

2019 Disasters CDBG-DR Action Plan: Supplemental Material

2019 Disasters Infrastructure Competition

Applicant Eligibility and Scoring Criteria





Table of Contents

A)	Eligible Applicants	1
B)	Eligible Applicant Areas	2
C)	Award Amounts	2
D)	2019 Disasters Infrastructure Competition Scoring Criteria	3
1)	Total Damage Per Capita	4
2)	LMI National Objective	6
3)	Cost Per Person Benefiting	7
4)	Social Vulnerability Index	8
5)	Leverage	.10
6)	Tie-breaker: Higher Poverty Rate	.11
E)	2019 Disasters Infrastructure Competition Eligible Activities	.13
F)	2019 Disasters Infrastructure Competition Ineligible Activities	. 14
G)	Application Selection Process Example	.16



A) Eligible Applicants

2019 Disasters Infrastructure Competition Eligible Applicants		
Cities		
Counties		

Entities may coordinate activities and submit a joint project that crosses jurisdictional boundaries. Each Applicant may submit a total of two applications, whether applying as the lone Applicant or jointly with another eligible jurisdiction(s).



B) Eligible Applicant Areas

2019 Disasters Infrastructure Competition				
2019 Lower Rio Grande Valley Floods (DR-4454)				
HUD MID Counties State MID Counties				
Cameron	Willacy			
Hidalgo				
Tropical Storm Imelda (DR-4466)				
HUD MID Counties State MID Counties				
Heb wild counties	State MID Counties			
Chambers	San Jacinto San Jacinto			
Chambers				
Chambers Harris				
Chambers Harris Jefferson				

C) Award Amounts

2019 Disasters Infrastructure Competition		
Maximum Award Minimum Award		
\$1,000,000	\$250,000	



D) 2019 Disasters Infrastructure Competition Scoring Criteria

Question	Criteria	Maximum Points	Self-Score
	Damage Per Capita	25 Points Possible	
	Greater than \$500.00	25 Points	
***	\$500.00 - \$100.01	20 Points	
What is the Applicant's total damage per capita?	\$100.00 - \$50.01	15 Points	
ar and and and	\$50.00 - \$25.01	10 Points	
	\$25.00 - \$0.01	5 Points	
	Less than \$0.01	0 Points	
December of the second of the	LMI National Objective	30 Points Possible	
Does the project meet the low and moderate income	Project meets LMI national objective	30 Points	
(LMI) HUD National Objective?	Project does not meet LMI national objective	0 Points	
	Cost Per Person Benefiting	20 Points Possible	
	Less than \$100.01	20 Points	
	\$100.01 - \$500.00	16 Points	
What is the cost per person benefiting?	\$500.01 - \$1,500.00	12 Points	
person benefiting.	\$1,500.01 - \$5,000.00	8 Points	
	\$5,000.01 - \$10,000.00	4 Points	
	Greater than \$10,000.00	0 Points	
	Social Vulnerability Index	15 Points Possible	
	High	15 Points	
What is the Applicant's	Medium High	11 Points	
county Social Vulnerability Index	Medium	7 Points	
(SoVI) score?	Medium Low	3 Points	
	Low	0 Points	
	Prorated SoVI Rank	Calculated Points	
	Leverage	10 Points Possible	
Is the applicant leveraging funds from other source(s)?	Non-CDBG leverage (a minimum value of 1% of the CDBG-DR funds requested)	10 Points	
Tota	al Possible Points	100 Possible Points	
	Tie Breaker: Higher Poverty Rate		



1) Total Damage Per Capita

<u>Data Source</u>: Local official infrastructure damage report estimates submitted to the Texas Division of Emergency Management (TDEM) as of November 17, 2020, **and** most recently available lowand moderate-income summary data (LMISD) for total population totals.

Maximum Points: 25 Points

Rank 6	> \$500.00	25 Points
Rank 5	\$500.00 - \$100.01	20 Points
Rank 4	\$100.00 - \$50.01	15 Points
Rank 3	\$50.00 - \$25.01	10 Points
Rank 2	\$25.00 - \$0.01	5 Points
Rank 1	Less than \$0.01	0 Points

Methodology: The total damage per capita is determined by dividing the jurisdiction's local official infrastructure damage report cost estimates submitted to TDEM, resulting from DR-4454 (2019 Lower Rio Grande Valley Floods) or DR-4466 (Tropical Storm Imelda), by the jurisdiction's total population as defined in the LMISD. For a multi-jurisdiction application, the jurisdictions populations will be combined, unless the jurisdictions are a county and a city located within the county, then the county population will be used.

If an eligible county is applying on behalf of another entity (non-city) within the county, and that entity is listed on the local official infrastructure damage report estimates submitted to the TDEM, the county amount and the entity amount will be combined. For example, County A applies on behalf of County A Drainage District, County A and County A Drainage District damage report estimates will be combined.

Example 1: City A had a local official infrastructure damage report estimate of \$3,500,000. The total population of City A is 10,500.

- 1) \$3,500,000 (City A local official infrastructure damage estimate) / 10,500 (City A total population) = \$333.33 (City A Damage per capita)
- 2) \$333.33 (Total Damage Per Capita) = Rank 5, 20 Points

Example 2: County A is submitting a project on behalf of Drainage District A. County A had a local official infrastructure damage report estimate of \$14,000,000 and Drainage District A had a local official infrastructure damage report estimate of \$670,000. County A has a total population of 400,000.

- 1) \$14,000,000 (County local official infrastructure damage estimate) + \$670,000 (Drainage District A local official infrastructure damage estimate) = \$14,670,000 (Combined local official infrastructure damage estimate)
- 2) 400,000 (County A total population) = 400,000 (Total population)



- 3) \$14,670,000 (County A and Drainage District A local official infrastructure damage estimate) / 400,000 (County A total population) = \$36.68 (County A and Drainage District A Damage Per Capita)
- 4) \$36.68 (Total Damage Per Capita) = Rank 3, 10 Points

Example 3: County A and City B are submitting a joint project and are in the same County (County A). County A had a local official infrastructure damage report estimate of \$7,500,000 and has a total population of 870,000. City B had a local official infrastructure damage report estimate of \$435,000 and has a total population of 17,000. However, since City B is within County A, the population will not be combined and only the population for County A will be used.

- 1) \$7,500,000 (County A local official infrastructure damage estimate) + \$435,000 (City B local official infrastructure damage estimate) = \$7,935,000 (Combined local official infrastructure damage estimate)
- 2) 870,000 (County A total population) = 870,000 (Total population)
- 3) \$7,935,000 (County A and City B local official infrastructure damage estimate) / 870,000 (County A and City B total population) = \$9.12 (County A and City B damage per capita)
- 4) \$9.12 (Total Damage Per Capita) = Rank 2, 5 Points



2) LMI National Objective

<u>Data Source</u>: National Objectives Information section of the TIGR application **and** supporting documentation.

Maximum Points: 30 Points

Project meets LMI National Objective	30 Points
Project does not meet LMI National Objective	0 Points

<u>Methodology</u>: The activity will be reviewed to identify if the proposed project meets the low and moderate income (LMI) HUD National Objective. Project beneficiary information will be reviewed to determine this HUD National Objective. This will be verified during the application review process according to the guidance in the application guide.

Failure to accurately transcribe complete and accurate LMI beneficiary data into the National Objective Information section of the TIGR application will negate potential points for this criterion.



3) Cost Per Person Benefiting

<u>Data Source</u>: CDBG-DR project requested amount as indicated on the submitted SF-424 **and** total project beneficiaries as indicated in the National Objective section of the TIGR application.

Maximum Points: 20 Points

Rank 6	< \$100.01	20 Points
Rank 5	\$100.01 - \$500.00	16 Points
Rank 4	\$500.01 - \$1,500.00	12 Points
Rank 3	\$1,500.01 - \$5,000.00	8 Points
Rank 2	\$5,000.01 - \$10,000.00	4 Points
Rank 1	> \$10,000.01	0 Points

<u>Methodology</u>: The cost per person ratio is determined by dividing the CDBG-DR project application amount by the number of total project beneficiaries.

Example 1: City A has submitted a project application amount of \$300,000. The total project beneficiaries are 1,850.

1) \$300,000 (project application amount) / 1,850 (total project beneficiaries) = \$162.16 per person benefiting (Rank 5, 16 Points)

Example 2: County A and City B are submitting a joint project. The project application amount of the joint project is \$850,000. The total project beneficiaries are 92,500.

1) \$850,000 (project application amount) / 92,500 (total project beneficiaries) = \$9.19 per person benefiting (Rank 6, 20 Points)



4) Social Vulnerability Index

<u>Data Source</u>: Social Vulnerability Index (SoVI) Score (County Level Data). For multi-county projects, project beneficiaries by county must be submitted by the applicant. Applicants can submit this data using the Supplemental Multiple County Scoring Form.

Maximum Points: 15 Points

Rank 5	High	15 Points
Rank 4	Medium High	11 Points
Rank 3	Medium	7 Points
Rank 2	Medium Low	3 Points
Rank 1	Low	0 Points
Multi-County Project	Prorated SoVI rank	Calculated Points

A county that is placed in the "High" ranking of the Social Vulnerability Index indicates that this location is among the most socially vulnerable in the state.

Methodology:

Method 1:

• If the proposed project service area is wholly within one (1) county (either in the unincorporated areas or both the unincorporated areas and incorporated areas), then the county SoVI rank will be used.

Method 2:

• If the proposed project service area is in multiple counties (either in the unincorporated areas or both the unincorporated areas and incorporated areas), then the overall project SoVI rank will be calculated as a multi-county prorated SoVI rank based on project beneficiaries across the multiple-county area.

Steps for Method 2:

- 1. Identify which counties the project beneficiaries are located in.
- 2. Identify the SoVI rank for each county.
- 3. Identify the total project beneficiaries.
- 4. Identify the number of beneficiaries located in each county.
- 5. Multiply each county's SoVI rank by the county project beneficiaries.
- 6. Sum the products of each county's SoVI rank by county project beneficiaries.
- 7. Divide the sum of the products of each county's SoVI rank by county project beneficiaries by the total project beneficiaries.



8. The quotient is the calculated score rounded to the nearest hundredth place.

Method 1.

Example 1: (Individual or Joint Applicant, One Eligible County): City A is submitting a project that will have project beneficiaries only in City A. City A is located within County B. The County B SoVI rank will be used. County B has a "High" SoVI score (Rank 5, 15 Points). The applicable SoVI score will be calculated as seen below:

- 1) High = Rank 5
- 2) Rank 5 = 15 Points

Method 2.

Example 2: (Individual or Joint Applicant, Multiple Eligible Counties): County A and County B are submitting a project that will have project beneficiaries in a total of two eligible counties in both the incorporated and unincorporated areas of County A and County B.

	SoVI Rank	Points	Project Beneficiaries
County A	Medium	7	4,000
County B	Medium High	11	6,000
Total Project Beneficiaries		10,000	

- 1) 7 (County A Rank Points) X 4,000 (County A Project Beneficiaries) = 28,000
- 2) 11 (County B Rank Points) X 6,000 (County B Project Beneficiaries) = **66,000**
- 3) 28,000 + 66,000 = 94,000
- 4) 4,000 (County A Project Beneficiaries) + 6,000 (County B Project Beneficiaries) = 10,000
- 5) 94,000 / 10,000 = 9.4 Points



5) Leverage

<u>Data Source</u>: CDBG-DR project requested amount as indicated on the submitted SF-424 **and** leverage documentation from state, federal, local, nonprofit, or private funding sources (see requirements below).

Maximum Points: 10 Points

Non-CDBG Leverage (a minimum value of 1% of the CDBG-DR funds requested)	10 Points
Non-CDBG Leverage (a value less than 1% of the CDBG-DR funds requested)	0 Points

<u>Methodology</u>: The leverage documentation from a federal, state, local, nonprofit, or private funding source will be reviewed to determine the amount of leveraged funds utilized for the proposed project. In order to receive points under this criterion, the leveraging must have a minimum value of 1% of the CDBG-DR funds requested. For purposes of this criterion, leveraged funds include equipment, materials, and cash from the applicant and/or sources from other than the requesting entity or entities if the application contains a joint project that crosses jurisdictional boundaries. CDBG-DR and CDBG-MIT funds used as leverage are ineligible for scoring purposes.

In order to receive points under this criterion,

• The leverage documentation must identify the amount and type of funds being leveraged.

Acceptable Leverage Documentation (including but not limited to):

- Commitment letters from an elected or appointed official with the ability to allocate the funds
- Resolutions from the applicable governing body
- Meeting minutes indicating the amount and approval from the applicable governing body

Unacceptable Leverage Documentation including but not limited to (points will not be awarded for leverage based on the following documents):

- SF-424 (only)
- Environmental Exempt Form
- Bond documents
- Meeting Agendas



6) Tie-breaker: Higher Poverty Rate

<u>Data Source</u>: Most recently available American Community Survey (ACS) 5-year estimates Table S1701.

<u>Methodology</u>: The poverty rate within a jurisdiction(s) is determined by reviewing the "Percent Below Poverty Level" column of the ACS 5-year estimates Table S1701 and, if necessary, reviewing the "Total" column and "Below Poverty Level" column of ACS Table S1701 to calculate the percent below poverty level for a multiple jurisdictional area.

Method 1:

- If the proposed project service area is wholly within one (1) city, then the city "Percent Below Poverty Level" information will be used.
- If the proposed project service area is wholly within one (1) county (either in the unincorporated areas or both the unincorporated areas and incorporated areas), then the county "Percent Below Poverty Level" information will be used.

Method 2:

- If the proposed project service area is wholly within multiple cities, then the "Total" population and total amount of people "Below Poverty Level" for each city will be used. A calculation will then be applied to determine the percent below poverty level for the applying jurisdictions.
- If the proposed project service area is in multiple counties (either in the unincorporated areas or both the unincorporated areas and incorporated areas), then the "Total" population and total amount of people "Below Poverty Level" for each county will be used. A calculation will then be applied to determine the percent below poverty level for the applying jurisdictions.

Steps for Method 2:

- 1. Identify which jurisdictions the project service area is located in.
- 2. Identify which Method 2 scenario applies.
- 3. Identify the applicable "Below Poverty Level" populations for each jurisdiction.
- 4. Sum the "Below Poverty Level" populations totals for each jurisdiction.
- 5. Identify the applicable "Total" populations for each jurisdiction.
- 6. Sum the applicable "Total" populations for each jurisdiction.
- 7. Divide the sum of the calculated "Below Poverty Level" population by the sum of the calculated "Total" population for the applicable jurisdictions.



8. The quotient of the equation is then the rate to be used to determine the higher rate in the case of a tie breaker.

Method 1.

Example 1: County A has a "percent below poverty level" of 15.5% as seen in ACS 5- year estimates Table S1701.

1) 15.5% (County A percent below poverty level)

Method 2.

Example 2: City A and City B are submitting a joint project application with a project service area within both cities.

	Population Below Poverty Level	Total Population
City A	6,392	27,695
City B	4,810	18,174
Total	11,202	45,869

- 1) 6,392 (City A "Below Poverty Level" population) + 4,810 (City B "Below Poverty Level" population) = 11,202 (Sum of "Below Poverty Level")
- 2) 27,695 (City A "Total" population) + 18,174 (City B "Total" population) = 45,869 (Sum of "Total" population)
- 3) 11,202 (Sum of "Below Poverty Level") / 45,869 (Sum of "Total" population) = 0.244
- 4) 0.244 = 24.4%



E) 2019 Disasters Infrastructure Competition Eligible Activities

- i. Flood control and drainage repair and improvements, including the construction or rehabilitation of storm water management system;
- ii. Restoration of infrastructure (such as water and sewer facilities, streets, provision of generators, removal of debris, bridges, etc.);
- iii. Public Facilities;
- iv. Buyouts or Acquisition with or without relocation assistance, down payment assistance, housing incentives, and demolition;
- v. Activities designed to relocate families outside of floodplains;
- vi. Demolition, rehabilitation of publicly or privately owned commercial or industrial buildings, and code enforcement;
- vii. Economic development (such as microenterprise and small business assistance, commercial rehabilitation, and special economic development activities, including prioritizing assistance to businesses that meet the definition of a small business). Any projects funding for-profit entities must be evaluated and selected in accordance with guidelines (established in Appendix A to 24 CFR part 570) developed by HUD and comply with HUD underwriting guidance;
- viii. Public service (such as job training and employment services, healthcare, childcare, and crime prevention within the 15 percent cap); and
 - ix. Planning.



F) 2019 Disasters Infrastructure Competition Ineligible Activities

- i. CDBG-DR funds may not be used to enlarge a dam or levee beyond the original footprint of the structure that existed prior to the disaster event. CDBG-DR funds for levees and dams are required to:
 - a. Register and maintain entries regarding such structures with the USACE National Levee Database or National Inventory of Dams;
 - b. Ensure that the structure is admitted in the USACE PL 84–99 Rehabilitation Program (Rehabilitation Assistance for Non-Federal Flood Control Projects);
 - c. Ensure the structure is accredited under the FEMA NFIP; and
 - d. Maintain file documentation demonstrating a risk assessment prior to funding the flood control structure and documentation that the investment includes risk reduction measures.
- ii. Funds may not be used to assist a privately owned utility for any purpose. A private utility, also referred to as an investor-owned utility, is owned by private investors and is for-profit as opposed to being owned by a public trust or agency (e.g., a coop or municipally owned utility);
- iii. Funds may not be provided to a for-profit entity for an economic development project under section 105(a)(17) of the HCDA unless such project has been evaluated and selected in accordance with guidelines developed by HUD pursuant to section 105(e)(2) of the HCDA for evaluating and selecting economic development projects;
- iv. Buildings and facilities used for the general conduct of government (e.g., city halls, courthouses, and emergency operation centers);
- v. No disaster recovery assistance will be considered with respect to any part of a disaster loss that is reimbursable by FEMA, the USACE, insurance, or another source due in part to the restrictions against duplication of benefits outlined in this Action Plan. An activity underway prior to the Presidential disaster declaration will not qualify unless the disaster directly impacted said project;
- vi. By law, (codified in the HCD Act as a note to 105(a)), the amount of CDBG–DR funds that may be contributed to a USACE project is \$250,000 or less;



- vii. Section 582 of the National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994, as amended, (42 U.S.C. 5154a) prohibits flood disaster assistance in certain circumstances. In general, it provides that no Federal disaster relief assistance made available in a flood disaster area may be used to make a payment (including any loan assistance payment) to a person for "repair, replacement, or restoration" for damage to any personal, residential, or commercial property if that person at any time has received Federal flood disaster assistance that was conditioned on the person first having obtained flood insurance under applicable Federal law and the person has subsequently failed to obtain and maintain flood insurance as required under applicable Federal law on such property. No disaster assistance may be provided for the repair, replacement, or restoration of a property to a person who has failed to meet this requirement; and
- viii. If the property is purchased through the use of eminent domain, the ultimate use of that property may not benefit a particular private party and must be for a public use.



G)Application Selection Process Example

Each applicant may submit a total of two (2) applications, whether applying as the lone applicant or jointly with another eligible jurisdiction(s). Each application must consist of one project. Depending on demand, no applicant will be awarded for their second application until all successful eligible applicants have been awarded funding at least once.

Applications			
Applicant(s)	Application Type	Application Score	Awarded
City A Application	Individual	98.5	Yes
City B and City C Application	Joint	95.1	Yes
County A Application	Individual	94	Yes
County A and County B Application	Joint	91.8	Queued
City B Application	Individual	87.4	Queued
County C Application	Individual	84.1	Yes
County B Application	Individual	79.7	Yes
County D and County E Application	Joint	75.3	Yes
City C Application	Individual	70.9	Queued
County C and City A Application	Joint	68.7	Queued
City D Application	Individual	64.3	Yes
County D and County E Application	Joint	62.1	Queued
Application Queue			
Applicant	Application Type	Application Score	Awarded
County A and County B Application	Joint	91.8	Yes
City B Application	Individual	87.4	Yes
FUNDING DEPLETED			
City C Application	Individual	70.9	No
County C and City A Application	Joint	68.7	No