/24 allocation worksheet submissions
- 17 Apr 2023: Staff change-over from Rebecca Spears to Jennifer Putman
- 1 May 2023: Open Public Comment Period
- 10 May 2023: Public Meeting, no comments
- 16 May 2023: Close of Public Comment Period

Funding Acknowledgment

Entity	Title	Name	Email	Mailed Round 3	Emailed Round 3	d Round 3 Acknowled	Accepted?
Calhoun County	County Judge	Richard Meyer	richard.meyer@calhouncotx.org	18-Apr-23	18-Apr-23	27-Apr-23	Yes
Calhoun County 77979	Commissioner	Gary Reese	gary.reese@calhouncotx.org		26-Apr-23	27-Apr-23	Yes
City of Cuero	Mayor	Sara Post-Meyer	mayor@cityofcuero.com	18-Apr-23	18-Apr-23	20-Apr-23	Yes
City of Edna	Mayor	Lance Smiga	mayorsmiga@cityofedna.com	18-Apr-23	18-Apr-23	26-Apr-23	Yes
City of Ganado	Mayor	Clinton Tegeler	clintontegeler@yahoo.com	18-Apr-23	18-Apr-23	2-May-23	Yes
City of Goliad	Mayor	Brenda Moses	mayormosesofgoliad@goliadtx.net	18-Apr-23	18-Apr-23	20-Apr-23	Yes
City of Gonzales	Mayor	Steve Sucher	ssucher@gonzales.texas.gov	18-Apr-23	18-Apr-23	26-Apr-23	Yes
City of Hallettsville	Mayor	Alice Jo Summers	ajsummers3196@att.net	18-Apr-23	18-Apr-23	26-Apr-23	Yes
City of La Ward	Mayor	Mayor William R. Koch	koch_wr@yahoo.com	18-Apr-23	18-Apr-23	27-Apr-23	Yes
City of Moulton	Mayor	Mark Zimmerman	zim1958@att.net	18-Apr-23	18-Apr-23	2-May-23	Yes
City of Nixon	Mayor	Dorothy Riojas	citymanager@nixon.texas.gov	18-Apr-23	18-Apr-23	24-Apr-23	Yes
City of Nordheim	Mayor	Katherine Payne		18-Apr-23	18-Apr-23	19-Apr-23	Yes
City of Point Comfort	Mayor	Stephen Lambden	slambden@pointcomfort.org	18-Apr-23	18-Apr-23	26-Apr-23	Yes
City of Port Lavaca	Mayor	Mayor Jack Whitlow	jwhitlow@portlavaca.org	18-Apr-23	18-Apr-23	24-Apr-23	Yes
City of Seadrift	Mayor	Elmer DeForest	e.deforest@seadrifftx.org	18-Apr-23	18-Apr-23	28-Apr-23	Yes
City of Shiner	Mayor	Fred Hilscher	mayor.hilscher@shinertexas.gov	18-Apr-23	18-Apr-23	24-Apr-23	Yes
City of Smiley	Mayor	Lisa Benavidez	smileytx@gvec.net	18-Apr-23	18-Apr-23	20-Apr-23	Yes
City of Victoria	Mayor	Jeff Bauknight	jbauknight@victoriatx.org	18-Apr-23	18-Apr-23	2-May-23	Yes
City of Waelder	Mayor	Roy Tovar	cwaelder@gvtc.com; info@cityofwaelder.org	18-Apr-23	18-Apr-23	25-Apr-23	Yes
City of Yoakum	Mayor	Carl O'Neil	coneill@cityofyoakum.org	18-Apr-23	18-Apr-23	26-Apr-23	Yes
City of Yorktown	Mayor	Bill Baker	bill.baker@yorktowntx.gov	18-Apr-23	18-Apr-23	27-Apr-23	Yes
DeWitt County	County Judge	Daryl Fowler	daryl.fowler@co.dewitt.tx.us	18-Apr-23	18-Apr-23	2-May-23	Yes
Goliad County	County Judge	Mike Bennet	mbennett@goliadcountytexas.gov	18-Apr-23	18-Apr-23	18-Apr-23	Yes
Gonzales County	County Judge	Patrick C. Davis	countyjudge089@co.gonzales.tx.us	18-Apr-23	18-Apr-23	27-Apr-23	Yes
Jackson County	County Judge	Jill Sklar	m.matejek@co.jackson.tx.us	18-Apr-23	18-Apr-23	25-Apr-23	Yes
Lavaca County	County Judge	Keith Mudd	judgemudd@co.lavaca.tx.us	18-Apr-23	18-Apr-23	28-Apr-23	Yes
Victoria County	County Judge	Ben Zeller	bzeller@vctx.org	18-Apr-23	18-Apr-23	2-May-23	Yes
Total						100.00%	



GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
GOLDEN CRESCENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600A Victoria, Texas 77901 🏠
 361.578.1587 📞 361.578.8865 📠

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

President

Josephine E. Soliz
 Mayor Pro Tem, City of Victoria

1st Vice-President

Alonzo Morales
 Commissioner, Goliad County

2nd Vice-President

Tony Allen
 Councilman, City of Cuero

3rd Vice-President

Alice Jo Summers
 Mayor, City of Hallettsville

Secretary-Treasurer

Robin Alaniz
 Alderwoman, City of Goliad

Director-at-Large

Chris Jackson
 Councilman, City of Edna

Director-at-Large

Julio Espinosa
 Municipal Judge, Edna

Director-at-Large

Jeffery Tunnell
 Executive Director, Gulf Bend
 Center

Immediate Past President

Kevin LaFleur
 Commissioner, Gonzales
 County

Deputy Executive Director

Cindy Skarpa

Executive Director

Michael Ada

April 20, 2023

RE: Regional Mitigation Program - GCRPC MOD Funding Notification

Dear Hon. Mayor Stephen Lambden:

The Texas General Land Office (GLO) allocated \$80,317,000 to Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) to develop a method of distribution (MOD) for the Regional Mitigation Program as part of the State of Texas CDBG Mitigation Action Plan as amended. Through the GCRPC MOD, City of Point Comfort is included as a recipient of funding in the amount of **\$663,200.00**. This amount is subject to change until final MOD approval is received by the GLO. Due to CDBG-MIT grant requirements, the state is required to reach a certain threshold tied to benefitting low- and moderate-income (LMI) persons, therefore **\$331,600.00** of your funding must be used for projects that benefit LMI persons in your community. The MOD is currently posted for and available at www.gcrpc.org for review.

To accept or decline the funds allocated through the MOD, please sign and return the attached form to GCRPC by **Wednesday, May 3, 2023**. Once the GLO approves the final MOD, the GLO will post application information at <https://recovery.texas.gov/mitigation/programs/regional-mitigation/index.html>.

Please note that declining to participate in the COG MOD may exclude City of Point Comfort if there are any future allocations or reallocations of funds through the Regional Mitigation Program. It is recommended each entity officially involve their city council, county commissioners court or other governing board in the decision to accept or decline funds.

Sincerely,

Michael Ada

Executive Director



GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
GOLDEN CRESCENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600A Victoria, Texas 77901 🏠

361.578.1587 📞 361.578.8865 📠

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

President

Josephine E. Soliz
 Mayor Pro Tem, City of Victoria

1st Vice-President

Alonzo Morales
 Commissioner, Goliad County

2nd Vice-President

Tony Allen
 Councilman, City of Cuero

3rd Vice-President

Alice Jo Summers
 Mayor, City of Hallettsville

Secretary-Treasurer

Robin Alaniz
 Alderwoman, City of Goliad

Director-at-Large

Chris Jackson
 Councilman, City of Edna

Director-at-Large

Julio Espinosa
 Municipal Judge, Edna

Director-at-Large

Jeffery Tunnell
 Executive Director, Gulf Bend
 Center

Immediate Past President

Kevin LaFleur
 Commissioner, Gonzales
 County

Deputy Executive Director

Cindy Skarpa

Executive Director

Michael Ada

April 20, 2023

RE: Regional Mitigation Program - GCRPC MOD Funding Notification

Dear Hon. Mayor Mayor William R. Koch:

The Texas General Land Office (GLO) allocated \$80,317,000 to Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) to develop a method of distribution (MOD) for the Regional Mitigation Program as part of the State of Texas CDBG Mitigation Action Plan as amended. Through the GCRPC MOD, City of La Ward is included as a recipient of funding in the amount of **\$853,100.00**. This amount is subject to change until final MOD approval is received by the GLO. Due to CDBG-MIT grant requirements, the state is required to reach a certain threshold tied to benefitting low- and moderate-income (LMI) persons, therefore **\$426,550.00** of your funding must be used for projects that benefit LMI persons in your community. The MOD is currently posted for and available at www.gcrpc.org for review.

To accept or decline the funds allocated through the MOD, please sign and return the attached form to GCRPC by **Wednesday, May 3, 2023**. Once the GLO approves the final MOD, the GLO will post application information at <https://recovery.texas.gov/mitigation/programs/regional-mitigation/index.html>.

Please note that declining to participate in the COG MOD may exclude City of La Ward if there are any future allocations or reallocations of funds through the Regional Mitigation Program. It is recommended each entity officially involve their city council, county commissioners court or other governing board in the decision to accept or decline funds.

Sincerely,

Michael Ada

Executive Director



GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
GOLDEN CRESCENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600A Victoria, Texas 77901 🏠
 361.578.1587 📞 361.578.8865 📠

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

President

Josephine E. Soliz
 Mayor Pro Tem, City of Victoria

1st Vice-President

Alonzo Morales
 Commissioner, Goliad County

2nd Vice-President

Tony Allen
 Councilman, City of Cuero

3rd Vice-President

Alice Jo Summers
 Mayor, City of Hallettsville

Secretary-Treasurer

Robin Alaniz
 Alderwoman, City of Goliad

Director-at-Large

Chris Jackson
 Councilman, City of Edna

Director-at-Large

Julio Espinosa
 Municipal Judge, Edna

Director-at-Large

Jeffery Tunnell
 Executive Director, Gulf Bend
 Center

Immediate Past President

Kevin LaFleur
 Commissioner, Gonzales
 County

Deputy Executive Director

Cindy Skarpa

Executive Director

Michael Ada

April 20, 2023

RE: Regional Mitigation Program - GCRPC MOD Funding Notification

Dear Hon. Mayor Fred Hilscher:

The Texas General Land Office (GLO) allocated \$80,317,000 to Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) to develop a method of distribution (MOD) for the Regional Mitigation Program as part of the State of Texas CDBG Mitigation Action Plan as amended. Through the GCRPC MOD, City of Shiner is included as a recipient of funding in the amount of **\$946,600.00**. This amount is subject to change until final MOD approval is received by the GLO. Due to CDBG-MIT grant requirements, the state is required to reach a certain threshold tied to benefitting low- and moderate-income (LMI) persons, therefore **\$473,300.00** of your funding must be used for projects that benefit LMI persons in your community. The MOD is currently posted for and available at www.gcrpc.org for review.

To accept or decline the funds allocated through the MOD, please sign and return the attached form to GCRPC by **Wednesday, May 3, 2023**. Once the GLO approves the final MOD, the GLO will post application information at <https://recovery.texas.gov/mitigation/programs/regional-mitigation/index.html>.

Please note that declining to participate in the COG MOD may exclude City of Shiner if there are any future allocations or reallocations of funds through the Regional Mitigation Program. It is recommended each entity officially involve their city council, county commissioners court or other governing board in the decision to accept or decline funds.

Sincerely,

Michael Ada

Executive Director



GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
GOLDEN CRESCENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600A Victoria, Texas 77901 🏠
 361.578.1587 📞 361.578.8865 📠

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

President

Josephine E. Soliz
 Mayor Pro Tem, City of Victoria

1st Vice-President

Alonzo Morales
 Commissioner, Goliad County

2nd Vice-President

Tony Allen
 Councilman, City of Cuero

3rd Vice-President

Alice Jo Summers
 Mayor, City of Hallettsville

Secretary-Treasurer

Robin Alaniz
 Alderwoman, City of Goliad

Director-at-Large

Chris Jackson
 Councilman, City of Edna

Director-at-Large

Julio Espinosa
 Municipal Judge, Edna

Director-at-Large

Jeffery Tunnell
 Executive Director, Gulf Bend
 Center

Immediate Past President

Kevin LaFleur
 Commissioner, Gonzales
 County

Deputy Executive Director

Cindy Skarpa

Executive Director

Michael Ada

April 20, 2023

RE: Regional Mitigation Program - GCRPC MOD Funding Notification

Dear Hon. Mayor Mark Zimmerman:

The Texas General Land Office (GLO) allocated \$80,317,000 to Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) to develop a method of distribution (MOD) for the Regional Mitigation Program as part of the State of Texas CDBG Mitigation Action Plan as amended. Through the GCRPC MOD, City of Moulton is included as a recipient of funding in the amount of **\$962,400.00**. This amount is subject to change until final MOD approval is received by the GLO. Due to CDBG-MIT grant requirements, the state is required to reach a certain threshold tied to benefitting low- and moderate-income (LMI) persons, therefore **\$481,200.00** of your funding must be used for projects that benefit LMI persons in your community. The MOD is currently posted for and available at www.gcrpc.org for review.

To accept or decline the funds allocated through the MOD, please sign and return the attached form to GCRPC by **Wednesday, May 3, 2023**. Once the GLO approves the final MOD, the GLO will post application information at <https://recovery.texas.gov/mitigation/programs/regional-mitigation/index.html>.

Please note that declining to participate in the COG MOD may exclude City of Moulton if there are any future allocations or reallocations of funds through the Regional Mitigation Program. It is recommended each entity officially involve their city council, county commissioners court or other governing board in the decision to accept or decline funds.

Sincerely,

Michael Ada

Executive Director



GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
GOLDEN CRESCENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600A Victoria, Texas 77901 🏠

361.578.1587 📞 361.578.8865 📠

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

President

Josephine E. Soliz
 Mayor Pro Tem, City of Victoria

1st Vice-President

Alonzo Morales
 Commissioner, Goliad County

2nd Vice-President

Tony Allen
 Councilman, City of Cuero

3rd Vice-President

Alice Jo Summers
 Mayor, City of Hallettsville

Secretary-Treasurer

Robin Alaniz
 Alderwoman, City of Goliad

Director-at-Large

Chris Jackson
 Councilman, City of Edna

Director-at-Large

Julio Espinosa
 Municipal Judge, Edna

Director-at-Large

Jeffery Tunnell
 Executive Director, Gulf Bend
 Center

Immediate Past President

Kevin LaFleur
 Commissioner, Gonzales
 County

Deputy Executive Director

Cindy Skarpa

Executive Director

Michael Ada

April 20, 2023

RE: Regional Mitigation Program - GCRPC MOD Funding Notification

Dear Hon. Mayor Bill Baker:

The Texas General Land Office (GLO) allocated \$80,317,000 to Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) to develop a method of distribution (MOD) for the Regional Mitigation Program as part of the State of Texas CDBG Mitigation Action Plan as amended. Through the GCRPC MOD, City of Yorktown is included as a recipient of funding in the amount of **\$1,209,700.00**. This amount is subject to change until final MOD approval is received by the GLO. Due to CDBG-MIT grant requirements, the state is required to reach a certain threshold tied to benefitting low- and moderate-income (LMI) persons, therefore **\$604,850.00** of your funding must be used for projects that benefit LMI persons in your community. The MOD is currently posted for and available at www.gcrpc.org for review.

To accept or decline the funds allocated through the MOD, please sign and return the attached form to GCRPC by **Wednesday, May 3, 2023**. Once the GLO approves the final MOD, the GLO will post application information at <https://recovery.texas.gov/mitigation/programs/regional-mitigation/index.html>.

Please note that declining to participate in the COG MOD may exclude City of Yorktown if there are any future allocations or reallocations of funds through the Regional Mitigation Program. It is recommended each entity officially involve their city council, county commissioners court or other governing board in the decision to accept or decline funds.

Sincerely,

Michael Ada

Executive Director



GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
GOLDEN CRESCENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600A Victoria, Texas 77901 🏠

361.578.1587 📞 361.578.8865 📠

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

President

Josephine E. Soliz
 Mayor Pro Tem, City of Victoria

1st Vice-President

Alonzo Morales
 Commissioner, Goliad County

2nd Vice-President

Tony Allen
 Councilman, City of Cuero

3rd Vice-President

Alice Jo Summers
 Mayor, City of Hallettsville

Secretary-Treasurer

Robin Alaniz
 Alderwoman, City of Goliad

Director-at-Large

Chris Jackson
 Councilman, City of Edna

Director-at-Large

Julio Espinosa
 Municipal Judge, Edna

Director-at-Large

Jeffery Tunnell
 Executive Director, Gulf Bend
 Center

Immediate Past President

Kevin LaFleur
 Commissioner, Gonzales
 County

Deputy Executive Director

Cindy Skarpa

Executive Director

Michael Ada

April 20, 2023

RE: Regional Mitigation Program - GCRPC MOD Funding Notification

Dear Hon. County Judge Daryl Fowler:

The Texas General Land Office (GLO) allocated \$80,317,000 to Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) to develop a method of distribution (MOD) for the Regional Mitigation Program as part of the State of Texas CDBG Mitigation Action Plan as amended. Through the GCRPC MOD, DeWitt County is included as a recipient of funding in the amount of **\$1,337,900.00**. This amount is subject to change until final MOD approval is received by the GLO. Due to CDBG-MIT grant requirements, the state is required to reach a certain threshold tied to benefitting low- and moderate-income (LMI) persons, therefore **\$668,950.00** of your funding must be used for projects that benefit LMI persons in your community. The MOD is currently posted for and available at www.gcrpc.org for review.

To accept or decline the funds allocated through the MOD, please sign and return the attached form to GCRPC by **Wednesday, May 3, 2023**. Once the GLO approves the final MOD, the GLO will post application information at <https://recovery.texas.gov/mitigation/programs/regional-mitigation/index.html>.

Please note that declining to participate in the COG MOD may exclude DeWitt County if there are any future allocations or reallocations of funds through the Regional Mitigation Program. It is recommended each entity officially involve their city council, county commissioners court or other governing board in the decision to accept or decline funds.

Sincerely,

Michael Ada

Executive Director



GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
GOLDEN CRESCENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600A Victoria, Texas 77901

361.578.1587  361.578.8865 

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

President

Josephine E. Soliz
 Mayor Pro Tem, City of Victoria

1st Vice-President

Alonzo Morales
 Commissioner, Goliad County

2nd Vice-President

Tony Allen
 Councilman, City of Cuero

3rd Vice-President

Alice Jo Summers
 Mayor, City of Hallettsville

Secretary-Treasurer

Robin Alaniz
 Alderwoman, City of Goliad

Director-at-Large

Chris Jackson
 Councilman, City of Edna

Director-at-Large

Julio Espinosa
 Municipal Judge, Edna

Director-at-Large

Jeffery Tunnell
 Executive Director, Gulf Bend
 Center

Immediate Past President

Kevin LaFleur
 Commissioner, Gonzales
 County

Deputy Executive Director

Cindy Skarpa

Executive Director

Michael Ada

April 20, 2023

RE: Regional Mitigation Program - GCRPC MOD Funding Notification

Dear Hon. County Judge Richard Meyer:

The Texas General Land Office (GLO) allocated \$80,317,000 to Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) to develop a method of distribution (MOD) for the Regional Mitigation Program as part of the State of Texas CDBG Mitigation Action Plan as amended. Through the GCRPC MOD, Calhoun County is included as a recipient of funding in the amount of **\$1,353,700.00**. This amount is subject to change until final MOD approval is received by the GLO. Due to CDBG-MIT grant requirements, the state is required to reach a certain threshold tied to benefitting low- and moderate-income (LMI) persons, therefore **\$676,850.00** of your funding must be used for projects that benefit LMI persons in your community. The MOD is currently posted for and available at www.gcrpc.org for review.

To accept or decline the funds allocated through the MOD, please sign and return the attached form to GCRPC by **Wednesday, May 3, 2023**. Once the GLO approves the final MOD, the GLO will post application information at <https://recovery.texas.gov/mitigation/programs/regional-mitigation/index.html>.

Please note that declining to participate in the COG MOD may exclude Calhoun County if there are any future allocations or reallocations of funds through the Regional Mitigation Program. It is recommended each entity officially involve their city council, county commissioners court or other governing board in the decision to accept or decline funds.

Sincerely,

Michael Ada

Executive Director



GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
GOLDEN CRESCENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600A Victoria, Texas 77901 🏠
 361.578.1587 📞 361.578.8865 📠

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

President

Josephine E. Soliz
 Mayor Pro Tem, City of Victoria

1st Vice-President

Alonzo Morales
 Commissioner, Goliad County

2nd Vice-President

Tony Allen
 Councilman, City of Cuero

3rd Vice-President

Alice Jo Summers
 Mayor, City of Hallettsville

Secretary-Treasurer

Robin Alaniz
 Alderwoman, City of Goliad

Director-at-Large

Chris Jackson
 Councilman, City of Edna

Director-at-Large

Julio Espinosa
 Municipal Judge, Edna

Director-at-Large

Jeffery Tunnell
 Executive Director, Gulf Bend
 Center

Immediate Past President

Kevin LaFleur
 Commissioner, Gonzales
 County

Deputy Executive Director

Cindy Skarpa

Executive Director

Michael Ada

April 20, 2023

RE: Regional Mitigation Program - GCRPC MOD Funding Notification

Dear Hon. Mayor Roy Tovar:

The Texas General Land Office (GLO) allocated \$80,317,000 to Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) to develop a method of distribution (MOD) for the Regional Mitigation Program as part of the State of Texas CDBG Mitigation Action Plan as amended. Through the GCRPC MOD, City of Waelder is included as a recipient of funding in the amount of **\$1,360,600.00**. This amount is subject to change until final MOD approval is received by the GLO. Due to CDBG-MIT grant requirements, the state is required to reach a certain threshold tied to benefitting low- and moderate-income (LMI) persons, therefore **\$680,300.00** of your funding must be used for projects that benefit LMI persons in your community. The MOD is currently posted for and available at www.gcrpc.org for review.

To accept or decline the funds allocated through the MOD, please sign and return the attached form to GCRPC by **Wednesday, May 3, 2023**. Once the GLO approves the final MOD, the GLO will post application information at <https://recovery.texas.gov/mitigation/programs/regional-mitigation/index.html>.

Please note that declining to participate in the COG MOD may exclude City of Waelder if there are any future allocations or reallocations of funds through the Regional Mitigation Program. It is recommended each entity officially involve their city council, county commissioners court or other governing board in the decision to accept or decline funds.

Sincerely,

Michael Ada

Executive Director



GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
GOLDEN CRESCENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600A Victoria, Texas 77901

361.578.1587  361.578.8865 

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

President

Josephine E. Soliz
 Mayor Pro Tem, City of Victoria

1st Vice-President

Alonzo Morales
 Commissioner, Goliad County

2nd Vice-President

Tony Allen
 Councilman, City of Cuero

3rd Vice-President

Alice Jo Summers
 Mayor, City of Hallettsville

Secretary-Treasurer

Robin Alaniz
 Alderwoman, City of Goliad

Director-at-Large

Chris Jackson
 Councilman, City of Edna

Director-at-Large

Julio Espinosa
 Municipal Judge, Edna

Director-at-Large

Jeffery Tunnell
 Executive Director, Gulf Bend
 Center

Immediate Past President

Kevin LaFleur
 Commissioner, Gonzales
 County

Deputy Executive Director

Cindy Skarpa

Executive Director

Michael Ada

April 20, 2023

RE: Regional Mitigation Program - GCRPC MOD Funding Notification

Dear Hon. Mayor Katherine Payne:

The Texas General Land Office (GLO) allocated \$80,317,000 to Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) to develop a method of distribution (MOD) for the Regional Mitigation Program as part of the State of Texas CDBG Mitigation Action Plan as amended. Through the GCRPC MOD, City of Nordheim is included as a recipient of funding in the amount of **\$1,385,400.00**. This amount is subject to change until final MOD approval is received by the GLO. Due to CDBG-MIT grant requirements, the state is required to reach a certain threshold tied to benefitting low- and moderate-income (LMI) persons, therefore **\$692,700.00** of your funding must be used for projects that benefit LMI persons in your community. The MOD is currently posted for and available at www.gcrpc.org for review.

To accept or decline the funds allocated through the MOD, please sign and return the attached form to GCRPC by **Wednesday, May 3, 2023**. Once the GLO approves the final MOD, the GLO will post application information at <https://recovery.texas.gov/mitigation/programs/regional-mitigation/index.html>.

Please note that declining to participate in the COG MOD may exclude City of Nordheim if there are any future allocations or reallocations of funds through the Regional Mitigation Program. It is recommended each entity officially involve their city council, county commissioners court or other governing board in the decision to accept or decline funds.

Sincerely,

Michael Ada

Executive Director



GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
GOLDEN CRESCENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600A Victoria, Texas 77901 🏠

361.578.1587 📞 361.578.8865 📠

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

President

Josephine E. Soliz
 Mayor Pro Tem, City of Victoria

1st Vice-President

Alonzo Morales
 Commissioner, Goliad County

2nd Vice-President

Tony Allen
 Councilman, City of Cuero

3rd Vice-President

Alice Jo Summers
 Mayor, City of Hallettsville

Secretary-Treasurer

Robin Alaniz
 Alderwoman, City of Goliad

Director-at-Large

Chris Jackson
 Councilman, City of Edna

Director-at-Large

Julio Espinosa
 Municipal Judge, Edna

Director-at-Large

Jeffery Tunnell
 Executive Director, Gulf Bend
 Center

Immediate Past President

Kevin LaFleur
 Commissioner, Gonzales
 County

Deputy Executive Director

Cindy Skarpa

Executive Director

Michael Ada

April 20, 2023

RE: Regional Mitigation Program - GCRPC MOD Funding Notification

Dear Hon. Mayor Alice Jo Summers:

The Texas General Land Office (GLO) allocated \$80,317,000 to Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) to develop a method of distribution (MOD) for the Regional Mitigation Program as part of the State of Texas CDBG Mitigation Action Plan as amended. Through the GCRPC MOD, City of Hallettsville is included as a recipient of funding in the amount of **\$1,397,600.00**. This amount is subject to change until final MOD approval is received by the GLO. Due to CDBG-MIT grant requirements, the state is required to reach a certain threshold tied to benefitting low- and moderate-income (LMI) persons, therefore **\$698,800.00** of your funding must be used for projects that benefit LMI persons in your community. The MOD is currently posted for and available at www.gcrpc.org for review.

To accept or decline the funds allocated through the MOD, please sign and return the attached form to GCRPC by **Wednesday, May 3, 2023**. Once the GLO approves the final MOD, the GLO will post application information at <https://recovery.texas.gov/mitigation/programs/regional-mitigation/index.html>.

Please note that declining to participate in the COG MOD may exclude City of Hallettsville if there are any future allocations or reallocations of funds through the Regional Mitigation Program. It is recommended each entity officially involve their city council, county commissioners court or other governing board in the decision to accept or decline funds.

Sincerely,

Michael Ada

Executive Director



GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
GOLDEN CRESCENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600A Victoria, Texas 77901

361.578.1587  361.578.8865 

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

President

Josephine E. Soliz
 Mayor Pro Tem, City of Victoria

1st Vice-President

Alonzo Morales
 Commissioner, Goliad County

2nd Vice-President

Tony Allen
 Councilman, City of Cuero

3rd Vice-President

Alice Jo Summers
 Mayor, City of Hallettsville

Secretary-Treasurer

Robin Alaniz
 Alderwoman, City of Goliad

Director-at-Large

Chris Jackson
 Councilman, City of Edna

Director-at-Large

Julio Espinosa
 Municipal Judge, Edna

Director-at-Large

Jeffery Tunnell
 Executive Director, Gulf Bend
 Center

Immediate Past President

Kevin LaFleur
 Commissioner, Gonzales
 County

Deputy Executive Director

Cindy Skarpa

Executive Director

Michael Ada

April 20, 2023

RE: Regional Mitigation Program - GCRPC MOD Funding Notification

Dear Hon. Mayor Dorothy Riojas:

The Texas General Land Office (GLO) allocated \$80,317,000 to Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) to develop a method of distribution (MOD) for the Regional Mitigation Program as part of the State of Texas CDBG Mitigation Action Plan as amended. Through the GCRPC MOD, City of Nixon is included as a recipient of funding in the amount of **\$1,433,500.00**. This amount is subject to change until final MOD approval is received by the GLO. Due to CDBG-MIT grant requirements, the state is required to reach a certain threshold tied to benefitting low- and moderate-income (LMI) persons, therefore **\$716,750.00** of your funding must be used for projects that benefit LMI persons in your community. The MOD is currently posted for and available at www.gcrpc.org for review.

To accept or decline the funds allocated through the MOD, please sign and return the attached form to GCRPC by **Wednesday, May 3, 2023**. Once the GLO approves the final MOD, the GLO will post application information at <https://recovery.texas.gov/mitigation/programs/regional-mitigation/index.html>.

Please note that declining to participate in the COG MOD may exclude City of Nixon if there are any future allocations or reallocations of funds through the Regional Mitigation Program. It is recommended each entity officially involve their city council, county commissioners court or other governing board in the decision to accept or decline funds.

Sincerely,

Michael Ada

Executive Director



GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
GOLDEN CRESCENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600A Victoria, Texas 77901 🏠

361.578.1587 📞 361.578.8865 📠

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

President

Josephine E. Soliz
 Mayor Pro Tem, City of Victoria

1st Vice-President

Alonzo Morales
 Commissioner, Goliad County

2nd Vice-President

Tony Allen
 Councilman, City of Cuero

3rd Vice-President

Alice Jo Summers
 Mayor, City of Hallettsville

Secretary-Treasurer

Robin Alaniz
 Alderwoman, City of Goliad

Director-at-Large

Chris Jackson
 Councilman, City of Edna

Director-at-Large

Julio Espinosa
 Municipal Judge, Edna

Director-at-Large

Jeffery Tunnell
 Executive Director, Gulf Bend
 Center

Immediate Past President

Kevin LaFleur
 Commissioner, Gonzales
 County

Deputy Executive Director

Cindy Skarpa

Executive Director

Michael Ada

April 20, 2023

RE: Regional Mitigation Program - GCRPC MOD Funding Notification

Dear Hon. County Judge Jill Sklar:

The Texas General Land Office (GLO) allocated \$80,317,000 to Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) to develop a method of distribution (MOD) for the Regional Mitigation Program as part of the State of Texas CDBG Mitigation Action Plan as amended. Through the GCRPC MOD, Jackson County is included as a recipient of funding in the amount of **\$1,460,600.00**. This amount is subject to change until final MOD approval is received by the GLO. Due to CDBG-MIT grant requirements, the state is required to reach a certain threshold tied to benefitting low- and moderate-income (LMI) persons, therefore **\$730,300.00** of your funding must be used for projects that benefit LMI persons in your community. The MOD is currently posted for and available at www.gcrpc.org for review.

To accept or decline the funds allocated through the MOD, please sign and return the attached form to GCRPC by **Wednesday, May 3, 2023**. Once the GLO approves the final MOD, the GLO will post application information at <https://recovery.texas.gov/mitigation/programs/regional-mitigation/index.html>.

Please note that declining to participate in the COG MOD may exclude Jackson County if there are any future allocations or reallocations of funds through the Regional Mitigation Program. It is recommended each entity officially involve their city council, county commissioners court or other governing board in the decision to accept or decline funds.

Sincerely,

Michael Ada

Executive Director



GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
GOLDEN CRESCENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600A Victoria, Texas 77901 🏠

361.578.1587 📞 361.578.8865 📠

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

President

Josephine E. Soliz
 Mayor Pro Tem, City of Victoria

1st Vice-President

Alonzo Morales
 Commissioner, Goliad County

2nd Vice-President

Tony Allen
 Councilman, City of Cuero

3rd Vice-President

Alice Jo Summers
 Mayor, City of Hallettsville

Secretary-Treasurer

Robin Alaniz
 Alderwoman, City of Goliad

Director-at-Large

Chris Jackson
 Councilman, City of Edna

Director-at-Large

Julio Espinosa
 Municipal Judge, Edna

Director-at-Large

Jeffery Tunnell
 Executive Director, Gulf Bend
 Center

Immediate Past President

Kevin LaFleur
 Commissioner, Gonzales
 County

Deputy Executive Director

Cindy Skarpa

Executive Director

Michael Ada

April 20, 2023

RE: Regional Mitigation Program - GCRPC MOD Funding Notification

Dear Hon. Mayor Lisa Benavidez:

The Texas General Land Office (GLO) allocated \$80,317,000 to Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) to develop a method of distribution (MOD) for the Regional Mitigation Program as part of the State of Texas CDBG Mitigation Action Plan as amended. Through the GCRPC MOD, City of Smiley is included as a recipient of funding in the amount of **\$1,475,900.00**. This amount is subject to change until final MOD approval is received by the GLO. Due to CDBG-MIT grant requirements, the state is required to reach a certain threshold tied to benefitting low- and moderate-income (LMI) persons, therefore **\$737,950.00** of your funding must be used for projects that benefit LMI persons in your community. The MOD is currently posted for and available at www.gcrpc.org for review.

To accept or decline the funds allocated through the MOD, please sign and return the attached form to GCRPC by **Wednesday, May 3, 2023**. Once the GLO approves the final MOD, the GLO will post application information at <https://recovery.texas.gov/mitigation/programs/regional-mitigation/index.html>.

Please note that declining to participate in the COG MOD may exclude City of Smiley if there are any future allocations or reallocations of funds through the Regional Mitigation Program. It is recommended each entity officially involve their city council, county commissioners court or other governing board in the decision to accept or decline funds.

Sincerely,

Michael Ada

Executive Director



GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
GOLDEN CRESCENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600A Victoria, Texas 77901 🏠
 361.578.1587 📞 361.578.8865 📠

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

President

Josephine E. Soliz
 Mayor Pro Tem, City of Victoria

1st Vice-President

Alonzo Morales
 Commissioner, Goliad County

2nd Vice-President

Tony Allen
 Councilman, City of Cuero

3rd Vice-President

Alice Jo Summers
 Mayor, City of Hallettsville

Secretary-Treasurer

Robin Alaniz
 Alderwoman, City of Goliad

Director-at-Large

Chris Jackson
 Councilman, City of Edna

Director-at-Large

Julio Espinosa
 Municipal Judge, Edna

Director-at-Large

Jeffery Tunnell
 Executive Director, Gulf Bend
 Center

Immediate Past President

Kevin LaFleur
 Commissioner, Gonzales
 County

Deputy Executive Director

Cindy Skarpa

Executive Director

Michael Ada

April 20, 2023

RE: Regional Mitigation Program - GCRPC MOD Funding Notification

Dear Hon. Mayor Brenda Moses:

The Texas General Land Office (GLO) allocated \$80,317,000 to Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) to develop a method of distribution (MOD) for the Regional Mitigation Program as part of the State of Texas CDBG Mitigation Action Plan as amended. Through the GCRPC MOD, City of Goliad is included as a recipient of funding in the amount of **\$1,483,000.00**. This amount is subject to change until final MOD approval is received by the GLO. Due to CDBG-MIT grant requirements, the state is required to reach a certain threshold tied to benefitting low- and moderate-income (LMI) persons, therefore **\$741,500.00** of your funding must be used for projects that benefit LMI persons in your community. The MOD is currently posted for and available at www.gcrpc.org for review.

To accept or decline the funds allocated through the MOD, please sign and return the attached form to GCRPC by **Wednesday, May 3, 2023**. Once the GLO approves the final MOD, the GLO will post application information at <https://recovery.texas.gov/mitigation/programs/regional-mitigation/index.html>.

Please note that declining to participate in the COG MOD may exclude City of Goliad if there are any future allocations or reallocations of funds through the Regional Mitigation Program. It is recommended each entity officially involve their city council, county commissioners court or other governing board in the decision to accept or decline funds.

Sincerely,

Michael Ada

Executive Director



GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
GOLDEN CRESCENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600A Victoria, Texas 77901

361.578.1587  361.578.8865 

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

President

Josephine E. Soliz
 Mayor Pro Tem, City of Victoria

1st Vice-President

Alonzo Morales
 Commissioner, Goliad County

2nd Vice-President

Tony Allen
 Councilman, City of Cuero

3rd Vice-President

Alice Jo Summers
 Mayor, City of Hallettsville

Secretary-Treasurer

Robin Alaniz
 Alderwoman, City of Goliad

Director-at-Large

Chris Jackson
 Councilman, City of Edna

Director-at-Large

Julio Espinosa
 Municipal Judge, Edna

Director-at-Large

Jeffery Tunnell
 Executive Director, Gulf Bend
 Center

Immediate Past President

Kevin LaFleur
 Commissioner, Gonzales
 County

Deputy Executive Director

Cindy Skarpa

Executive Director

Michael Ada

April 20, 2023

RE: Regional Mitigation Program - GCRPC MOD Funding Notification

Dear Hon. Mayor Clinton Tegeler:

The Texas General Land Office (GLO) allocated \$80,317,000 to Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) to develop a method of distribution (MOD) for the Regional Mitigation Program as part of the State of Texas CDBG Mitigation Action Plan as amended. Through the GCRPC MOD, City of Ganado is included as a recipient of funding in the amount of **\$1,549,300.00**. This amount is subject to change until final MOD approval is received by the GLO. Due to CDBG-MIT grant requirements, the state is required to reach a certain threshold tied to benefitting low- and moderate-income (LMI) persons, therefore **\$774,650.00** of your funding must be used for projects that benefit LMI persons in your community. The MOD is currently posted for and available at www.gcrpc.org for review.

To accept or decline the funds allocated through the MOD, please sign and return the attached form to GCRPC by **Wednesday, May 3, 2023**. Once the GLO approves the final MOD, the GLO will post application information at <https://recovery.texas.gov/mitigation/programs/regional-mitigation/index.html>.

Please note that declining to participate in the COG MOD may exclude City of Ganado if there are any future allocations or reallocations of funds through the Regional Mitigation Program. It is recommended each entity officially involve their city council, county commissioners court or other governing board in the decision to accept or decline funds.

Sincerely,

Michael Ada

Executive Director



GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
GOLDEN CRESCENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600A Victoria, Texas 77901 🏠

361.578.1587 📞 361.578.8865 📠

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

President

Josephine E. Soliz
 Mayor Pro Tem, City of Victoria

1st Vice-President

Alonzo Morales
 Commissioner, Goliad County

2nd Vice-President

Tony Allen
 Councilman, City of Cuero

3rd Vice-President

Alice Jo Summers
 Mayor, City of Hallettsville

Secretary-Treasurer

Robin Alaniz
 Alderwoman, City of Goliad

Director-at-Large

Chris Jackson
 Councilman, City of Edna

Director-at-Large

Julio Espinosa
 Municipal Judge, Edna

Director-at-Large

Jeffery Tunnell
 Executive Director, Gulf Bend
 Center

Immediate Past President

Kevin LaFleur
 Commissioner, Gonzales
 County

Deputy Executive Director

Cindy Skarpa

Executive Director

Michael Ada

April 20, 2023

RE: Regional Mitigation Program - GCRPC MOD Funding Notification

Dear Hon. Mayor Elmer DeForest:

The Texas General Land Office (GLO) allocated \$80,317,000 to Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) to develop a method of distribution (MOD) for the Regional Mitigation Program as part of the State of Texas CDBG Mitigation Action Plan as amended. Through the GCRPC MOD, City of Seadrift is included as a recipient of funding in the amount of **\$1,736,200.00**. This amount is subject to change until final MOD approval is received by the GLO. Due to CDBG-MIT grant requirements, the state is required to reach a certain threshold tied to benefitting low- and moderate-income (LMI) persons, therefore **\$868,100.00** of your funding must be used for projects that benefit LMI persons in your community. The MOD is currently posted for and available at www.gcrpc.org for review.

To accept or decline the funds allocated through the MOD, please sign and return the attached form to GCRPC by **Wednesday, May 3, 2023**. Once the GLO approves the final MOD, the GLO will post application information at <https://recovery.texas.gov/mitigation/programs/regional-mitigation/index.html>.

Please note that declining to participate in the COG MOD may exclude City of Seadrift if there are any future allocations or reallocations of funds through the Regional Mitigation Program. It is recommended each entity officially involve their city council, county commissioners court or other governing board in the decision to accept or decline funds.

Sincerely,

Michael Ada

Executive Director



GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
GOLDEN CRESCENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600A Victoria, Texas 77901 🏠
 361.578.1587 📞 361.578.8865 📠

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

President

Josephine E. Soliz
 Mayor Pro Tem, City of Victoria

1st Vice-President

Alonzo Morales
 Commissioner, Goliad County

2nd Vice-President

Tony Allen
 Councilman, City of Cuero

3rd Vice-President

Alice Jo Summers
 Mayor, City of Hallettsville

Secretary-Treasurer

Robin Alaniz
 Alderwoman, City of Goliad

Director-at-Large

Chris Jackson
 Councilman, City of Edna

Director-at-Large

Julio Espinosa
 Municipal Judge, Edna

Director-at-Large

Jeffery Tunnell
 Executive Director, Gulf Bend
 Center

Immediate Past President

Kevin LaFleur
 Commissioner, Gonzales
 County

Deputy Executive Director

Cindy Skarpa

Executive Director

Michael Ada

April 20, 2023

RE: Regional Mitigation Program - GCRPC MOD Funding Notification

Dear Hon. County Judge Mike Bennet:

The Texas General Land Office (GLO) allocated \$80,317,000 to Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) to develop a method of distribution (MOD) for the Regional Mitigation Program as part of the State of Texas CDBG Mitigation Action Plan as amended. Through the GCRPC MOD, Goliad County is included as a recipient of funding in the amount of **\$1,848,500.00**. This amount is subject to change until final MOD approval is received by the GLO. Due to CDBG-MIT grant requirements, the state is required to reach a certain threshold tied to benefitting low- and moderate-income (LMI) persons, therefore **\$924,250.00** of your funding must be used for projects that benefit LMI persons in your community. The MOD is currently posted for and available at www.gcrpc.org for review.

To accept or decline the funds allocated through the MOD, please sign and return the attached form to GCRPC by **Wednesday, May 3, 2023**. Once the GLO approves the final MOD, the GLO will post application information at <https://recovery.texas.gov/mitigation/programs/regional-mitigation/index.html>.

Please note that declining to participate in the COG MOD may exclude Goliad County if there are any future allocations or reallocations of funds through the Regional Mitigation Program. It is recommended each entity officially involve their city council, county commissioners court or other governing board in the decision to accept or decline funds.

Sincerely,

Michael Ada

Executive Director



GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
GOLDEN CRESCENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600A Victoria, Texas 77901 🏠
 361.578.1587 📞 361.578.8865 📠

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

President

Josephine E. Soliz
 Mayor Pro Tem, City of Victoria

1st Vice-President

Alonzo Morales
 Commissioner, Goliad County

2nd Vice-President

Tony Allen
 Councilman, City of Cuero

3rd Vice-President

Alice Jo Summers
 Mayor, City of Hallettsville

Secretary-Treasurer

Robin Alaniz
 Alderwoman, City of Goliad

Director-at-Large

Chris Jackson
 Councilman, City of Edna

Director-at-Large

Julio Espinosa
 Municipal Judge, Edna

Director-at-Large

Jeffery Tunnell
 Executive Director, Gulf Bend
 Center

Immediate Past President

Kevin LaFleur
 Commissioner, Gonzales
 County

Deputy Executive Director

Cindy Skarpa

Executive Director

Michael Ada

April 20, 2023

RE: Regional Mitigation Program - GCRPC MOD Funding Notification

Dear Hon. Mayor Lance Smiga:

The Texas General Land Office (GLO) allocated \$80,317,000 to Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) to develop a method of distribution (MOD) for the Regional Mitigation Program as part of the State of Texas CDBG Mitigation Action Plan as amended. Through the GCRPC MOD, City of Edna is included as a recipient of funding in the amount of **\$2,124,000.00**. This amount is subject to change until final MOD approval is received by the GLO. Due to CDBG-MIT grant requirements, the state is required to reach a certain threshold tied to benefitting low- and moderate-income (LMI) persons, therefore **\$1,062,000.00** of your funding must be used for projects that benefit LMI persons in your community. The MOD is currently posted for and available at www.gcrpc.org for review.

To accept or decline the funds allocated through the MOD, please sign and return the attached form to GCRPC by **Wednesday, May 3, 2023**. Once the GLO approves the final MOD, the GLO will post application information at <https://recovery.texas.gov/mitigation/programs/regional-mitigation/index.html>.

Please note that declining to participate in the COG MOD may exclude City of Edna if there are any future allocations or reallocations of funds through the Regional Mitigation Program. It is recommended each entity officially involve their city council, county commissioners court or other governing board in the decision to accept or decline funds.

Sincerely,

Michael Ada

Executive Director



GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
GOLDEN CRESCENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600A Victoria, Texas 77901 🏠
 361.578.1587 📞 361.578.8865 📠

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

President

Josephine E. Soliz
 Mayor Pro Tem, City of Victoria

1st Vice-President

Alonzo Morales
 Commissioner, Goliad County

2nd Vice-President

Tony Allen
 Councilman, City of Cuero

3rd Vice-President

Alice Jo Summers
 Mayor, City of Hallettsville

Secretary-Treasurer

Robin Alaniz
 Alderwoman, City of Goliad

Director-at-Large

Chris Jackson
 Councilman, City of Edna

Director-at-Large

Julio Espinosa
 Municipal Judge, Edna

Director-at-Large

Jeffery Tunnell
 Executive Director, Gulf Bend
 Center

Immediate Past President

Kevin LaFleur
 Commissioner, Gonzales
 County

Deputy Executive Director

Cindy Skarpa

Executive Director

Michael Ada

April 20, 2023

RE: Regional Mitigation Program - GCRPC MOD Funding Notification

Dear Hon. Mayor Carl O'Neil:

The Texas General Land Office (GLO) allocated \$80,317,000 to Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) to develop a method of distribution (MOD) for the Regional Mitigation Program as part of the State of Texas CDBG Mitigation Action Plan as amended. Through the GCRPC MOD, City of Yoakum is included as a recipient of funding in the amount of **\$2,209,900.00**. This amount is subject to change until final MOD approval is received by the GLO. Due to CDBG-MIT grant requirements, the state is required to reach a certain threshold tied to benefitting low- and moderate-income (LMI) persons, therefore **\$1,104,950.00** of your funding must be used for projects that benefit LMI persons in your community. The MOD is currently posted for and available at www.gcrpc.org for review.

To accept or decline the funds allocated through the MOD, please sign and return the attached form to GCRPC by **Wednesday, May 3, 2023**. Once the GLO approves the final MOD, the GLO will post application information at <https://recovery.texas.gov/mitigation/programs/regional-mitigation/index.html>.

Please note that declining to participate in the COG MOD may exclude City of Yoakum if there are any future allocations or reallocations of funds through the Regional Mitigation Program. It is recommended each entity officially involve their city council, county commissioners court or other governing board in the decision to accept or decline funds.

Sincerely,

Michael Ada

Executive Director



GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
GOLDEN CRESCENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600A Victoria, Texas 77901 🏠

361.578.1587 📞 361.578.8865 📠

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

President

Josephine E. Soliz
 Mayor Pro Tem, City of Victoria

1st Vice-President

Alonzo Morales
 Commissioner, Goliad County

2nd Vice-President

Tony Allen
 Councilman, City of Cuero

3rd Vice-President

Alice Jo Summers
 Mayor, City of Hallettsville

Secretary-Treasurer

Robin Alaniz
 Alderwoman, City of Goliad

Director-at-Large

Chris Jackson
 Councilman, City of Edna

Director-at-Large

Julio Espinosa
 Municipal Judge, Edna

Director-at-Large

Jeffery Tunnell
 Executive Director, Gulf Bend
 Center

Immediate Past President

Kevin LaFleur
 Commissioner, Gonzales
 County

Deputy Executive Director

Cindy Skarpa

Executive Director

Michael Ada

April 20, 2023

RE: Regional Mitigation Program - GCRPC MOD Funding Notification

Dear Hon. County Judge Patrick C. Davis:

The Texas General Land Office (GLO) allocated \$80,317,000 to Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) to develop a method of distribution (MOD) for the Regional Mitigation Program as part of the State of Texas CDBG Mitigation Action Plan as amended. Through the GCRPC MOD, Gonzales County is included as a recipient of funding in the amount of **\$2,459,200.00**. This amount is subject to change until final MOD approval is received by the GLO. Due to CDBG-MIT grant requirements, the state is required to reach a certain threshold tied to benefitting low- and moderate-income (LMI) persons, therefore **\$1,229,600.00** of your funding must be used for projects that benefit LMI persons in your community. The MOD is currently posted for and available at www.gcrpc.org for review.

To accept or decline the funds allocated through the MOD, please sign and return the attached form to GCRPC by **Wednesday, May 3, 2023**. Once the GLO approves the final MOD, the GLO will post application information at <https://recovery.texas.gov/mitigation/programs/regional-mitigation/index.html>.

Please note that declining to participate in the COG MOD may exclude Gonzales County if there are any future allocations or reallocations of funds through the Regional Mitigation Program. It is recommended each entity officially involve their city council, county commissioners court or other governing board in the decision to accept or decline funds.

Sincerely,

Michael Ada

Executive Director



GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
GOLDEN CRESCENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600A Victoria, Texas 77901 🏠
 361.578.1587 📞 361.578.8865 📠

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

President

Josephine E. Soliz
 Mayor Pro Tem, City of Victoria

1st Vice-President

Alonzo Morales
 Commissioner, Goliad County

2nd Vice-President

Tony Allen
 Councilman, City of Cuero

3rd Vice-President

Alice Jo Summers
 Mayor, City of Hallettsville

Secretary-Treasurer

Robin Alaniz
 Alderwoman, City of Goliad

Director-at-Large

Chris Jackson
 Councilman, City of Edna

Director-at-Large

Julio Espinosa
 Municipal Judge, Edna

Director-at-Large

Jeffery Tunnell
 Executive Director, Gulf Bend
 Center

Immediate Past President

Kevin LaFleur
 Commissioner, Gonzales
 County

Deputy Executive Director

Cindy Skarpa

Executive Director

Michael Ada

April 20, 2023

RE: Regional Mitigation Program - GCRPC MOD Funding Notification

Dear Hon. Mayor Sara Post-Meyer:

The Texas General Land Office (GLO) allocated \$80,317,000 to Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) to develop a method of distribution (MOD) for the Regional Mitigation Program as part of the State of Texas CDBG Mitigation Action Plan as amended. Through the GCRPC MOD, City of Cuero is included as a recipient of funding in the amount of **\$2,511,300.00**. This amount is subject to change until final MOD approval is received by the GLO. Due to CDBG-MIT grant requirements, the state is required to reach a certain threshold tied to benefitting low- and moderate-income (LMI) persons, therefore **\$1,255,650.00** of your funding must be used for projects that benefit LMI persons in your community. The MOD is currently posted for and available at www.gcrpc.org for review.

To accept or decline the funds allocated through the MOD, please sign and return the attached form to GCRPC by **Wednesday, May 3, 2023**. Once the GLO approves the final MOD, the GLO will post application information at <https://recovery.texas.gov/mitigation/programs/regional-mitigation/index.html>.

Please note that declining to participate in the COG MOD may exclude City of Cuero if there are any future allocations or reallocations of funds through the Regional Mitigation Program. It is recommended each entity officially involve their city council, county commissioners court or other governing board in the decision to accept or decline funds.

Sincerely,

Michael Ada

Executive Director



GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
GOLDEN CRESCENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600A Victoria, Texas 77901 🏠

361.578.1587 📞 361.578.8865 📠

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

President

Josephine E. Soliz
 Mayor Pro Tem, City of Victoria

1st Vice-President

Alonzo Morales
 Commissioner, Goliad County

2nd Vice-President

Tony Allen
 Councilman, City of Cuero

3rd Vice-President

Alice Jo Summers
 Mayor, City of Hallettsville

Secretary-Treasurer

Robin Alaniz
 Alderwoman, City of Goliad

Director-at-Large

Chris Jackson
 Councilman, City of Edna

Director-at-Large

Julio Espinosa
 Municipal Judge, Edna

Director-at-Large

Jeffery Tunnell
 Executive Director, Gulf Bend
 Center

Immediate Past President

Kevin LaFleur
 Commissioner, Gonzales
 County

Deputy Executive Director

Cindy Skarpa

Executive Director

Michael Ada

April 20, 2023

RE: Regional Mitigation Program - GCRPC MOD Funding Notification

Dear Hon. County Judge Keith Mudd:

The Texas General Land Office (GLO) allocated \$80,317,000 to Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) to develop a method of distribution (MOD) for the Regional Mitigation Program as part of the State of Texas CDBG Mitigation Action Plan as amended. Through the GCRPC MOD, Lavaca County is included as a recipient of funding in the amount of **\$2,907,000.00**. This amount is subject to change until final MOD approval is received by the GLO. Due to CDBG-MIT grant requirements, the state is required to reach a certain threshold tied to benefitting low- and moderate-income (LMI) persons, therefore **\$1,453,500.00** of your funding must be used for projects that benefit LMI persons in your community. The MOD is currently posted for and available at www.gcrpc.org for review.

To accept or decline the funds allocated through the MOD, please sign and return the attached form to GCRPC by **Wednesday, May 3, 2023**. Once the GLO approves the final MOD, the GLO will post application information at <https://recovery.texas.gov/mitigation/programs/regional-mitigation/index.html>.

Please note that declining to participate in the COG MOD may exclude Lavaca County if there are any future allocations or reallocations of funds through the Regional Mitigation Program. It is recommended each entity officially involve their city council, county commissioners court or other governing board in the decision to accept or decline funds.

Sincerely,

Michael Ada

Executive Director



GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
GOLDEN CRESCENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600A Victoria, Texas 77901 🏠
 361.578.1587 📞 361.578.8865 📠

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

President

Josephine E. Soliz
 Mayor Pro Tem, City of Victoria

1st Vice-President

Alonzo Morales
 Commissioner, Goliad County

2nd Vice-President

Tony Allen
 Councilman, City of Cuero

3rd Vice-President

Alice Jo Summers
 Mayor, City of Hallettsville

Secretary-Treasurer

Robin Alaniz
 Alderwoman, City of Goliad

Director-at-Large

Chris Jackson
 Councilman, City of Edna

Director-at-Large

Julio Espinosa
 Municipal Judge, Edna

Director-at-Large

Jeffery Tunnell
 Executive Director, Gulf Bend
 Center

Immediate Past President

Kevin LaFleur
 Commissioner, Gonzales
 County

Deputy Executive Director

Cindy Skarpa

Executive Director

Michael Ada

April 20, 2023

RE: Regional Mitigation Program - GCRPC MOD Funding Notification

Dear Hon. Mayor Steve Sucher:

The Texas General Land Office (GLO) allocated \$80,317,000 to Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) to develop a method of distribution (MOD) for the Regional Mitigation Program as part of the State of Texas CDBG Mitigation Action Plan as amended. Through the GCRPC MOD, City of Gonzales is included as a recipient of funding in the amount of **\$2,999,400.00**. This amount is subject to change until final MOD approval is received by the GLO. Due to CDBG-MIT grant requirements, the state is required to reach a certain threshold tied to benefitting low- and moderate-income (LMI) persons, therefore **\$1,499,700.00** of your funding must be used for projects that benefit LMI persons in your community. The MOD is currently posted for and available at www.gcrpc.org for review.

To accept or decline the funds allocated through the MOD, please sign and return the attached form to GCRPC by **Wednesday, May 3, 2023**. Once the GLO approves the final MOD, the GLO will post application information at <https://recovery.texas.gov/mitigation/programs/regional-mitigation/index.html>.

Please note that declining to participate in the COG MOD may exclude City of Gonzales if there are any future allocations or reallocations of funds through the Regional Mitigation Program. It is recommended each entity officially involve their city council, county commissioners court or other governing board in the decision to accept or decline funds.

Sincerely,

Michael Ada

Executive Director



GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
GOLDEN CRESCENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600A Victoria, Texas 77901 🏠

361.578.1587 📞 361.578.8865 📠

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

President

Josephine E. Soliz
 Mayor Pro Tem, City of Victoria

1st Vice-President

Alonzo Morales
 Commissioner, Goliad County

2nd Vice-President

Tony Allen
 Councilman, City of Cuero

3rd Vice-President

Alice Jo Summers
 Mayor, City of Hallettsville

Secretary-Treasurer

Robin Alaniz
 Alderwoman, City of Goliad

Director-at-Large

Chris Jackson
 Councilman, City of Edna

Director-at-Large

Julio Espinosa
 Municipal Judge, Edna

Director-at-Large

Jeffery Tunnell
 Executive Director, Gulf Bend
 Center

Immediate Past President

Kevin LaFleur
 Commissioner, Gonzales
 County

Deputy Executive Director

Cindy Skarpa

Executive Director

Michael Ada

April 20, 2023

RE: Regional Mitigation Program - GCRPC MOD Funding Notification

Dear Hon. County Judge Ben Zeller:

The Texas General Land Office (GLO) allocated \$80,317,000 to Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) to develop a method of distribution (MOD) for the Regional Mitigation Program as part of the State of Texas CDBG Mitigation Action Plan as amended. Through the GCRPC MOD, Victoria County is included as a recipient of funding in the amount of **\$6,576,000.00**. This amount is subject to change until final MOD approval is received by the GLO. Due to CDBG-MIT grant requirements, the state is required to reach a certain threshold tied to benefitting low- and moderate-income (LMI) persons, therefore **\$3,288,000.00** of your funding must be used for projects that benefit LMI persons in your community. The MOD is currently posted for and available at www.gcrpc.org for review.

To accept or decline the funds allocated through the MOD, please sign and return the attached form to GCRPC by **Wednesday, May 3, 2023**. Once the GLO approves the final MOD, the GLO will post application information at <https://recovery.texas.gov/mitigation/programs/regional-mitigation/index.html>.

Please note that declining to participate in the COG MOD may exclude Victoria County if there are any future allocations or reallocations of funds through the Regional Mitigation Program. It is recommended each entity officially involve their city council, county commissioners court or other governing board in the decision to accept or decline funds.

Sincerely,

Michael Ada

Executive Director



GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
GOLDEN CRESCENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600A Victoria, Texas 77901 🏠
 361.578.1587 📞 361.578.8865 📠

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

President

Josephine E. Soliz
 Mayor Pro Tem, City of Victoria

1st Vice-President

Alonzo Morales
 Commissioner, Goliad County

2nd Vice-President

Tony Allen
 Councilman, City of Cuero

3rd Vice-President

Alice Jo Summers
 Mayor, City of Hallettsville

Secretary-Treasurer

Robin Alaniz
 Alderwoman, City of Goliad

Director-at-Large

Chris Jackson
 Councilman, City of Edna

Director-at-Large

Julio Espinosa
 Municipal Judge, Edna

Director-at-Large

Jeffery Tunnell
 Executive Director, Gulf Bend
 Center

Immediate Past President

Kevin LaFleur
 Commissioner, Gonzales
 County

Deputy Executive Director

Cindy Skarpa

Executive Director

Michael Ada

April 20, 2023

RE: Regional Mitigation Program - GCRPC MOD Funding Notification

Dear Hon. Mayor Mayor Jack Whitlow:

The Texas General Land Office (GLO) allocated \$80,317,000 to Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) to develop a method of distribution (MOD) for the Regional Mitigation Program as part of the State of Texas CDBG Mitigation Action Plan as amended. Through the GCRPC MOD, City of Port Lavaca is included as a recipient of funding in the amount of **\$9,119,600.00**. This amount is subject to change until final MOD approval is received by the GLO. Due to CDBG-MIT grant requirements, the state is required to reach a certain threshold tied to benefitting low- and moderate-income (LMI) persons, therefore **\$4,559,800.00** of your funding must be used for projects that benefit LMI persons in your community. The MOD is currently posted for and available at www.gcrpc.org for review.

To accept or decline the funds allocated through the MOD, please sign and return the attached form to GCRPC by **Wednesday, May 3, 2023**. Once the GLO approves the final MOD, the GLO will post application information at <https://recovery.texas.gov/mitigation/programs/regional-mitigation/index.html>.

Please note that declining to participate in the COG MOD may exclude City of Port Lavaca if there are any future allocations or reallocations of funds through the Regional Mitigation Program. It is recommended each entity officially involve their city council, county commissioners court or other governing board in the decision to accept or decline funds.

Sincerely,

Michael Ada

Executive Director



GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
GOLDEN CRESCENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600A Victoria, Texas 77901 🏠
 361.578.1587 📞 361.578.8865 📠

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

President

Josephine E. Soliz
 Mayor Pro Tem, City of Victoria

1st Vice-President

Alonzo Morales
 Commissioner, Goliad County

2nd Vice-President

Tony Allen
 Councilman, City of Cuero

3rd Vice-President

Alice Jo Summers
 Mayor, City of Hallettsville

Secretary-Treasurer

Robin Alaniz
 Alderwoman, City of Goliad

Director-at-Large

Chris Jackson
 Councilman, City of Edna

Director-at-Large

Julio Espinosa
 Municipal Judge, Edna

Director-at-Large

Jeffery Tunnell
 Executive Director, Gulf Bend
 Center

Immediate Past President

Kevin LaFleur
 Commissioner, Gonzales
 County

Deputy Executive Director

Cindy Skarpa

Executive Director

Michael Ada

April 20, 2023

RE: Regional Mitigation Program - GCRPC MOD Funding Notification

Dear Hon. Mayor Jeff Bauknight:

The Texas General Land Office (GLO) allocated \$80,317,000 to Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) to develop a method of distribution (MOD) for the Regional Mitigation Program as part of the State of Texas CDBG Mitigation Action Plan as amended. Through the GCRPC MOD, City of Victoria is included as a recipient of funding in the amount of **\$19,524,900.00**. This amount is subject to change until final MOD approval is received by the GLO. Due to CDBG-MIT grant requirements, the state is required to reach a certain threshold tied to benefitting low- and moderate-income (LMI) persons, therefore **\$9,762,450.00** of your funding must be used for projects that benefit LMI persons in your community. The MOD is currently posted for and available at www.gcrpc.org for review.

To accept or decline the funds allocated through the MOD, please sign and return the attached form to GCRPC by **Wednesday, May 3, 2023**. Once the GLO approves the final MOD, the GLO will post application information at <https://recovery.texas.gov/mitigation/programs/regional-mitigation/index.html>.

Please note that declining to participate in the COG MOD may exclude City of Victoria if there are any future allocations or reallocations of funds through the Regional Mitigation Program. It is recommended each entity officially involve their city council, county commissioners court or other governing board in the decision to accept or decline funds.

Sincerely,

Michael Ada

Executive Director



GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
GOLDEN CRESCENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

1908 N. Laurent, Suite 600A Victoria, Texas 77901

361.578.1587 361.578.8865

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

President

Josephine E. Soliz
Mayor Pro Tem, City of Victoria

1st Vice-President

Alonzo Morales
Commissioner, Goliad County

2nd Vice-President

Tony Allen
Councilman, City of Cuero

3rd Vice-President

Alice Jo Summers
Mayor, City of Hallettsville

Secretary-Treasurer

Robin Alaniz
Alderwoman, City of Goliad

Director-at-Large

Chris Jackson
Councilman, City of Edna

Director-at-Large

Julio Espinosa
Municipal Judge, Edna

Director-at-Large

Jeffery Tunnell
Executive Director, Gulf Bend
Center

Immediate Past President

Kevin LaFleur
Commissioner, Gonzales
County

Deputy Executive Director

Cindy Skarpa

Executive Director

Michael Ada

April 26, 2023

RE: Regional Mitigation Program - GCRPC MOD Funding Notification (HUD Exception)

Dear Hon. Judge Richard Meyer:

The Texas General Land Office (GLO) allocated \$80,317,000 to Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) to develop a method of distribution (MOD) for the Regional Mitigation Program as part of the State of Texas CDBG Mitigation Action Plan as amended. Through the GCRPC MOD, **Calhoun County – zipcode 77979** is included as a recipient of funding in the amount of **\$7,428,500**. This amount is subject to change until final MOD approval is received by the GLO. Due to CDBG-MIT grant requirements, the state is required to reach a certain threshold tied to benefitting low- and moderate-income (LMI) persons, therefore **\$3,714,250** of your funding must be used for projects that benefit LMI persons in your community. The MOD is currently posted for and available at www.gcrpc.org for review.

To accept or decline the funds allocated through the MOD, please sign and return the attached form to GCRPC by **Wednesday, May 3, 2023**. Once the GLO approves the final MOD, the GLO will post application information at <https://recovery.texas.gov/mitigation/programs/regional-mitigation/index.html>.

Please note that declining to participate in the COG MOD may exclude City of Point Comfort if there are any future allocations or reallocations of funds through the Regional Mitigation Program. It is recommended each entity officially involve their city council, county commissioners court or other governing board in the decision to accept or decline funds.

Sincerely,

Michael Ada

Executive Director

GLO 22-061-007-D163

Funding Acknowledgment Summary

Return to:

GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
1908 N Laurent St. Ste 600
Victoria, TX 77901

I, SARA POST MEYER, the designated official of CITY OF CUERO :
Print Name Print Entity Name

Acknowledge and **accept funding** through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Acknowledge and **decline funding** through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Sara Post Meyer
Designated Official Signature

APRIL 18, 2023
Date

Return to:

CITY of EDWA
126 W MAIN
EDWA, TX 77957


Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission
1908 N Laurent St. Ste 600
Victoria, TX 77901

I, Lance Smita, the designated official of CITY OF EDWA :
Print Name Print Entity Name

Acknowledge and accept funding through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Acknowledge and decline funding through the Regional Mitigation Program.

[Signature] Designated Official Signature 04/20/2023 Date



GLO 22-061-007-D163

Funding Acknowledgment Summary

Return to:

GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
1908 N Laurent St. Ste 600
Victoria, TX 77901

I, CLINTON TEGFLER, the designated official of CITY OF GANADO:
Print Name Print Entity Name

- Acknowledge and **accept funding** through the Regional Mitigation Program.
- Acknowledge and **decline funding** through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Designated Official Signature

Date

5/2/23

Return to:

GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
1908 N Laurent St. Ste 600
Victoria, TX 77901

I, Brenda Moses, the designated official of City of Goliad
Print Name Print Entity Name

Acknowledge and **accept funding** through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Acknowledge and **decline funding** through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Brenda L Moses 4/20/2023
Designated Official Signature Date

Return to:

Tim Crow
812 Saint Joseph St
Gonzales, TX 78629

Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission
1908 N Laurent St. Ste 600
Victoria, TX 77901

I, S.H. "Steve" Fisher, the designated official of City of Gonzales :
Print Name Print Entity Name

Acknowledge and accept funding through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Acknowledge and decline funding through the Regional Mitigation Program.

S.H. "Steve" Fisher 25 APRIL '23
Designated Official Signature Date

GLO 22-061-007-D163

Funding Acknowledgment Summary

Return to:

Michael Ada
1908 N Laurent, Suite 600A
Victoria, TX 77901

GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
1908 N Laurent St. Ste 600
Victoria, TX 77901

I, Alice Jo Summers, the designated official of City of Hallettsville :
Print Name Print Entity Name

Acknowledge and accept funding through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Acknowledge and decline funding through the Regional Mitigation Program.


Designated Official Signature

04/18/2023
Date

Return to:

Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission
1908 N Laurent St. Ste 600
Victoria, TX 77901

CITY of LA WARD

I, William Richard Koch, the designated official of Mayor :
Print Name Print Entity Name

- Acknowledge and accept funding through the Regional Mitigation Program.
- Acknowledge and decline funding through the Regional Mitigation Program.

William R Koch April 26 2023
Designated Official Signature Date



P. O. Box 369
MOULTON, TEXAS 77975

Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission
1908 N Laurent St. Ste 600
Victoria, TX 77901


I, Mack Zimmerman, the designated official of City of Moulton :
Print Name Print Entity Name

Acknowledge and accept funding through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Acknowledge and decline funding through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Mack Zimmerman
Designated Official Signature

04/26/2023
Date



Return to:

CITY OF NEYON
302 E. CENTRAL AVE
NEYON, TX 78140

Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission
1908 N Laurent St. Ste 600
Victoria, TX 77901


I, HAROLD REECE, the designated official of CITY OF NEYON :
Print Name Print Entity Name

Acknowledge and accept funding through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Acknowledge and decline funding through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Harold Reece
Designated Official Signature

4/20/2023
Date



GLO 22-061-007-D163

Funding Acknowledgment Summary

Return to:

GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
1908 N Laurent St. Ste 600
Victoria, TX 77901

I, Katherine Payne, the designated official of City of Nordheim :
Print Name Print ~~Entity~~ Name

Acknowledge and accept funding through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Acknowledge and decline funding through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Katherine Payne _____ 4/19/2023 _____
Designated Official Signature Date

Return to:

CITY OF Point Comfort
PO BOX 497
POINT COMFORT, TX 77978

Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission
1908 N Laurent St. Ste 600
Victoria, TX 77901

I, Tammy Soliz, the designated official of CITY OF POINT COMFORT :
Print Name Print Entity Name

Acknowledge and **accept funding** through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Acknowledge and **decline funding** through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Tammy Soliz
Designated Official Signature

4-25-23
Date

Return to:

GCRPC
attn: Michael Ada

Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission
1908 N Laurent St. Ste 600
Victoria, TX 77901

I, Jack Whitlow, the designated official of City of Port Lavaca :
Print Name Print Entity Name

Acknowledge and **accept funding** through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Acknowledge and **decline funding** through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Jack Whitlow
Designated Official Signature

4-21-2023
Date

Return to:

CITY OF SEADRIFT
P.O. BOX 159 / 501 SOUTH MAIN ST.
SEADRIFT, TX 77983
(361) 785-2251

Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission
 1908 N Laurent St. Ste 600
 Victoria, TX 77901

I, DANIEL BEIGHAN, the designated official of CITY OF SEADRIFT :
Print Name Print Entity Name

Acknowledge and **accept funding** through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Acknowledge and **decline funding** through the Regional Mitigation Program.


 Designated Official Signature

04/28/2023
 Date

GLO 22-061-007-D163

Funding Acknowledgment Summary


Return to:

GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
1908 N Laurent St. Ste 600
Victoria, TX 77901

I, Fred Henry Hilscher, the designated official of City of Shiner :
Print Name Print Entity Name

Acknowledge and **accept funding** through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Acknowledge and **decline funding** through the Regional Mitigation Program.

 4.24.23
Designated Official Signature Date

Return to:
Lisa Benavidez % City of Smiley
P.O. Box 189
Smiley TX 78159

Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission
1908 N Laurent St. Ste 600
Victoria, TX 77901

I, Lisa Benavidez, the designated official of City of Smiley :
Print Name Print Entity Name

Acknowledge and **accept funding** through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Acknowledge and **decline funding** through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Lisa Benavidez
Designated Official Signature

4/20/23
Date

GLO 22-061-007-D163

Funding Acknowledgment Summary


Return to:

GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
1908 N Laurent St. Ste 600
Victoria, TX 77901

I, JEFF BANKNIGHT, the designated official of CITY OF VICTORIA, TEXAS :
Print Name Print Entity Name

Acknowledge and **accept funding** through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Acknowledge and **decline funding** through the Regional Mitigation Program.


Designated Official Signature

5/2/23
Date

GLO 22-061-007-D163

Funding Acknowledgment Summary


Return to: City of Waelder
P.O. Box 427
Waelder, TX. 78959

GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
1908 N Laurent St. Ste 600
Victoria, TX 77901

I, ROY TOVAR, the designated official of CITY OF WAELDER ;
Print Name Print Entity Name

Acknowledge and **accept funding** through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Acknowledge and **decline funding** through the Regional Mitigation Program.


Designated Official Signature

APRIL 21, 2023
Date

Return to:



Carl O'Neill
907 Coke St
Yoakum, TX 77995-4626


Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission
1908 N Laurent St. Ste 600
Victoria, TX 77901

I, CARL L. O'NEILL, the designated official of City of Yoakum
Print Name Print Entity Name

Acknowledge and **accept funding** through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Acknowledge and **decline funding** through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Carl L O'Neill Designated Official Signature 4-24-23 Date



Return to:

City of Yorktown

Po Box 605


Yorktown, Texas 78164

GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
1908 N Laurent St. Ste 600
Victoria, TX 77901

I, Bill Baker, the designated official of City of Yorktown :
Print Name Print Entity Name

Acknowledge and **accept funding** through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Acknowledge and **decline funding** through the Regional Mitigation Program.



Designated Official Signature

April 24, 2023

Date



GLO 22-061-007-D163

Funding Acknowledgment Summary

Return to:

GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
1908 N Laurent St. Ste 600
Victoria, TX 77901

7,428,500

1. Richard H. Meyer, the designated official of 77979 Calhoun County
Print Name Print Entity Name

Acknowledge and accept funding through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Acknowledge and decline funding through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Richard H. Meyer
Designated Official Signature

4-27-2023
Date

Return to:

1,353,700

Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission
1908 N Laurent St. Ste 600
Victoria, TX 77901

I, Richard H. Meyer, the designated official of Calhoun County :
Print Name Print Entity Name

Acknowledge and **accept funding** through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Acknowledge and **decline funding** through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Richard H. Meyer
Designated Official Signature

4-27-2023
Date

Return to:

COUNTY JUDGE
307 N. GONZALES ST.
CUERO, TX 77954




Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission
1908 N Laurent St. Ste 600
Victoria, TX 77901

I, DARYL L. FOWLER, the designated official of DE WITT COUNTY
Print Name Print Entity Name

Acknowledge and **accept funding** through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Acknowledge and **decline funding** through the Regional Mitigation Program.


Designated Official Signature

April 27, 2023
Date

GLO 22-061-007-D163

Funding Acknowledgment Summary

Return to:
Goliad County
P.O. Box 677
Goliad, TX 77963

GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
1908 N Laurent St. Ste 600
Victoria, TX 77901

I, Mike Bennett, the designated official of Goliad County :
Print Name Print Entity Name

Acknowledge and **accept funding** through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Acknowledge and **decline funding** through the Regional Mitigation Program.


Designated Official Signature

April 18, 2023
Date

GLO 22-061-007-D163

Funding Acknowledgment Summary

Return to:

GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
1908 N Laurent St. Ste 600
Victoria, TX 77901

I, PATRICK C. DAVIS, the designated official of GONZALES COUNTY :
Print Name Print Entity Name

Acknowledge and accept funding through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Acknowledge and decline funding through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Patrick C. Davis
Designated Official Signature

04-27-2023
Date

Return to:

JACKSON COUNTY
115 W. MAIN, RM. 207
EDNA, TEXAS 77957

Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission
1908 N Laurent St. Ste 600
Victoria, TX 77901

I, Jill S. Sklar, the designated official of Jackson County
Print Name Print Entity Name

Acknowledge and **accept funding** through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Acknowledge and **decline funding** through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Jill S. Sklar
Designated Official Signature

4/25/23
Date

GLO 22-061-007-D163

Funding Acknowledgment Summary

Return to:

GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
1908 N Laurent St. Ste 600
Victoria, TX 77901

I, Keith Mudd, the designated official of Lavaca County Judge:
Print Name Print Entity Name

Acknowledge and **accept funding** through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Acknowledge and **decline funding** through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Keith Mudd
Designated Official Signature

4-24-2023
Date

Return to:

Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission
1908 N Laurent St. Ste 600
Victoria, TX 77901

I, Ben Zeller, the designated official of Victoria County :
Print Name Print Entity Name



Acknowledge and **accept funding** through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Acknowledge and **decline funding** through the Regional Mitigation Program.

Designated Official Signature

5-1-23
Date

Appendix

MIT-MOD At-a-Glance

“The COG must use a direct allocation technique based on objective, replicable, and verifiable data that accounts for vulnerable populations and potential impacts from future disasters to distribute funds. GLO will provide data that may be used.”

Pg 9 of 19, *Texas General Land Office, Texas CDBG-MIT, Regional Mitigation Program, COG Method of Distribution Guidance*

Threshold Factors:

- Eligible Entities
- Minimum Distribution Amount
- HUD identified Most Impacted & Distressed (MID) Allocation (HUD MID)
- State identified MID Allocation (State MID)

Distribution Factors:

- 1) Population = Eligible entity's population
- 2) LMI = Low-to-moderate income population (count/ratio)
- 3) Social Vulnerability Index (SoVI) = Eligible entity's rating; used to ensure vulnerable and marginalized populations are not disparately impacted by risk
- 4) FEMA Damage Assessment

PRELIMINARY ALLOCATION [DATE]

Threshold Factor	Explanation of Threshold Factor
HUD MID	80% of Total Regional Allocation
State	20% of Total Regional Allocation
Minimum Allocation	\$500k Min Award

Distribution Factor	Weight	Source	Explanation of Factor Selection and Weighting
LMI	60%	HUD	HUD's LMI data weighted at 60% to prioritize LMI Households as required by HUD thereby reducing the region's risk related to disaster
Population	40%	2019, 5-Year ACS, US Census Bureau	Total population for each eligible entity was weighted at 40% in order to provide funding that would adequately address risks related to disaster based on the total number of people per eligible entity

DECEMBER 12, 2022 ALLOCATION; BOARD APPROVED FACTORS (“Option 4”)

Threshold Factor	Explanation of Threshold Factor
HUD MID	53.1% of Total Regional Allocation
State	46.9% of Total Regional Allocation
Minimum Allocation	\$500k Min Award

Distribution Factor	Weight	Source	Explanation of Factor Selection and Weighting
LMI	25%	HUD	HUD’s LMI data weighted at 60% to prioritize LMI Households as required by HUD thereby reducing the region’s risk related to disaster
Population	25%	2019, 5-Year ACS, US Census Bureau	Total population for each eligible entity was weighted at 40% in order to provide funding that would adequately address risks related to disaster based on the total number of people per eligible entity
Social Vulnerability	25%	University of South Carolina	
FEMA Damage Assessment	25%	Hurricane Harvey IAA Data	

Summary

Allocation amounts calculated using a weighted sum allocation model that accounts for an entity’s:

- total population,
- Low-to-moderate income population
- Social Vulnerability Index (demographic indicators & source)
- FEMA Damage Assessment information



Established 1824,
Founded By Congress,
Republic of Texas, 1839

The mission of the
City of Victoria is to
meet or exceed our
citizens' expectations
in the provision of
municipal services.

January 12, 2023

Hannah Crone
Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission
1908 N. Laurent Street, Suite 600
Victoria, TX 77901

Re: Public Comment from the City of Victoria Regarding CDBG-MIT
Method of Distribution

Dear Ms. Crone:

The first Method of Distribution (MOD) proposed by the Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission stated that funding allocations aligned with U.S. Housing and Urban Development's (HUD) priority of direct benefit to the greatest Low-to-Moderate Income populations and that in so doing, the greatest regional benefit would be realized. Both the City of Victoria and Victoria County agreed with this MOD as these are two of the areas that are the most densely populated, collectively have the highest LMI household density, and along with a portion of Calhoun County, were designated by HUD as the Most Impacted and Distressed areas following Hurricane Harvey in 2017.

Justification for the reduction in the minimum allocation and how the current MOD accomplishes the greatest regional benefit as equal to or greater than the original MOD was never described in detail to the board or to the public. The board of directors was simply handed a spreadsheet and asked to vote without explanation for why the minimum allocation was reduced, what factors are included in the Social Vulnerability Index and FEMA's Damage Assessment, how this data was obtained, or how and why these factors were weighted in the calculation.

It is fundamentally unclear how reducing the allocation to 17 of 27 eligible entities within the COG by half accomplishes a regional benefit, and especially to those designated by HUD as Most Impacted and Distressed.

Office of Mayor Jeff Bauknicht


City Hall Square,
Main at Juan Linn

If GCRPC utilizes the state MID percentage, then the HUD MID areas should not be excluded from the state MID. In other words, GCRPC should include the City of Victoria, Victoria County, and the HUD MID portions of Calhoun County in the calculations for all the HUD MID *and the state MID*.

Finally, the City has requested documentation of the Golden Regional Planning Commission's compliance with the Texas Open Meetings Act in conducting public hearings and solicitation of public comment in all member jurisdictions in accordance with both their contract with the Texas General Land Office, and their stated activities in the originally submitted MIT COG MOD Summary form.

The City requests that any approval of the MOD be withheld by the GLO until all requested documentation can be provided.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Jeff Bauknight', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Jeff Bauknight

Mayor, City of Victoria, Texas



GOLDEN CRESCENT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
GOLDEN CRESCENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

1908 N LAURENT ST, STE 600, Victoria, Texas 77901-5499

361.578.1587 361.578.8865

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

President

Alonzo Morales
 Commissioner, Goliad County

1st Vice-President

Tony Allen
 Councilman, City of Cuero

2nd Vice-President

Alice Jo Summers
 Mayor, City of Hallettsville

3rd Vice-President

Clinton Tegeler
 Mayor, City of Ganado

Secretary-Treasurer

Robin Alaniz
 Alderwoman, City of Goliad

Director-at-Large

Chris Jackson
 Councilman, City of Edna

Director-at-Large

Julio Espinosa
 Municipal Judge, Edna

Director-at-Large

Jeffery Tunnell
 Executive Director, Gulf Bend
 Center

Immediate Past President

Josephine E. Soliz
 Councilwoman, City of Victoria

Deputy Executive Director

Cindy Skarpa

Executive Director

Michael Ada

Mayor Jeff Bauknight
 City of Victoria
 105 West Juan Linn St.
 Victoria, TX 77901

February 8, 2023

Re: City of Victoria's Public Comment dated January 12, 2023.

Dear Mayor Bauknight:

Thank you for your comments regarding the Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission's (GCRPC) work on a Method of Distribution (MOD) for funding allocated to our region through the Texas General Land Office's (GLO), Texas CDBG Mitigation (CDBG-MIT) Regional Mitigation Program.

Under our contract with the GLO, GCRPC was tasked with the development of a MOD for the allocation of \$80,317,000 to eligible subrecipients in the seven-county Golden Crescent region. To accomplish this task, the Board of Directors, the governance body of our organization, and assigned GCRPC staff, were required to adhere to strict contract terms, GLO Guidance, and an approved implementation plan to finalize our region's MOD. The attached workflow outlines the approved process by which the MOD was developed. This workflow also outlines the various checks and approvals received from the GLO throughout the MOD development process.

The Board of Directors was briefed regarding the City of Victoria's request & public comment at its monthly meeting held on February 1, 2023. This letter serves as the board's response to the city's public comment. In addition, the city's public comment has been forwarded to the GLO for their review and consideration.

If you have any additional questions or concerns feel free to contact myself or our Regional Services Department Manager, Hannah Crone. You can reach me at michaela@gcrpc.org, or on my cell at 361.389.6508. Hannah can be reached at hannahc@gcrpc.org, or by cell at 361.648.3251.

Sincerely,

Michael Ada

Cc. Board of Directors
 Attachment

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Baird, Holden		GCRPC's allocaion of the Community Block Grant should be amended to prioritize funding sufficient to repair the dams located in Gonzales County, being the dams creating Lake Wood (H5) and Lake Gonzales (H4) because such dams are critical to the economic development in Gonzales County, and are important to the responsible management of the lakes, to ensure that the water supply, environment, and wildlife are preserved for the benefit of the region's residents and their health, safety, recreation and economic development.	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Baird, Madeleine		GCRPC's allocaion of the Community Block Grant should be amended to prioritize funding sufficient to repair the dams located in Gonzales County, being the dams creating Lake Wood (H5) and Lake Gonzales (H4) because such dams are critical to the economic development in Gonzales County, and are important to the responsible management of the lakes, to ensure that the water supply, environment, and wildlife are preserved for the benefit of the region's residents and their health, safety, recreation and economic development.	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/14/2022	Submitted in writing	Barfield, Susan		GCRPC's allocaion of the Community Block Grant should be amended to prioritize funding sufficient to repair the dams located in Gonzales County, being the dams creating Lake Wood (H5) and Lake Gonzales (H4) because such dams are critical to the economic development in Gonzales County, and are important to the responsible management of the lakes, to ensure that the water supply, environment, and wildlife are preserved for the benefit of the region's residents and their health, safety, recreation and economic development.	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.

10/7/2022	Submitted in writing	Bell, Brandy		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Bell, Crystal		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/7/2022	Submitted in writing	Bell, Douglas Wade		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/7/2022	Submitted in writing	Bell, Jessica		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.

10/7/2022	Submitted in writing	Bell, Phillip		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/7/2022	Submitted in writing	Bell, Tonja		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Bennett, Rodney	Development Consultant	GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/7/2022	Submitted in writing	Boynton, Tabatha		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.

10/6/2022	Submitted in writing	Breitschopf, Douglas		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/7/2022	Submitted in writing	Carter, Lexi		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/7/2022	Submitted in writing	Carter, Tyler		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/5/2022	Submitted in writing	Christian, Janna		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.

10/14/2022	Submitted in writing	Craft, Danyelle		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/14/2022	Submitted in writing	Currie, Melissa		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/5/2022	Submitted in writing	Day, Christy		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/6/2022	Submitted in writing	Dickerson, Jeffery Brent		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.

10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Dierlam, Scott	State Farm agent	GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/14/2022	Submitted in writing	Esparza Jr., Geronimo	Processing Manager	GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/14/2022	Submitted in writing	Esparza, Julie	RN	GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/14/2022	Submitted in writing	Finch, Cindy		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.

10/6/2022	Submitted in writing	Fixico, Robert		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Frye, Sammi		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/4/2022	Submitted in writing	Gabrysch, Gloria	Educational system	GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Grottke, Gary	IT Supervisor	GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.

10/14/2022	Submitted in writing	Holifield, Audra		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/14/2022	Submitted in writing	Holifield, William		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Hunson, Jeremy		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Hunter, Dakota		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.

10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Jassell, David J.		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/14/2022	Submitted in writing	Jeter, Shari		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Judd, Jeremy		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Leyendecker, John		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.

10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Mancill, Kick		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and detroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Mayfield, Dave	Retired	GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and detroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/14/2022	Submitted in writing	McGehee, Ryan		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and detroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Menning, Lee Roy C.	Rancher- Land Owner in serveral counties	GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and detroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam). Gonzales is a vibrant growing town and county abd need much new infrastructure there is a county rd (#143) that desperatly need paving it is the only access across the Guadalupe River between Gonzales and Belmont. This is a handicap for EMS- fire trucks and other emergency vehicles.	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.

	Submitted in writing	Nelson, Jeanie		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
	Submitted in writing	Nelson, Jon		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
9/30/2022	Submitted in writing	Nelson, Gary	Land owner	GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Parker, Nate		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.

10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Phillip, Abreo		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/7/2022	Submitted in writing	Pickens, John		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/14/2022	Submitted in writing	Pilat, Roxanne		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Quimby, Jeffrey		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.

10/14/2022	Submitted in writing	Ramos, Carlee		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Reddehase, Curtis		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Romero, Paul		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Ruddock, Jennifer		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.

10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Ruddock, Peyton		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Ruddock, Weston		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Ruddock, Will		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Ryle, Mary		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.

10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Simper, Margie		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/6/2022	Submitted in writing	Simper, Willie		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/4/2022	Submitted in writing	Solansky, Joe F.		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Stafford, Bethany C.		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.

10/14/2022	Submitted in writing	Swifol, Shaun		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Verdin, Bob		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Wallace, Lane	Commercial Roofer	GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/8/2022	Submitted in writing	Wegener, Breanna		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also known as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.

10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Welch, Mackey	Water Proofing	GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and detroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing			GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and detroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
9/27/2022	Submitted in writing	Davis, Patrick C.	Gonzales County Judge	1). What method of calculation was used to calculate the distribution. 2). Would like to see back up documentation used to make these calculations. 3). Gonzales County has (2) non fuctioning dams that has drained both our lakes on the Guadalupe River. 4H lake and 5H lake. GBRA has no intention on replairing them. The river belongs to the state. Regardless of what GBRA says these dams were used to regulate the flow of the water. Money for funding repairs could be available through this grant. Along with the 30 billion excess money that the state has this year. In fact all dams on Guadalupe could be restored. Money distributed throughout the COG need to be in a fair and impartial process. Victoria County and Calhoun County 77979 should not receive more than the (53.1%). 80% of the allocation is hard for the rest of the COG to swallow to these two areas. I feel this need to be reallocated in a fair manner to all.	GCRPC referred commenter to record of revisions and raw CSV dat
10/22/2022	Submitted in Writing	Randy N Bell		Decided to retire here and now I don't have a lake. Fishing and boating is my hobby.	THE GCRPC board referred project-specific comments to eligible entities determining their own projects; clarified GCRPC's involvement is only in allocation, not determination of projects.

10/6/2022	Submitted in writing	Merecka, Vaughn W.	Retired; Former Manager of Power Plant Maintenance Planning and Scheduling at CPS Energy.	I am asking the Board to grant the maximum amount allowable from the Block Grant to Gonzales County to be used to repair the dams at both H4 and H5 Lakes. The dams have been an asset to Gonzales County due to the tourist money derived from the recreational activities available at these lakes. The dams provided Hydro-electric power	THE GCRPC board referred project-specific comments to eligible entities determining their own projects; clarified GCRPC's involvement is only in allocation, not determination of projects.
9/29/2022	Submitted in writing	Siepmann, Wayne		I own a ranch in Gonzales Co. I have 2 miles of Guadalupe River frontage. Very low water level, cattle crossing, need to fix H-5 dam!	THE GCRPC board referred project-specific comments to eligible entities determining their own projects; clarified GCRPC's involvement is only in allocation, not determination of projects.
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Bishop, Linda Hamilton		I strongly appeal to each of the GoldenCrescent board members to adjust the disbursement of the current community development block grant Gonzales County is in dire need of funding to rebuild/ restore both the Lakewood H-4 and Lake Gonzales H-4 dams. For over ninety years the lakes have given Gonzales County residents and surrounding counties and those seeking a fishing hole or picnic area outside of Austin or San Antonio a free or inexpensive destination. Generations have enjoyed the lakes and now when such getaways are harder to fund these Central Texas jewels are gone. The established habitat for endangered species of mollusks and the cagle map turtle and a respite for the whooping crane is now lost. The fines that would be assed if an individual dsiturbed - destroyed this proven-thriving habitat would be enormous. The lakes can be reestablished. PLEASE allocate a larger percent to Gonzales County than the current amount. The funding for the projects fits-meets the criteria to the letter. Victoria County and the city of Victoria have a tax base base from industry that none of the surrounding counties have benefiting their needs.	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.

10/3/2022	Submitted in writing	Merecka, Traniece	Owner Squeak's Convenience Store Property owner on H4 Lake	I would like to ask for the maximum amount of the Block Grant be allocated for the repairs of H-4 and H-5 dams in Gonzales County. There have been great economic and environmental effects caused by the draining of these two lakes. These lakes were a beautiful part of Gonzales County and had been for over 90 years. Boating, camping, fishing, and hunting all brought tourists dollars to the county. Please do the right thing and bring back our lakes.	THE GCRPC board referred project-specific comments to eligible entities determining their own projects; clarified GCRPC's involvement is only in allocation, not determination of projects.
10/3/2022	Submitted in writing	Merecka, William G.	General Motors Automotive Product Specialist and landowner on H4 Lake	I would like to ask that the Board take in consideration the devastating economic effects that the draining of both H4 and H5 Lakes have had on Gonzales County. I ask please that you distribute the maximum amount allowable from the Block Grant to Gonzales County to be used toward the repair of both H4 and H5 Dams. These dams provide a tremendous resource for the county. Hydro Electric Power is produced that serves as a environmental friendly source of electricity!! Recreational activities such as boating, camping, hunting, fishing, bird watching,kayaking provide much needed tourist dollars to Gonzales County. Property values have plummeted in the lake areas and also create less tax dollars for Gonzales County schools.....Please do the right thing and help bring back the beauty of these 90 year old treasures!!	THE GCRPC board referred project-specific comments to eligible entities determining their own projects; clarified GCRPC's involvement is only in allocation, not determination of projects.
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Adams, John		I would like to petition to have reallocation of grant funds. Gonzales county would benefit greatly from restoration of oth Wood Lake and Gonzales Lake. The primary concern for restoration is for preservation of wildlife and environment. The lakes also alailablity of recreation, which in turn supports tourism. Restoration of the lakes will maintain property calues, preventing a declination in the tax base.	THE GCRPC board referred project-specific comments to eligible entities determining their own projects; clarified GCRPC's involvement is only in allocation, not determination of projects.

10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Adams, Kirsten		I would like to petition to have reallocation of grant funds. Gonzales county would benefit greatly from restoration of oth Wood Lake and Gonzales Lake. The primary concern for restoration is for preservation of wildlife and environment. The lakes also alailablity of recreation, which in turn supports tourism. Restoration of the lakes will maintain property calues, preventing a declination in the tax base.	THE GCRPC board referred project-specific comments to eligible entities determining their own projects; clarified GCRPC's involvement is only in allocation, not determination of projects.
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Clayton, Adams		I would like to petition to have reallocation of grant funds. Gonzales county would benefit greatly from restoration of oth Wood Lake and Gonzales Lake. The primary concern for restoration is for preservation of wildlife and environment. The lakes also alailablity of recreation, which in turn supports tourism. Restoration of the lakes will maintain property calues, preventing a declination in the tax base.	THE GCRPC board referred project-specific comments to eligible entities determining their own projects; clarified GCRPC's involvement is only in allocation, not determination of projects.
10/7/2022	Submitted in writing	Merecka, Margie	Retired Pharmacy Technician	I would like to request the Board to please allocate the maximum allowable amount for the purpose of repairing both the H4 and H5 Dams. The money lost from not being able to use all the recreational facilities in the area is detrimental to many small businesses. The highly decreased property values will surely hurt the amount of tax dollars available for the county and schools. Please help bring these Lakes back to their former glory.	THE GCRPC board referred project-specific comments to eligible entities determining their own projects; clarified GCRPC's involvement is only in allocation, not determination of projects.
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Brown, Greg		I would like to see Gonzales County receive funding for repair/replacement of the dams on the Guadalupe river that failed.	THE GCRPC board referred project-specific comments to eligible entities determining their own projects; clarified GCRPC's involvement is only in allocation, not determination of projects.
10/14/2022	Submitted in writing	Burrough, David R.		Lake Wood 4-5 the dam failed 6yr and the monies from federal funding should go to restore both dams in Gonzales county H-5 and H-4.	THE GCRPC board referred project-specific comments to eligible entities determining their own projects; clarified GCRPC's involvement is only in allocation, not determination of projects.
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Tuma, Jimmy W.	Farner , Rancher, Real Estate Agent	Lake Wood Dam located in Gonzales County should receive the funging to be repaired. Due to current drought conditions the lake should be returned to it prior condition pre 2016.	THE GCRPC board referred project-specific comments to eligible entities determining their own projects; clarified GCRPC's involvement is only in allocation, not determination of projects.

10/3/2022	Submitted in writing	Bollich, Anna		My family has owned property on Lake Gonzales since the 1950's and one of the first original owners/stewards on and of the lake. The lake provided much needed recreation such as swimming, fishing, cook outs, nature trails, water skiing, hunting, boating and family time together. We would be grateful to have this lake restored back to ehtit once ws for the community of Gonzakes County to enjoy once again. This lake truly one of kind and people came from long distances to enjoy it.	THE GCRPC board referred project-specific comments to eligible entities determining their own projects; clarified GCRPC's involvement is only in allocation, not determination of projects.
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Bell, Shelia		Our property was purchased in the 1950's and H-4 has been the making of so many memories! We love fishing and boating as a past time but the ecological effects are the present and clean facts as to why our lake is so important. Please reconsiders allocating more funds to our lakes in Gonzales County. We need them.	THE GCRPC board referred project-specific comments to eligible entities determining their own projects; clarified GCRPC's involvement is only in allocation, not determination of projects.
10/4/2022	Submitted in writing	Christian, William Brent		Please consider increaing the precentage allocation of the Community Block Development Grant given to Gonzales County for repair/replacement of the broken dams at Lake Wood(H5) and Lake Gonzales(H4). In the past, these lakes provided at to the county and it's residents. Tourism dollars were generated from fishing, boating, swimming, and other recreational activities. Also, native vegetation and wildlife have been displaced or destroyed since the lakes dried up.	THE GCRPC board referred project-specific comments to eligible entities determining their own projects; clarified GCRPC's involvement is only in allocation, not determination of projects.
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Hamilton, Kimerly		Please help restore the dam at Lake H-4. Our family has a hame on the river/lake area where we host family gatherings and events that serve churches and other community organizations. We have lost our ability to use the river/lake area for recreational and fishing activities.	THE GCRPC board referred project-specific comments to eligible entities determining their own projects; clarified GCRPC's involvement is only in allocation, not determination of projects.
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Bauman, Lisa		Please restore the H4/H5 lakes. They will help to develop and maintain local business along the lakes which is benficial for Gonzales County. There are many beautiful homes and properties which I'm sure were purchased because of the lakes. Maintaining the lakes will increase the value of these homes and properties which in turn will increase tax revenues.	THE GCRPC board referred project-specific comments to eligible entities determining their own projects; clarified GCRPC's involvement is only in allocation, not determination of projects.

10/13/2022	Submitted in Writing	Richard Baumann		Please restore the H4/H5 lakes. The lakes provide a very relaxing place for homeowners and those that visit the area. The lakes provide the wildlife a source of water.	THE GCRPC board referred project-specific comments to eligible entities determining their own projects; clarified GCRPC's involvement is only in allocation, not determination of projects.
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Hartmann, Ernest		Please restore the H4/H5 lakes. They are beneficial for the economic development of Gonzales County. My family has owned property there for many years and we constantly see boaters, fisherman, duck hunters there. This means money for guides, restaurants, shopping and short term rentals. Additionally, it increases the value of the real estate that generates tax revenue. It is also a shame to see the beautiful eco system destroyed...	THE GCRPC board referred project-specific comments to eligible entities determining their own projects; clarified GCRPC's involvement is only in allocation, not determination of projects.
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Hartmann, Marcella		Please restore the H4/H5 lakes. They are beneficial for the economic development of Gonzales County. My family has owned property there for many years and we constantly see boaters, fisherman, duck hunters there. This means money for guides, restaurants, shopping and short term rentals. Additionally, it increases the value of the real estate that generates tax revenue. It is also a shame to see the beautiful eco system destroyed...	THE GCRPC board referred project-specific comments to eligible entities determining their own projects; clarified GCRPC's involvement is only in allocation, not determination of projects.
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Hartmann, Darrell		Please, please reestablish Gonzales community H4/H5 lakes. These lakes have been a destination for vacationers and sportman for many years! The visitors and locals, spend money on overnight rentals, restaurants, guides, and shopping that help to the community businesses and tax revenue. Additionally, the lakes promote an invaluable eco environment that has been destroyed! The restoration of these lakes are important for the economic development of Gonzales County.	THE GCRPC board referred project-specific comments to eligible entities determining their own projects; clarified GCRPC's involvement is only in allocation, not determination of projects.

10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Hartmann, Nancy		Please, please reestablish Gonzales community H4/H5 lakes. These lakes have been a destination for vacationers and sportman for many years! The visitors and locals, spend money on overnight rentals, resturants, guides, and shopping that help to the community businesses and tax revenue. Additonally, the lakes promote an invaluable eco environment that has been destroyed! The lakes are so beautiful and it's a shame that the were not taken care of... Please help restore these caluable resources! This is so important for the economic development of Gonzales County!!!	THE GCRPC board referred project-specific comments to eligible entities determining their own projects; clarified GCRPC's involvement is only in allocation, not determination of projects.
10/10/2022	Submitted in Writing	Snady Puckitt		Properties were bought on a Lake. The Lake needs to come back	THE GCRPC board referred project-specific comments to eligible entities determining their own projects; clarified GCRPC's involvement is only in allocation, not determination of projects.
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Hunke, Martha and Tim		Restore H-4 Gonzales Lake Revive H-5 Lakewood. Please distribute fairly to each county with even distribution for needed community development.	THE GCRPC board referred project-specific comments to eligible entities determining their own projects; clarified GCRPC's involvement is only in allocation, not determination of projects.
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Garcia, John E.		Thanks for your attention to this matter. Ever since the dam broke we have missed the days when we were boating, fishing, and relaxing on the banks of the lake. Please bring those days back, for they are really missed. Repaing the dam would be the right thing to do. This would be a real financial boost for the community of Gonzales, Texas.	THE GCRPC board referred project-specific comments to eligible entities determining their own projects; clarified GCRPC's involvement is only in allocation, not determination of projects.
9/30/2022	Submitted in writing	Nelsony, Ronald	Land owner on H5 and Guadalupe river.	Water has become a very important value in Texas, every county should be maintaning all currant water sources. Every day we hear and see were larger cities are trying to seize water rights from smaller cities and towns, it's time to save all our water source, the H5 dam is a start, it is a water source that has great value to Gonzales county, and surrounding a towns. The erosion and lost of trees and wild life on the river and H5 dam is enormous. The H5 dam and lake was a place for everyone in Gonzales county to enjoy, we need your help, thanks Ron Nesloney	THE GCRPC board referred project-specific comments to eligible entities determining their own projects; clarified GCRPC's involvement is only in allocation, not determination of projects.

10/6/2022	Submitted in writing	Brzowski, Michael C.		We have two down dams in Gonzales County which need more funds to fix!	THE GCRPC board referred project-specific comments to eligible entities determining their own projects; clarified GCRPC's involvement is only in allocation, not determination of projects.
9/29/2022	Submitted in writing	Rochelle, Don	River Acres RV Park Asset manager	Allocation of funds seems skewed in particular to victoria and Calhoun counties. I would like to see baseline data that created this allocation. I feel the percentage of low to moderate income of each county needs to be taken into consideration not just base population numbers. Additionally population growth rates of each county should also be considered. It seems a county that is growing needs less funds compared to low growth areas since their tax base is increasing giving it a better ability to address issues, property taxes have created a surplus. https://worldpopulationreview.com/us-counties/tx/ and Welfareinfo.org Victoria County population 2022 92,225 growth .49% last year 6.26% since 2010 poverty rate 14.8% Calhoun County population 2022 19,850 growth -.64% last year -7.16% since 2010 poverty rate 15.8% Gonzales County population 2022 19,623 growth -.08% last year -.93% since 2010 poverty rate 17.2% Gonzales has very little growth and highest poverty rate. Victoria doing the best , growth and poverty rate, but is getting the most funds by a large margin 66%. Calhoun similar in size to Gonzales but lower poverty rate is getting 13%. These compared to Gonzales 3%. Victoria county is 4.7 times larger than Gonzales but getting 22 times as much money with a lower poverty rate. Calhoun county is same size as Gonzales with lower poverty rate but is getting 4 times as much money. The allocation seems very skewed when looking at the data above. This is reason I am asking for justification , data and formula. We need to review in more detail. Gonzales has lost two dams which provided economic as wells as recreational to low and moderate income families. The loss also affected people visiting and wanting to live in the county. It seems someone is directing water and funds to other areas for benefit of a few, ie rice farmers. There is a	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.

10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Amy, Rae Jean		<p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast, Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all and the city of Victoria have a tax base base from industry that none</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
9/19/2022	Submitted in writing	Mason, Scott	G & W Engineers	<p>Population basis, what about income versus population? Have you looked into % population of Victoria that work in surrounding counties, specifically Calhoun County? Victoria residents eat, work and stay in Calhoun. Leaving our Coastal counties without resiliency.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
9/21/2022	Submitted in writing	Foote, James		<p>I feel the distribution should remain as allocated, the impacted area was Victoria and Calhoun county and the board was curred in chosing this allocation</p>	<p>Reviewed comments and made adjustments per recommendations.</p>
10.06/2022	Submitted in writing	Zeller, Benjamin	Victoria County Commissioners Court	<p>A RESOLUTION BY VICTORIA COUNTY REGARDING REVIEW OF THE PRELIMINARY CDBG-MIT MOD THROUGH GCRPC Whereas, the General Land Office (GLO) has allocated approximately \$80 million to the Golden Crescent</p>	<p>de adjustments per recommendations.</p>

<p>10/5/2022</p>	<p>Submitted in writing</p>	<p>Nichols, Larry W.</p>	<p>Project Manager, Construction Coordinator, Calhoun County Independent School District</p>	<p>Whereas, the General Land office (GLO) has allocated approximately \$80 million to the Golden Crescent region as a part of the Texas Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Mitigation Action Plan: and Whereas, the Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) was tasked with developing a method of distribution (MOD) for the funding through the Regional Mitigation Program: and Whereas, Victoria County understands CDBG-MIT grant requirements necessitated calculations that address thresholds to benefiting low-to-moderate income (LMI) Persons: and Whereas, Victoria County fully supports the current method of distribution (MOD) that prioritizes 80% of funding for the Most Impacted and Distressed (MID) communities as identified by the U.S Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUB): and Whereas, the County has reviewed the preliminary MOD and allocations proposed by the GCPRC and has no objections to the fair and equitable allocations of funds proposed.</p>	<p>project-specific comments to eligible projects; clarified GCRPC's on, not determination of projects.</p>
<p>10/10/2022</p>	<p>Submitted in writing</p>	<p>Urban, Jennifer</p>	<p>General Manager DeWitt County Drainage District No. 1</p>	<p>Now, Therefore BE IT RESOLVED , that Victoria County approves and submits no oppositions to the proposed GCPRC MOD related to the CDBM-MIT grant allocations. Passed and Approved this 3rd day of October, 2022</p> <p>entities to increase safety for local and surrounding communities before, during, and after flood events. Additionally, to continue our mission of mitigating flood hazards for the community we serve, an overall system survey and regrading is in need for our 5 channels which collect approximately 80-90% of the City of Cuero's storm water drainage and have experienced significantly decreased capacity in many areas due to sediment buildup. DCDD1 was established in 1914 and performs drainage activity throughout the local watershed which encompasses approximately 13,000 acres. The district boundaries roughly follow the City of Cuero and the projects mentioned would benefit approximately 8,500 people.</p>	<p>GCRPC Board decided against expanding the list of eligible entities. GCRPC recommended partnering with eligible entities where special districts operate.</p>

Golden Crescent Community Development Block Grant Mitigation (CDBG-MIT) Method of Distribution of Funds - Public Comments and Responses- Calhoun County

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Behrens, Joel	County Commissioner, Precint 3	I would like the GCRPC to consider changing the MOD of the CDBG-MIT funds. The formulas used to allocate the funds would seem to be more fairly distributed using the Hurricane Harvey formulas. This would also better fit the criteria for the dispersal of the funds.	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
9/20/2022	Submitted in writing	Kojt, Kathryn	Port Lavaca resident	I'm a Port Lavaca resident. This needs to a min. 50/50 split preferably a bigger portion should go to overall communities.	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
	Submitted in writing	Reese, Gary D.	County Commissioner, Precint 4	For public comment, I'd like to ask the Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) to change the distribution method for the CDBG-MIT allocation. I request the GCRPC to use the rating factors and formula utilized under the Harvey allocation since it took into account other important factors beyond population size such as prior storm damage, resilient factors, social vulnerability and FEMA data. The Harvey DR formula allows for a more regional approach to mitigation making sure our coastal communities get enough funding to make a difference, since they serve as a barrier and protector to the more inland area of Victoria, and many of those residents work outside that city on critical facilities along the coast. It also allows for a fairer approach towards distribution of the State MID funds allowing for a better impact in smaller communities region wide. On page 24 of the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". Calhoun County has more coastline than any other County in the State of Texas and at the current calculations there's not enough allocated to make a meaningful impact on coastal flooding. Attached is a representation of a model using the DR distribution method and the amounts that would be allocated. This model would naturally meet the minimum 51% allocated to HUD MID communities, and more evenly distribute funds to mitigate hazard risks across the coastal region. Additionally, should the COG to do away with the waiver of the \$1,000,000 minimum that was set by GLO, we would be in favor of evenly removing funding from every State MID community to give funds to those 4 communities that fall short in this sample model below. This shows a collaborative commitment to a regional approach where everyone gives a little to make sure even the smallest communities get a meaningful allocation for mitigation.	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.

Golden Crescent Community Development Block Grant Mitigation (CDBG-MIT) Method of Distribution of Funds - Public Comments and Responses- Dewitt County

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/3/2022	Submitted in writing	Baucum, Larry		Hud @ 53% State @ 47%	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
9/29/2022	Submitted in writing	Brown, Derek		Hud @ 53% State @ 47%	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
44834	Submitted in writing	Fowler, Daryl	Citizens of Dewitt County in the capacity of County Judge	1) HUD MIT 53 % STATE MIT 47% > In support of this ratio. 2) In support of small taxing jurisdictions such as Dewitt County Drainage District No. 1 included as recipients. 3) In support co-sponsors of Flood Prevention Dams receiving grant funding.	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
44838	Submitted in writing	Garcia, Rene		Hud @ 53% State @ 47%	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/4/2022	Submitted in writing	Gonzales, Joey		Hud @ 53% State @ 47%	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
9/29/2022	Submitted in writing	Payne, Kathy		Hud @ 53% State @ 47%	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Randle, Richard	Citizens of Dewitt County in the capacity of County Commissioner Pct. 4	1) HUD MIT 53 % STATE MIT 47% > In support of this ratio. 2) In support of small taxing jurisdictions such as Dewitt County Drainage District No. 1 included as recipients. 3) In support co-sponsors of Flood Prevention Dams receiving grant funding.	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Urban, Jennifer	General Manager DeWitt County Drainage District No. 1	We request that special districts be including in the list of eligible entities to receive funding through the CDBG Program. DCDD1 has been awarded a grant from Texas Water Development Board to install a stream gauge system which requires a local share match. Funds from the CDBG would be used to assist in completing this project which provides early flood hazard warnings and data to multiple entities to increase safety for local and surrounding communities before, during, and after flood events. Additionally, to continue our mission of mitigating flood hazards for the community we serve, an overall system survey and regrading is in need for our 5 channels which collect approximately 80-90% of the City of Cuero's storm water drainage and have experienced significantly decreased capacity in many areas due to sediment buildup. DCDD1 was established in 1914 and performs drainage activity throughout the local watershed which encompasses approximately 13,000 acres. The district boundaries roughly follow the City of Cuero and the projects mentioned would benefit approximately 8,500 people.	

Golden Crescent Community Development Block Grant Mitigation (CDBG-MIT) Method of Distribution of Funds - Public Comments and Responses- Goliad County

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Bennett, Mike	Goliad County	Please consider using rating factors and formula utilized under the Harvey allocation, since it took into account factors beyond population size, such as resiliency factors, social vulnerability, and FEMA data.	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/22/2022	Submitted in writing	Bruns, Davis L	Goliad County Commissioner Precinct 4	For public comment, I'd like to ask the Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) to change the distribution method for the CDBG-MIT allocation. I request the GCRPC to use the rating factors and formula utilized under the Harvey allocation since it took into account other important factors beyond population size such as prior storm damage, resilient factors, social vulnerability and FEMA data. Additionally, the Harvey DR formula allows for a more regional approach to mitigation making sure our coastal communities get enough funding to make a difference, since they serve as a barrier and protector to the more inland area of Victoria, and many of those residents work outside that city on critical facilities along the coast. It also allows for a more fair approach towards distribution of the State MID funds allowing for a better impact in smaller communities region wide. On page 24 of the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and depressions, and severe coastal and verinverine flooding." Calhoun County has more coastline than any other County in the State of Texas and at the current calculations there's not enough allocated to make a meaningful impact on the coastal flooding.	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Morales, Alonzo	Goliad County	My suggestion would be to spread the mitigation funds more evenly across the seven county region to the counties and cities.	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.

Golden Crescent Community Development Block Grant Mitigation (CDBG-MIT) Method of Distribution of Funds - Public Comments and Responses- Gonzales County

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Phillip, Abreo		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Clayton, Adams		I would like to petition to have reallocation of grant funds. Gonzales county would benefit greatly from restoration of oth Wood Lake and Gonzales Lake. The primary concern for restoration is for preservation of wildlife and environment. The lakes also alailability of recreation, which in turn supports tourism. Restoration of the lakes will maintain property values, preventing a declination in the tax base.	
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Adams, John		I would like to petition to have reallocation of grant funds. Gonzales county would benefit greatly from restoration of oth Wood Lake and Gonzales Lake. The primary concern for restoration is for preservation of wildlife and environment. The lakes also alailability of recreation, which in turn supports tourism. Restoration of the lakes will maintain property values, preventing a declination in the tax base.	
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Adams, Kirsten		I would like to petition to have reallocation of grant funds. Gonzales county would benefit greatly from restoration of oth Wood Lake and Gonzales Lake. The primary concern for restoration is for preservation of wildlife and environment. The lakes also alailability of recreation, which in turn supports tourism. Restoration of the lakes will maintain property values, preventing a declination in the tax base.	
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Baird, Holden		GCRPC's allocaion of the Community Block Grant should be amended to prioritize funding sufficient to repair the dams located in Gonzales County, being the dams creating Lake Wood (H5) and Lake Gonzales (H4) because such dams are critical to the economic development in Gonzales County, and are important to the responsible management of the lakes, to ensure that the water supply, environment, and wildlife are preserved for the benefit of the region's residents and their health, safety, recreation and economic development.	
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Baird, Madeleine		GCRPC's allocaion of the Community Block Grant should be amended to prioritize funding sufficient to repair the dams located in Gonzales County, being the dams creating Lake Wood (H5) and Lake Gonzales (H4) because such dams are critical to the economic development in Gonzales County, and are important to the responsible management of the lakes, to ensure that the water supply, environment, and wildlife are preserved for the benefit of the region's residents and their health, safety, recreation and economic development.	
10/14/2022	Submitted in writing	Barfield, Susan		GCRPC's allocaion of the Community Block Grant should be amended to prioritize funding sufficient to repair the dams located in Gonzales County, being the dams creating Lake Wood (H5) and Lake Gonzales (H4) because such dams are critical to the economic development in Gonzales County, and are important to the responsible management of the lakes, to ensure that the water supply, environment, and wildlife are preserved for the benefit of the region's residents and their health, safety, recreation and economic development.	
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Bauman, Lisa		Please restore the H4/H5 lakes. They will help to develop and maintain local business along the lakes which is benficial for Gonzales County. There are many beautiful homes and properties which I'm sure were purchased because of the lakes. Maintaining the lakes will increase the value of these homes and properties which in turn will increase tax revenues.	
10/7/2022	Submitted in writing	Bell, Brandy		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Bell, Crystal		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/7/2022	Submitted in writing	Bell, Douglas Wade		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/7/2022	Submitted in writing	Bell, Jessica		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/7/2022	Submitted in writing	Bell, Phillip		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Bell, Shelia		Our property was purchased in the 1950's and H-4 has been the making of so many memories! We love fishing and boating as a past time but the ecological effects are the present and clean facts as to why our lake is so important. Please reconsiders allocating more funds to our lakes in Gonzales County. We need them.	
10/7/2022	Submitted in writing	Bell, Tonja		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Bennett, Rodney	Development Consultant	GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Bishop, Linda Hamilton		I strongly appeal to each of the GoldenCrescent board members to adjust the disbursement of the current community development block grant Gonzales County is in dire need of funding to rebuild/ restore both the Lakewood H-4 and Lake Gonzales H-4 dams. For over ninety years the lakes have given Gonzales County residents and surrounding counties and those seeking a fishing hole or picnic area outside of Austin or San Antonio a free or inexpensive destination. Generations have enjoyed the lakes and now when such getaways are harder to fund these Central Texas jewels are gone. The established habitat for endangered species of mollusks and the cagle map turtle and a respite for the whooping crane is now lost. The fines that would be assed if an individual dsiturbed - destroyed this proven-thriving habitat would be enormous. The lakes can be reestablished. PLEASE allocate a larger percent to Gonzales County than the current amount. The funding for the projects fits-meets the criteria to the letter. Victoria County and the city of Victoria have a tax base base from industry that none of the surrounding counties have benefiting their needs.	
10/3/2022	Submitted in writing	Bollich, Anna		My family has owned property on Lake Gonzales since the 1950's and one of the first original owners/stewards on and of the lake. The lake provided much needed recreation such as swimming, fishing, cook outs, nature trails, water skiing, hunting, boating and family time together. We would be grateful to have this lake restored back to ehit once ws for the community of Gonzakes County to enjoy once again. This lake truly one of kind and people came from long distances to enjoy it.	

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/7/2022	Submitted in writing	Boynton, Tabatha		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/6/2022	Submitted in writing	Breitschopf, Douglas		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Brown, Grey		I would like to see Gonzales County receive funding for repair/replacement of the dams on the Guadalupe river that failed.	
10/6/2022	Submitted in writing	Brzozowski, Michael C.		We have two down dams in Gonzales County which need more funds to fix!	
10/14/2022	Submitted in writing	Burrough, David R.		Lake Wood 4-5 the dam failed 6yr and the monies from federal funding should go to restore both dams in Gonzales county H-5 and H-4.	
10/7/2022	Submitted in writing	Carter, Lexi		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/7/2022	Submitted in writing	Carter, Tyler		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/5/2022	Submitted in writing	Christian, Janna		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/4/2022	Submitted in writing	Christian, William Brent		Please consider increaing the precentage allocation of the Community Block Development Grant given to Gonzales County for repair/replacement of the broken dams att Lake Wood(H5) and Lake Gonzales(H4). In the past, these lakes provided at to the county and it's residents. Tourism dollars were generated from fishing, boating, swimming, and other recreational activities. Also, native vegetation and wildlife have been displaced or destroyed since the lakes dried up.	
10/14/2022	Submitted in writing	Craft, Danyelle		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/14/2022	Submitted in writing	Currie, Melissa		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
9/27/2022	Submitted in writing	Davis, Patrick C.	Gonzales County Judge	1). What method of calculation was used to calculate the distribution. 2). Would like to see back up documentation used to make these calculations. 3). Gonzales County has (2) non fuctioning dams that has drained both our lakes on the Guadalupe River. 4H lake and 5H lake. GBRA has no intention on replairing them. The river belongs to the state. Regardless of what GBRA says these dams were used to regulate the flow of the water. Money for funding repairs could be available through this grant. Along with the 30 billion excess money that the state has this year. In fact all dams on Guadalupe could be restored. Money distributed throughout the COG need to be in a fair and impartial proces. Victoria County and Calhoun County 77979 should not receive more than the (53.1%). 80% of the allocation is hard for the rest of the COG to swallow to these two areas. I feel this need to be reallocated in a fair manner to all.	

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/5/2022	Submitted in writing	Day, Christy		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/6/2022	Submitted in writing	Dickerson, Jeffery Brent		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Dierlam, Scott	State Farm agent	GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/14/2022	Submitted in writing	Esparza Jr., Geronimo	Processing Manager	GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/14/2022	Submitted in writing	Esparza, Julie	RN	GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/14/2022	Submitted in writing	Finch, Cindy		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/6/2022	Submitted in writing	Fixico, Robert		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Frye, Sammi		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/4/2022	Submitted in writing	Gabrysch, Gloria	Educational system	GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Garcia, John E.		Thanks for your attention to this matter. Ever since the dam broke we have missed the days when we were boating, fishing, and relaxing on the banks of the lake. Please bring those days back, for they are really missed. Repaing the dam would be the right thing to do. This would be a real financial boost for the community of Gonzales, Texas.	
10/4/2022	Submitted in writing	Gonzales, Joey	City of Nordheim	HUD Mit @53% State Mit @47%	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Grottke, Gary	IT Supervisor	GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Hamilton, Kimerly		Please help restore the dam at Lake H-4. Our family has a home on the river/lake area where we host family gatherings and events that serve churches and other community organizations. We have lost our ability to use the river/lake area for recreational and fishing activities.	
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Hartmann, Darrell		Please, please reestablish Gonzales community H4/H5 lakes. These lakes have been a destination for vacationers and sportman for many years! The visitors and locals, spend money on overnight rentals, restaurants, guides, and shopping that help to the community businesses and tax revenue. Additionally, the lakes promote an invaluable eco environment that has been destroyed! The resoration of these lakes are important for the economic development of Gonzales County.	
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Hartmann, Ernest		Please retore the H4/H5 lakes. They are benefical for the economic development of Gonzales County. My family has owned property there for many years and we constantly see boaters, fisherman, duck hunters there. This means money for guides, restaurants, shopping and short term rentals. Additionally, it increases the value of the real estate that generates tax revenue. It s also a shame to see the beautiful eco system destroyed...	
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Hartmann, Marcella		Please retore the H4/H5 lakes. They are benefical for the economic development of Gonzales County. My family has owned property there for many years and we constantly see boaters, fisherman, duck hunters there. This means money for guides, restaurants, shopping and short term rentals. Additionally, it increases the value of the real estate that generates tax revenue. It s also a shame to see the beautiful eco system destroyed...	
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Hartmann, Nancy		Please, please reestablish Gonzales community H4/H5 lakes. These lakes have been a destination for vacationers and sportman for many years! The visitors and locals, spend money on overnight rentals, restaurants, guides, and shopping that help to the community businesses and tax revenue. Additionally, the lakes promote an invaluable eco environment that has been destroyed! The lakes are so beautiful and it's a shame that the were not taken care of... Please help restore these caluable resources! This is so important for the economic development of Gonzales County!!!	
10/14/2022	Submitted in writing	Holifield, Audra		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and detroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/14/2022	Submitted in writing	Holifield, William		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and detroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Hunke, Martha and Tim		Restore H-4 Gonzales Lake Revive H-5 Lakewood. Please distribute fairly to each county with even distribution for needed community development.	
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Hunson, Jeremy		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and detroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Hunter, Dakota		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and detroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Jassell, David J.		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and detroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/14/2022	Submitted in writing	Jeter, Shari		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Judd, Jeremy		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Leyendecker, John		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Mancill, Kick		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Mayfield, Dave	Retired	GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/14/2022	Submitted in writing	McGehee, Ryan		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Menning, Lee Roy C.	Rancher- Land Owner in severnal counties	GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam). Gonzales is a vibrant growing town and county abd need much new infrastructure there is a county rd (#143) that desperatly need paving it is the only access across the Guadalupe River between Gonzales and Belmont. This is a handicap for EMS- fire trucks and other emergency vehicles.	
10/7/2022	Submitted in writing	Merecka, Margie	Retired Pharmacy Technician	I would like to request the Board to please allocate the maximum allowable amount for the purpose of repairing both the H4 and H5 Dams. The money lost from not being able to use all the recreational facilities in the area is detrimental to many small businesses. The highly decreased property values will surely hurt the amount of tax dollars available for the county and schools. Please help bring these Lakes back to their former glory.	
10/3/2022	Submitted in writing	Merecka, Traniece	Owner Squeak's Convenience Store Property owner on H4 Lake	I would like to ask for the maximum amount of the Block Grant be allocated for the repairs of H-4 and H-5 dams in Gonzales County. There have been great economic and environmental effects caused by the draining of these two lakes. These lakes were a beautiful part of Gonzales County and had been for over 90 years. Boating, camping, fishing, and hunting all brought tourists dollars to the county. Please do the right thing and bring back our lakes.	
10/6/2022	Submitted in writing	Merecka, Vaughn W.	Retired; Former Manager of Power Plant Maintenance Planning and Scheduling at CPS Energy.	I am asking the Board to grant the maximum amount allowable from the Block Grant to nzales County to be used to repair the dams at both H4 and H5 Lakes. The dams have been an asset to Gonzales County due to the tourist money derived from the recreational activities available at these lakes. The dams provided Hydro-electric power	

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/3/2022	Submitted in writing	Merecka, William G.	General Motors Automotive Product Specialist and landowner on H4 Lake	I would like to ask that the Board take in consideration the devastating economic effects that the draining of both H4 and H5 Lakes have had on Gonzales County. I ask please that you distribute the maximum amount allowable from the Block Grant to Gonzales County to be used toward the repair of both H4 and H5 Dams. These dams provide a tremendous resource for the county. Hydro Electric Power is produced that serves as a environmental friendly source of electricity!! Recreational activities such as boating, camping, hunting, fishing, bird watching,kayaking provide much needed tourist dollars to Gonzales County. Property values have plummeted in the lake areas and also create less tax dollars for Gonzales County schools.....Please do the right thing and help bring back the beauty of these 90 year old treasures!!	
	Submitted in writing	Nelson, Jeanie		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
	Submitted in writing	Nelson, Jon		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
9/30/2022	Submitted in writing	Nelson, Gary	Land owner	GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
9/30/2022	Submitted in writing	Nelson, Ronald	Land owner on H5 and Guadalupe river.	Water has become a very important value in Texas, every county should be maintaining all currant water sources. Every day we hear and see were larger cities are trying to seize water rights from smaller cities and towns, it's time to save all our water source, the H5 dam is a start, it is a water source that has great value to Gonzales county, and surrounding a towns. The erosion and lost of trees and wild life on the river and H5 dam is enormous. The H5 dam and lake was a place for everyone in Gonzales county to enjoy, we need your help, thanks Ron Nesloney	
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Parker, Nate		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/7/2022	Submitted in writing	Pickens, John		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/14/2022	Submitted in writing	Pilat, Roxanne		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing			GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Quimby, Jeffrey		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/14/2022	Submitted in writing	Ramos, Carlee		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Reddehase, Curtis		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
9/29/2022	Submitted in writing	Rochelle, Don	River Acres RV Park Asset manager	Allocation of funds seems skewed in particular to Victoria and Calhoun counties. I would like to see baseline data that created this allocation. I feel the percentage of low to moderate income of each county needs to be taken into consideration not just base population numbers. Additionally population growth rates of each county should also be considered. It seems a county that is growing needs less funds compared to low growth areas since their tax base is increasing giving it a better ability to address issues, property taxes have created a surplus. https://worldpopulationreview.com/us-counties/tx/ and Welfareinfo.org Victoria County population 2022 92,225 growth .49% last year 6.26% since 2010 poverty rate 14.8% Calhoun County population 2022 19,850 growth -.64% last year -7.16% since 2010 poverty rate 15.8% Gonzales County population 2022 19,623 growth -.08% last year -.93% since 2010 poverty rate 17.2% Gonzales has very little growth and highest poverty rate. Victoria doing the best , growth and poverty rate, but is getting the most funds by a large margin 66%. Calhoun similar in size to Gonzales but lower poverty rate is getting 13%. These compared to Gonzales 3%. Victoria county is 4.7 times larger than Gonzales but getting 22 times as much money with a lower poverty rate. Calhoun county is same size as Gonzales with lower poverty rate but is getting 4 times as much money. The allocation seems very skewed when looking at the data above. This is reason I am asking for justification , data and formula. We need to review in more detail. Gonzales has lost two dams which provided economic as wells as recreational to low and moderate income families. The loss also affected people visiting and wanting to live in the county. It seems someone is directing water and funds to other areas for benefit of a few, ie rice farmers. There is a consistent effort to take water resources from Gonzales and the county needs funds to fight and build a better environment for all. With factories being built further west , Gonzales is a good place for many workers where they could find their need for lower cost living.	
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Romero, Paul		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Ruddock, Jennifer		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Ruddock, Peyton		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Ruddock, Weston		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Ruddock, Will		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Ryle, Mary		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
9/29/2022	Submitted in writing	Siepmann, Wayne		I own a ranch in Gonzales Co. I have 2 miles of Guadalupe River frontage. Very low water level, cattle crossing, need to fix H-5 dam!	
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Simper, Margie		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/6/2022	Submitted in writing	Simper, Willie		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/4/2022	Submitted in writing	Solansky, Joe F.		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Stafford, Bethany C.		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/14/2022	Submitted in writing	Swifol, Shaun		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Tuma, Jimmy W.	Farmer , Rancher, Real Estate Agent	Lake Wood Dam located in Gonzales County should receive the funging to be repaired. Due to current drought conditions the lake should be returned to it prior condition pre 2016.	
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Verdin, Bob		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Wallace, Lane	Commercial Roofer	GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	
10/8/2022	Submitted in writing	Wegener, Breanna		GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Welch, Mackey	Water Proofing	GCRPC's Method of Distribution total allocation should be amended to prioritize funding to mitigate (lessen) flooding due to the distressed and destroyed dams located in Gonzales County, Texas, being the Lake Wood (also know as H-5 Dam) Dam and Lake Gonzales Dam (also known as H-4 Dam).	

Golden Crescent Community Development Block Grant Mitigation (CDBG-MIT) Method of Distribution of Funds - Public Comments and Responses- Jackson County

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Airhart, Michael Bruce		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast, Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This Will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Amy, Rae Jean		<p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast, Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This Will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all nd the city of Victoria have a tax base base from industry that none</p>	
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Amy, Randy		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast, Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This Will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Andel, Barbara		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast, Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This Will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Andel, Michael		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Andene, Brenna		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Anderle, Kyleigh		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Anderson, Kenneth		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Arriaga, Callie	Resident of Jackson County	<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Bacak, Cyle	Jackson County Resident	<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Baker, James R.		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Beard, Paul		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Behan, Lance		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Behan, Margie		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Belick, Johnny E.		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Bermea, Derrick	Edna Police Department	<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Besier, Nathan		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Bloxom, David		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Boone, Rick	Chief of Police Edna	<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Bourg, Danielle	Dog Groomer	<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Brady, Gary		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Brooks, Craig	Fire Chief, Carancahua Community VFD	<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Brooks, Dolores		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Brown, Ashley		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Broz, Gary	City of Edna - City Manager	<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Bubela, Kent		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Bubela, Wayne	Jackson County Commissioner Pct. 2	<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Bubela, Bishene	Deputy County Tax Office	<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Bures, Dennis		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Burttschell, Brad		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Burttschell, Tracy		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Bustamante, Bridget	Edna Police Department	<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Callis, Brandon L.		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Callis, Wayne		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Carabajac, Michael	Ganado Fire Department	<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Caranchua, Cape WSC	CCWSC	<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Carroll, Debbie		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Chambers, Star		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Chandler, C.T.		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Chandler, Dolores		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Christopher Jr, James Lee		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Churan, Bryan		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Coleman, Jessica		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Cook, Edwin		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Cook, Joe L.	Pct. 1 Jackson Co.	<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Crain, Roxana		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Crenshaw, Jill		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Cunningham, Stephanie	Jacobs Animal Health Supplies	<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Curlee, Brandon	Texas DPS	<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Dabelgott, Mary Jane		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	De La Garza, Darkion	JCESD3	<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Dillard, Thomas		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Dodds, Michael A.	City Council Person Dist 4	<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This Will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Drastata, Kelley	Jackson County District Clerk	<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This Will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Dupre, Natalie		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This Will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Earl, Barbara		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This Will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Easter, Susan		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Euertl, Michelle		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
	Submitted in writing	Everts, Kevin		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Figirova, Karen		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Foster, Monica	Tax Assessor - Collector of Jackson County	<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Franco, Jorge		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Garcia, Eloy		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Garretson, Courtney		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Garza, Julie A.	Jackson County Employee	<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Garza, Teressa		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Gasch, Billy	Jackson County Co Pct. 1	<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Girndt, Elizabeth	Sales Specialist	<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Gobellan, Chirs	Jackson County ESD 3	<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This Will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Gonzales, Renee		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This Will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Grayson, Michael	Jackson County District EMS	<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This Will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Greene, Chancey		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This Will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Grffin, Sharron	Justice of the Peace Precinct 2, Ganado, TX	<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Grindt, Linda		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Grudt, Kimberly		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Guenther, Pam		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Gutierrez, Francis		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Guyes, Justin		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Haile, Michele		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Hajovsky, Jeremy	Jackson County Resident	<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Hatley, Karen		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Hayden, Billy Rae	W.E Hayden Lease Services	<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Hicks, Jeff		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Hiller, Mike		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Hilton, Betty	Resident of Jackson County	<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Hinjosa, Chris		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Holub, Brian		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Horton, Mary		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Hudgeons, Stephanie		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Hunt, Mary Lou		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
	Submitted in writing	Hunt, Wayne	Jackson County Pct. 1	<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Jackson, Chris		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Jacobs, John		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
9/20/2022	Submitted in writing	Janica, Kelly		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Johnson, Pat		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Johnson, Russell		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Johs, Robert		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This Will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Jones, Roseanna		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This Will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Jordan, Kaitlyn		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This Will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Kacer, Paula		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This Will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Kana, Dustin		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Kana, Holly		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Kasper, Matt		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Kirkpatrick, Craig		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
	Submitted in writing	Kolancy, Dennis		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Kovar, Kara		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Kruppa, Cindy		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Kubala, Denise		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Kubecka, Aaron		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Lang, Stephen		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Long, Stephen		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Lopez, Lupe		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Macha, John		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Manzanalez, Gloria		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Marthijohni, Jessica		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Martin,Barbara		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Martin, BRYAN		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Martin, Curtis		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Martin, Glenn		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Matcha, Johnathan		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Mathis, Sharon		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Maybry, Sylvia		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Mayfield, Tara		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	McCarrell, Michelle		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Mejia, Maria		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Mendoza, Alexis		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Meneley, Jody		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Mercer, Courtney		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/14/2022	Submitted in writing	Merritt, David M.		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Merrott, Diane		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Miller, Tonya		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Mirelez, Taylor		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Mitchell, Eileen		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Moon, Shawn		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Mooney, Deanna		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Moore, Brooke		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Moore, Patrick		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Morales, Brandon		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Morales, Shaye		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Mueller, Larry & Carole		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Muncrief, Justin		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
9/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Neumann, Katherine D.		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Newman, Jimmy		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Nixon, Jason		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Novak, Donald Wayne		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Novak, Donnie J.		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Olson, Emal Lynard		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Omecinski, James B.		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Pair, Tim		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Panza, Deanna		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Parks, Allen		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Parks, Dana		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Parks, Khloe		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Parks, Thomas		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Parles, Andrea		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Pate, Barbara		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Perez, Cynthia		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Perez, Jessica		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Petrash, Kevin		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Pitman, Rick		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Plant, Kenneth		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Poulton, Paul E.		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Poulton, Beverly		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Prochaska, Seth		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	city of laward		<p>WHEREAS, the CITY OF LAWARD, is a municipality in Jackson County, and</p> <p>WHEREAS, the CITY OF LAWARD, is listed as a Stated-MID under the Community Block Development Grant- Mitigation Program, and</p> <p>WHEREAS, CITY OF LAWARD received funds from the Community block development grant-Disaster Recovery for Hurricane Harvey, and</p> <p>NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that this resolution by CITY OF LAWARD ask the Golden Crescent regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) to change the allocations for the CDBG-MIT allocation with 53% for HUD MID (or whichever is minimum) and 47% for State MID (whichever is maximum). The formulas used for the MOD can be Applied to the new allocations. We believe this to be a more equitable approach to the distribution of funds.</p> <p>Passed and approved his the 3rd day of October 2022.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Quintanar, Maribel		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast, Furthermore, I request that GCRPCcontinue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This Will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
9/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Reumann, Katherine		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast, Furthermore, I request that GCRPCcontinue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This Will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Revel, James		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast, Furthermore, I request that GCRPCcontinue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This Will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Ritcher, Lajoy		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Rickaway, Fred V.		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Ritter, Garrett		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
0-12-22	Submitted in writing	Roberson, JoAnn		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Roberson, Rodney		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Rodriguez, Amanda		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Rodriguez, Daniel		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Rodriguez, Erin		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Rodriguez, Sylvia V.		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Roendvsky, Thomas		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Rossi, Phil		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Russell, Todish		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Sbmsch, Brittany		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Schroeder, Lacey		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Scott, Stacy		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Sickle, Courtney		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Sickle, Justin		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/6/2022	Submitted in writing	Sklar, Jill		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Skoruppa, Chris		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Skoruppa, Pam		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Sless, Danny		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Sless, Destiny		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Smiga, Sara		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Smith, Lance,		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Smiga, Sara		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Smith, Justin		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Snaders, Bettie		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Spann, Cassandra		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Stafford, Larry		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Stancik, Darren		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Stancik, darren		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Stancik, Renee		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Stancik, Kevin		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Stuhrenbery, Jamie		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/10/2022	Submitted in writing	Sulak, holly		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Suoik, James		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Thigpen, David		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Thompson, Hunter		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Timberlake, Tara		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Todish, Debbie		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Vasquez, Johnny		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Vasquez, Kim		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Veruer, Joe		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Vesely, Joe		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Villareal Ashley		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
	Submitted in writing	Walleck, Syvester		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Walters, Jayde		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Watson, Dakota		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Webel, David		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Whitley, Candace		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Williams, Letitia		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/12/2022	Submitted in writing	Williams, Michelle		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/13/2022	Submitted in writing	Withrow, Guy		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Wright, Margarette		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Zacek, Joy		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
10/11/2022	Submitted in writing	Zarate, LeRoy		<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
	Submitted in writing			<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
	Submitted in writing			<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
	Submitted in writing			<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
	Submitted in writing			<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
	Submitted in writing			<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
	Submitted in writing			<p>I request the GCRPC establish a more equitable distribution of MIT funds. The STATE/HUD MIT split should be the minimum that the GLO will require under the contract. The HUD entities have already received the majority of the funds for recovery after Hurricane Harvey. These entities were able to rebuild stronger and mitigate future damages in their recovery efforts. Let's keep in mind that these funds are for mitigations and although Jackson County was fortunate that we did not sustain as much damage during Hurricane Harvey, we have not had the resources to upgrade our old infrastructure. A more even distribution of the STATE/HUD funds still allows for Victoria, Victoria County, and Port Lavaca to get a majority of the funds, but also allows for more funds to be distributed to the other surrounding entities.</p> <p>The distribution should use rating factors that consider proximity to the coast. On the contract between the TXGLO and GCRPC it states under Project Description "Subrecipient shall allocate funds for mitigation projects to eligible entities that will address the current and future risks related to hurricanes, tropical storms and tropical depressions, and severe coastal and riverine flooding.". With Jackson County being considered a Tier One County, additional funds should be allocated to help us mitigate potential damage because of the threat of being on the coast. Furthermore, I request that GCRPC continue to request a waiver of the minimum project allocation of \$1 million to \$500,000. This will give the mid-size entities a more equitable share of the funds along with more flexibility should the entities want to take a more collaborative approach and combine their resources. Finally, please continue to not limit subrecipients in the region to projects meeting regional priority activities. This will allow all entities to address needs that are a priority to their constituents.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Golden Crescent Community Development Block Grant Mitigation (CDBG-MIT) Method of Distribution of Funds - Public Comments and Responses- Lavaca County

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
9/21/2022	Submitted in writing	Hughes, Craig		<p>My name is Mark Myers and I represent Lavaca County, currently serving as the County Judge. I would like to make known the position of Lavaca County regarding the method of distribution of the Texas Community Development Block Grant for Regional Mitigation. It is our opinion that the initial proposal of dispersing 80% of the available funds to only 2 different counties is unfair to all the other counties who are left with only 20%. I have conferred with some of the other neighboring county representatives and we all feel that instead of 80%, that 50-53% would be more than equitable for all involved. We smaller counties and cities depend a great deal upon the generous grants that we receive to help in our mitigation efforts and many other projects that benefit the citizens we serve. There are some vast differences between our various counties but one similarity that we all share is that it costs us no less than it costs Victoria County to serve and protect our citizens. In some cases, it costs more. However, we do not have the benefit of a vast taxable industrial and commercial base like Victoria County and the City of Victoria.</p> <p>I pray that GCRPC will do what is fair and work out a new plan of distribution. One that is fair to all of the counties within the Golden Crescent Region not just a couple. I thank you all in advance for your consideration in this serious matter and look forward to implementing a plan that is equitable for all involved. Thank you all for what you do for our counties.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
9/23/2022	Submitted in writing	Myers, Mark		<p>My name is Mark Myers and I represent Lavaca County, currently serving as the County Judge. I would like to make known the position of Lavaca County regarding the method of distribution of the Texas Community Development Block Grant for Regional Mitigation. It is our opinion that the initial proposal of dispersing 80% of the available funds to only 2 different counties is unfair to all the other counties who are left with only 20%. I have conferred with some of the other neighboring county representatives and we all feel that instead of 80%, that 50-53% would be more than equitable for all involved. We smaller counties and cities depend a great deal upon the generous grants that we receive to help in our mitigation efforts and many other projects that benefit the citizens we serve. There are some vast differences between our various counties but one similarity that we all share is that it costs us no less than it costs Victoria County to serve and protect our citizens. In some cases, it costs more. However, we do not have the benefit of a vast taxable industrial and commercial base like Victoria County and the City of Victoria.</p> <p>I pray that GCRPC will do what is fair and work out a new plan of distribution. One that is fair to all of the counties within the Golden Crescent Region not just a couple. I thank you all in advance for your consideration in this serious matter and look forward to implementing a plan that is equitable for all involved. Thank you all for what you do for our counties.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>
9/22/2022	Submitted in writing	Ward, Grace	City Admin for Hallettsville	<p>My Name is Grace Ward, I am the City Admin/Sec for the city of Hallettsville I would like to request that consideration be made to change the% for the state mid counties.</p>	<p>The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.</p>

Golden Crescent Community Development Block Grant Mitigation (CDBG-MIT) Method of Distribution of Funds - Public Comments and Responses- Victoria County

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
9/21/2022	Submitted in writing	Foote, James		I feel the distribution should remain as allocated, the impacted area was Victoria and Calhoun county and the board was curred in chosing this allocation	
10.06/2022	Submitted in writing	Zeller, Benjamin	Victoria County Commissioners Court	<p>A RESOLUTION BY VICTORIA COUNTY REGARDING REVIEW OF THE PRELIMINARY CDBG-MIT MOD THROUGH GCRPC</p> <p>Whereas, the General Land office (Glo) has allocated approximately \$80 million to the Golden Crescent region as a part of the Texas Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Mitigation Action Plan; and</p> <p>Whereas, the Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) was tasked with developing a method of distribution (MOD) for the funding through the Regional Mitigation Program; and</p> <p>Whereas, Victoria County understands CDBG-MIT grant requirements necessitated calculations that address thresholds to benefiting low-to-moderate income (LMI) Persons; and</p> <p>Whereas, Victoria County fully supports the current method of distribution (MOD) that prioritizes 80% of funding for the Most Impacted and Distressed (MID) communities as identified by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUB); and</p> <p>Whereas, the County has reviewed the preliminary MOD and allocations proposed by the GCRPC and has no objections to the fair and equitable allocations of funds proposed.</p> <p>Now, Therefore BE IT RESOLVED, that Victoria County approves and submits no oppositions to the proposed GCRPC MOD related to the CDBM-MIT grant allocations.</p> <p>Passed and Approved this 3rd day of October, 2022</p>	

Golden Crescent Community Development Block Grant Mitigation (CDBG-MIT) Method of Distribution of Funds - Public Comments and Responses- Other

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
9/26/2022	Submitted in Writing	Raymond Butler		I am requesting that the GCRPC change the MOD to use the same rating factors and formula that were used under the Harvey CDBG-DR allocation. The DR formula considered other important factors beyond population size, such as prior storm damage, resilient factors, social vulnerability and FEMA data. Additionally the Harvey DR formula Allows for a more regional and fairer approach to mitigation funding. Additionally I would like some consideration given to those communities that applied under the 1st round of MIT competition but were not awarded any funding.	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
9/26/2022	Submitted in Writing	Gregory Fojt		I want the split to be a minimum 50/50 split	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
9/28/2022	Submitted in Writing	Alysa Jarvia		I recommend that COG try to allocate as close to a 50/50 split between HUD and State MID in order to provide for the needs across the whole region	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
9/28/2022	Submitted in Writing	Randy Waller		We recommend that the COG try to allocate as close to a 50/50 split between HUD and State MID in order to adequately address needs across the whole region. We understand there are minimums but by using the DR formula a natural split occurs that almost makes an even split. thank you	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
9/28/2022	Submitted in Writing	Thomas Weaver		50/50 split please	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
9/28/2022	Submitted in Writing	Paula Donnelly		50/50 split please	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/3/2022	Submitted in Writing	Susan Lang		I am requesting that the GCRPC change the MOD to use the same rating factors and formula that were used under the Harvey CDBG-DR allocation. The DR formula considered other important factors beyond population size, such as prior storm damage, resilient factors, social vulnerability and FEMA data. Additionally the Harvey DR formula Allows for a more regional and fairer approach to mitigation funding. Additionally I would like some consideration given to those communities that applied under the 1st round of MIT competition but were not awarded any funding.	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/10/2022	Submitted in Writing	Alice Geryk		We want a 50/50 split	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/10/2022	Submitted in Writing	Janelle Wilson		50/50 split	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/10/2022	Submitted in Writing	Snady Puckitt		Properties were bought on a Lake. The Lake needs to come back	
10/11/2022	Submitted in Writing	Linda G.		Hud 10/90	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/11/2022	Submitted in Writing	Wade Wilson		50/50 split	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/11/2022	Submitted in Writing	Michael Terzano		We want a 50/50 split	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/13/2022	Submitted in Writing	John Warren & Molly Brown		I am requesting that the GCRPC change the MOD to use the same rating factors and formula that were used under the Harvey CDBG-DR allocation. The DR formula considered other important factors beyond population size, such as prior storm damage, resilient factors, social vulnerability and FEMA data. Additionally the Harvey DR formula Allows for a more regional and fairer approach to mitigation funding. Additionally I would like some consideration given to those communities that applied under the 1st round of MIT competition but were not awarded any funding.	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/13/2022	Submitted in Writing	Arpitha Madduru Prasad		I am requesting that the GCRPC change the MOD to use the same rating factors and formula that were used under the Harvey CDBG-DR allocation. The DR formula considered other important factors beyond population size, such as prior storm damage, resilient factors, social vulnerability and FEMA data. Additionally the Harvey DR formula Allows for a more regional and fairer approach to mitigation funding. Additionally I would like some consideration given to those communities that applied under the 1st round of MIT competition but were not awarded any funding.	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/13/2022	Submitted in Writing	Steve Marwitz		I am requesting that the GCRPC change the MOD to use the same rating factors and formula that were used under the Harvey CDBG-DR allocation. The DR formula considered other important factors beyond population size, such as prior storm damage, resilient factors, social vulnerability and FEMA data. Additionally the Harvey DR formula Allows for a more regional and fairer approach to mitigation funding. Additionally I would like some consideration given to those communities that applied under the 1st round of MIT competition but were not awarded any funding.	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/13/2022	Submitted in Writing	Richard Baumann		Please restore the H4/H5 lakes. The lakes provide a very relaxing place for homeowners and those that visit the area. The lakes provide the wildlife a source of water.	

Date Received	Method of Communication	Name	Role/Title	Comment	Response
10/14/2022	Submitted in Writing	Susan Gay Miller Tippit		I am requesting that the GCRPC change the MOD to use the same rating factors and formula that were used under the Harvey CDBG-DR allocation. The DR formula considered other important factors beyond population size, such as prior storm damage, resilient factors, social vulnerability and FEMA data. Additionally the Harvey DR formula Allows for a more regional and fairer approach to mitigation funding. Additionally I would like some consideration given to those communities that applied under the 1st round of MIT competition but were not awarded any funding.	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/15/2022	Submitted in Writing	Mary Ann Menning		Please reconsider your method of distribution and prioritize funding to help more citizens of the region! Water is such an important asset to all. The Rivers are going to dry. Dams need to be fixed as well as roadways.	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/16/2022	Submitted in Writing	Roberta Hale		HUD MIT @ 53%, State MIT @ 47%	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/17/2022	Submitted in Writing	Steve Parbs		HUD MIT @ 53%, State MIT @ 47%	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/18/2022	Submitted in Writing	Dawn Brown		HUD MIT @ 53%, State MIT @ 47%	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/19/2022	Submitted in Writing	Carol Hasehke		50/50 split	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/20/2022	Submitted in Writing	Joyce Ruddick		50/50 split	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/21/2022	Submitted in Writing	Anrou Haschke Jr		50/50 split	The Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors reviewed all public comments received and voted to adjust the MIT MOD to represent a more equitable distribution as well as added in two factors: Social Vulnerability and FEMA Damage Assessment data. The second draft distribution is now open for public comment.
10/22/2022	Submitted in Writing	Randy N Bell		Decided to retire here and now I don't have a lake. Fishing and boating is my hobby.	